

DPW/BPD Building Committee Meeting Minutes
January 22, 2019 7:00 PM Town Hall Room 4

DATE: May 5, 2020
TIME: 2:31 PM

Meeting called to order by Anne Marie Mahoney at 7:03. Members present are Anne Marie Mahoney, Judith Ananian Sarno, Jamie MacIsaac, Fitzie Cowing, Tom Gatzunis, Steve Rosales, Anthony Ferrante, Steve Dorrance, Roy Epstein, Bill Shea.

- Update on front end document. Tom et al had a meeting last week, Ted is making discussed changes/additions this week and will bring back document to be discussed at next meeting. Questions about required set-asides, insurance requirements are still out to be answered by Patrice or others, Tom investigating as well. Member Rosales inquires as to whether or not any similar documents existed for past Belmont projects? Not really given how much things have changed. Discussion about whether or not any set asides existed in previous projects, and plan developed to reach out to previous stakeholders to inquire.
- Discussion of landscaping, Member Smith working with landscape architect as well as garden club, tree warden, etc. Updates to follow.
- Update from an abutter - up the hill from station - abutter is concerned that when entrance/exit is moved they not have their driveway blocked. Chair Mahoney has made office of community development and Ted aware so it can be kept in consideration. Otherwise said abutter is supportive.
- Discussion on roof insulation - Ted's point we will have to be very careful with a slate roof not to trap moisture in the building. Ideally insulation layer should be at ceiling of second floor, not at attic- you don't want to heat the attic, it's wasteful. Louvres exist on both ends of that building to vent the existing attic. Member Epstein points out that heating bill for BPD is approximately 10k a year, and that spurred interest in effective insulation to reduce annual heating bill. Tom feels that insulating at the floor line is likely to be required by code, Member Epstein inquires then, is that included already in TGAS scope of work? Will investigate.
- Drain discussion - discrepancy between Ted's interpretation of code, Ted states code requires that we have drains in sally port and garage, Tom says that code does not require drains in the sally port and garage. Steve Dorrance states that he doesn't see that we do not have to, but he also does not see that we are excused either. Three separate interpretations of the code, and therefore the next step is to go before the plumbing inspector to determine what we do and do not need. Secondly, Steve Dorrance is concerned that the numbers are off for the proposed system as well, and that needs to be addressed as well. Discussion of other opportunities to address possible runoff, Steve does not feel that the code is written such to support it, Member Ferrante points out that sally port could be "paved so it is acceptably porous", but concern then becomes upkeep. Tom thinks that it's best to go before the plumbing inspector, Tom will do that.
- Relocation discussion, 48 sworn officers, but 108 work out of the building. 43 don't have to be in the building regularly, 27-30 computers have to move over to town hall, copiers,

phones, etc. BPD via Assistant Chief MacIsaac is concerned that they require a space to book and hold prisoners until they are either bailed or picked up for transport to other towns who are willing to hold them for us. As well, breathalyzer and fingerprint machine require space. Concerns regarding firearm storage etc. Member Shea inquires about a second trailer as a possibility? Assistant Chief MacIsaac concurs that a trailer could be a solution. Challenge to relocation is movement of food pantry into town hall and already published opening date. Discussions regarding food pantry space was not as forthcoming as would have been hoped by some and therefore challenges now exist for relocation plan. Discussion about possible locations of trailer, accessibility needs, etc. Member Epstein is concerned that this is a complicated problem in its own right, and feels that perhaps the logistics need to be addressed with more focus that can't be done without more meetings than we currently have. Tom points out that a design professional is the next step. Member Epstein inquires as to who the design professional would be, TGAS or Daedalus? Tom answers TGAS. Member Epstein raises concerns about the cost of involving a design professional and the work to be done as well as the move itself. Tom will move forward.

- Questions from Glen Clancy and Chidra about discrepancy between CPA numbers, it has been explained that the number presented to CPA was 21k, the total required for design including construction docs is 27, but that delta 6 should be more in line with the larger appropriation of construction that will be requested in the spring. Concerns about how to rectify the two numbers.
- Member Ananian Sarno moves to accept invoice in amount of \$1,122 from Daedalus for #181102 \$490, #181202 for \$632. Seconded by Member Ferrante, approved unanimously.
- Member Rosales moves to ratify previous votes to amend the contract for TGAS, seconded by Chair Mahoney, approved unanimously.
- Next meeting is February 12, 7:00PM
- Meeting adjourned at 8:20