# **TOWN OF BELMONT**



## 2020 HAZARD MITIGATION – MUNICIPAL VULNERABILITY PREPAREDNESS PLAN





westonandsampson.com

| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ES-1  |
|---|
| TABLE OF CONTENTS   |
| LIST OF FIGURESiv   |
| LIST OF TABLESv   |
| LIST OF APPENDICES  |
| 1.0INTRODUCTION1-11.1What is a Hazard Mitigation Plan and Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Plan?1-11.2What is a Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Program?1-21.3Hazard Mitigation and Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning in Belmont1-31.4Planning Process Summary1-41.4.1Core Team1-51.4.2Stakeholder Involvement: Community Resilience Building Workshop1-51.4.3Listening Session1-71.4.4Report Layout1-71.5Planning Timeline1-8   |
| 2.0 HAZARD MITIGATION AND CLIMATE ADAPTATION GOALS  |
| 3.0COMMUNITY PROFILE, LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT TRENDS.3-13.1Community Profile3-13.2Societal Features3-13.2.1CRB Workshop Discussion of Societal Features3-23.3Economic Features3-23.4Infrastructural Features3-33.4.1CRB Workshop Discussion of Existing Infrastructure3-33.5Environmental Features3-43.5.1CRB Workshop Discussion of the Environment3-43.5.1CRB Workshop Discussion of the Environment3-43.6Land Use3-53.7Recent and Potential Development.3-53.8Critical Facilities & Vulnerable Populations3-63.8.1Category 1 – Emergency Response Sites3-63.8.2Category 2 – Non-Emergency Response Facilities3-73.8.3Category 3 – Potentially Dangerous/Hazardous Materials and Facilities3-83.8.4Category 4 – Community Facilities3-93.8.5Category 5 – Natural Resources3-11 |
| <ul> <li>4.0 HAZARD PROFILES, RISK ASSESSMENT &amp; VULNERABILITIES</li></ul>   |





| 4.   | 1.2 Federally Declared Disasters in Massachusetts                     |      |
|------|---|------|
| 4.   | 1.3 Impacts of Climate Change   |      |
| 4.   | 1.4 Top Hazards as Defined in the CRB Workshop                        |      |
| 4.2  |   |      |
| 4.   | 2.1 Areas Vulnerable to Flooding                                      | 4-5  |
| 4.   | 2.2 Historic Flood Events   |      |
| 4.   | 2.3 GIS Flooding Exposure Analysis                                    | 4-10 |
| 4.   | 2.4 Sea Level Rise  |      |
| 4.   | 2.5 Dams and Dam Failure  | 4-16 |
| 4.   | 2.6 Climate Change Impacts: Flooding                                  | 4-17 |
| 4.3  | Wind Related Hazard   | 4-18 |
| 4.   | 3.1 Hurricanes and Tropical Storms                                    | 4-19 |
| 4.   | 3.2 Tornados  | 4-21 |
| 4.   | 3.3 Nor'easters   | 4-23 |
| 4.   | 3.4 Thunderstorms and Related Wind Events                             | 4-24 |
| 4.   | 3.1 Climate Change Impacts: High Winds                                | 4-25 |
| 4.4  | Winter Storms   | 4-25 |
| 4.   | 4.1 Heavy Snow and Blizzards  | 4-26 |
| 4.   | 4.2 Ice Storms  |      |
| 4.   | 4.3 Climate Change Impacts: Winter Storms                             | 4-28 |
| 4.5  |   |      |
| 4.   | 5.1 Earthquakes   |      |
| 4.   | 5.2 Landslides  |      |
| 4.6  |   |      |
| 4.7  |   |      |
| 4.   | 7.1 Extreme Cold  |      |
| 4.   | 7.2 Extreme Heat  |      |
| 4.   | 7.3 Climate Change Impacts: Extreme Temperatures                      |      |
| 4.8  |   |      |
|      | 8.1 Climate Change Impacts: Drought                                   |      |
|      |   |      |
| 5.0  | EXISTING MITIGATION MEASURES  | 5-1  |
| 5.1  | Existing Multi-Hazard Mitigation Measures                             | 5-2  |
| 5.2  | Existing Flood-Related Mitigation Practices                           |      |
| 5.3  | Existing Dam Mitigation Measures                                      |      |
| 5.4  | Existing Town-Wide Mitigation for Wind-Related Hazards                |      |
| 5.5  | Existing Town-Wide Mitigation for Winter-Related Hazards              |      |
| 5.6  | Existing Town-Wide Mitigation for Fire-Related Hazards                |      |
| 5.7  | Existing Town-Wide Mitigation for Extreme Temperature-Related Hazards |      |
| 5.8  | Existing Town-Wide Mitigation for Geologic Hazards                    |      |
| 5.9  | Summary of Existing Mitigation Measures                               |      |
| 5.10 | Mitigation Capabilities and Local Capacity for Implementation         |      |
| 0.10 | Willigation Sapabilities and Escal Sapacity for implementation        |      |
| 6.0  | STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES FROM THE 2013 DRAFT PLAN                | 6-1  |
| 6.1  | Implementation Progress on the Previous Plan                          |      |
| 0.1  |   |      |
| 7.0  | HAZARD MITIGATION AND CLIMATE ADAPTATION STRATEGY                     | 7-1  |
| 7.1  | Identification of Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Strategies |      |
| 7.2  | Regional Partnerships   |      |
| 7.3  | Potential Funding Sources   |      |
|      |   |      |





| 8.0 PLAN ADOPTION AND MAINTENANCE                              | 8-1 |
|--|-----|
| 8.1 Plan Adoption  |     |
| 8.2 Plan Implementation  |     |
| 8.3 Plan Maintenance   |     |
| 8.3.1 Tracking Progress and Updates                            |     |
| 8.3.1 Continuing Public Participation                          |     |
| 8.3.2 Integration of the Plans with Other Planning Initiatives |     |
| 8.4 Process of Updating  |     |
| 9.0 LIST OF REFERENCES   | 9-1 |



. . . . . . . . . . . . .



#### LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1-1. FEMA Hazard Mitigation Planning Saves Money Graphic1-1                    |
|---|
| Figure 1-2. Comparison of MVP and HMP Planning Process1-3                             |
| Figure 1-3. Belmont CRB Workshop1-6   |
| Figure 4-1: Top hazards Defined by Belmont's Workshop Participants4-3                 |
| Figure 4-2. Belmont's CRB Workshop4-4   |
| Figure 4-3. Potential impacts of Increasing Precipitation4-5                          |
| Figure 4-4. Design Storms in History4-7   |
| Figure 4-5. Potential Extent of Mean Higher High Water (MHHW) with Sea Level Rise4-15 |
| Figure 4-6. Potential Extent of MHHW with Sea Level Rise in Belmont                   |
| Figure 4-7. Changes in Frequency of Extreme Downpours4-18                             |
| Figure 4-8. Four worst-case scenarios of hurricane storm surge in Belmont             |
| Figure 4-9. State of Massachusetts Earthquake Probability Map4-31                     |
| Figure 4-10. Massachusetts Brush Fires, 2001 to 20094-35                              |
| Figure 4-11. Current and Projected Temperature Changes4-36                            |
| Figure 4-12 Windchill Temperature Index and Frostbite Risk4-37                        |
| Figure 4-13. Populations Potentially Vulnerable to Heat Related Health Impacts        |
| Figure 4-14. Heat Index Chart4-39   |
| Figure 4-15. Potential Impacts from Increasing Temperatures4-40                       |
| Figure 4-16. Massachusetts Extreme Heat Scenarios                                     |
| Figure 4-17. Weeks of Severe Drought4-43  |
| Figure 4-18. Massachusetts Drought Status, February 20174-45                          |

#### LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1-1. FEMA Grants   | 1-1 |
|--|-----|
| Table 1-2. Belmont's Core Team    1  | 1-4 |
| Table 3-1. Belmont Demographic Characteristics    3                        | 3-1 |
| Table 3-2. Societal Features and Natural Hazards/Climate Change in Belmont | 3-2 |
| Table 3-3. Economic Statistics   | 3-2 |
| Table 3-4. Infrastructure Features   | 3-3 |
|  |     |





| Table 3-5. Environmental Features and Natural Hazards/Climate Change in Belmont   |  |
|---|--|
| Table 3-6 Developments in Belmont   |  |
| Table 4-1. Hazard Risk Summary  | 4-2  |
| Table 4-2. Flood Insurance Data   | 4-6  |
| Table 4-3. Locally Identified Areas of Flooding   | 4-8  |
| Table 4-4. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations- Flooding   | 4-9  |
| Table 4-5. Critical Facilities Located within the FEMA Flood Zone   | 4-11   |
| Table 4-6. Exposure of Developed Parcels to the 100-Year Flood Zone   | 4-12   |
| Table 4-7. Exposure of Developed Parcels to the 500-Year Flood Zone   | 4-12   |
| Table 4-8. Exposure of Recently Developed Parcels to the 100-Year Flood Zone  | 4-13   |
| Table 4-9. Exposure of Recently Developed Parcels to the 500-Year Flood Zone  | 4-13   |
| Table 4-10. Exposure of Developable, Vacant Land to the 100-Year Flood Zone   | 4-13   |
| Table 4-11. Exposure of Developable, Vacant Land to the 500-Year Flood Zone   | 4-14   |
| Table 4-12. Exposure of Locally Identified Areas for Potential Development to the 100-Year Flo  | ood Zone   |
|   | 4-14   |
| Table 4-13. Exposure of Locally Identified Areas for Potential Development to the 500-Year Flo  |  |
|   |  |
| Table 4-14. Inventory of Dams in Belmont  |  |
|   | 4-17   |
| Table 4-14. Inventory of Dams in Belmont  | 4-17<br>4-10   |
| Table 4-14. Inventory of Dams in BelmontTable 4-15. Hurricane Records for Eastern Massachusetts, 1938 to 2019   | 4-17<br>4-10<br>4-20   |
| Table 4-14. Inventory of Dams in BelmontTable 4-15. Hurricane Records for Eastern Massachusetts, 1938 to 2019Table 4-16. Saffir/Simpson Scale   | 4-17<br>4-10<br>4-20<br>4-21   |
| Table 4-14. Inventory of Dams in BelmontTable 4-15. Hurricane Records for Eastern Massachusetts, 1938 to 2019Table 4-16. Saffir/Simpson ScaleTable 4-17. Estimated Damages in Belmont from a Probabilistic 100- and 500-Year Hurricane  | 4-17<br>4-10<br>4-20<br>4-21<br>4-22   |
| Table 4-14. Inventory of Dams in BelmontTable 4-15. Hurricane Records for Eastern Massachusetts, 1938 to 2019Table 4-16. Saffir/Simpson ScaleTable 4-17. Estimated Damages in Belmont from a Probabilistic 100- and 500-Year HurricaneTable 4-18. Enhanced Fujita Scale   | 4-17<br>4-10<br>4-20<br>4-21<br>4-22<br>4-22   |
| Table 4-14. Inventory of Dams in BelmontTable 4-15. Hurricane Records for Eastern Massachusetts, 1938 to 2019Table 4-16. Saffir/Simpson ScaleTable 4-17. Estimated Damages in Belmont from a Probabilistic 100- and 500-Year HurricaneTable 4-18. Enhanced Fujita ScaleTable 4-19. Tornado Records for Middlesex County (1955-2018)   | 4-17<br>4-10<br>4-20<br>4-21<br>4-22<br>4-22<br>4-24   |
| Table 4-14. Inventory of Dams in BelmontTable 4-15. Hurricane Records for Eastern Massachusetts, 1938 to 2019Table 4-16. Saffir/Simpson ScaleTable 4-17. Estimated Damages in Belmont from a Probabilistic 100- and 500-Year HurricaneTable 4-18. Enhanced Fujita ScaleTable 4-19. Tornado Records for Middlesex County (1955-2018)Table 4-20. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations for Thunderstorms   | 4-17<br>4-10<br>4-20<br>4-21<br>4-22<br>4-22<br>4-24<br>4-26   |
| <ul> <li>Table 4-14. Inventory of Dams in Belmont</li></ul>   | 4-17<br>4-10<br>4-20<br>4-21<br>4-22<br>4-22<br>4-22<br>4-24<br>4-26<br>4-27   |
| Table 4-14. Inventory of Dams in BelmontTable 4-15. Hurricane Records for Eastern Massachusetts, 1938 to 2019Table 4-16. Saffir/Simpson ScaleTable 4-17. Estimated Damages in Belmont from a Probabilistic 100- and 500-Year HurricaneTable 4-18. Enhanced Fujita ScaleTable 4-19. Tornado Records for Middlesex County (1955-2018)Table 4-20. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations for ThunderstormsTable 4-21. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations for Winter StormsTable 4-22. Severe Winter Storm Records for Massachusetts  | 4-17<br>4-10<br>4-20<br>4-21<br>4-22<br>4-22<br>4-22<br>4-24<br>4-26<br>4-27<br>4-29                                 |
| Table 4-14. Inventory of Dams in Belmont         Table 4-15. Hurricane Records for Eastern Massachusetts, 1938 to 2019         Table 4-16. Saffir/Simpson Scale         Table 4-17. Estimated Damages in Belmont from a Probabilistic 100- and 500-Year Hurricane         Table 4-18. Enhanced Fujita Scale         Table 4-19. Tornado Records for Middlesex County (1955-2018)         Table 4-20. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations for Thunderstorms         Table 4-21. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations for Winter Storms         Table 4-22. Severe Winter Storm Records for Massachusetts         Table 4-23. Richter Scale and Effects  | 4-17<br>4-10<br>4-20<br>4-21<br>4-22<br>4-22<br>4-22<br>4-24<br>4-26<br>4-27<br>4-29<br>4-29                         |
| Table 4-14. Inventory of Dams in BelmontTable 4-15. Hurricane Records for Eastern Massachusetts, 1938 to 2019Table 4-16. Saffir/Simpson ScaleTable 4-17. Estimated Damages in Belmont from a Probabilistic 100- and 500-Year HurricaneTable 4-18. Enhanced Fujita ScaleTable 4-19. Tornado Records for Middlesex County (1955-2018)Table 4-20. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations for ThunderstormsTable 4-21. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations for Winter StormsTable 4-22. Severe Winter Storm Records for MassachusettsTable 4-23. Richter Scale and EffectsTable 4-24. Historical Earthquakes in Boston or Surrounding Area, 1727-2020Table 4-25. Estimated Damage in Belmont from Magnitude 5 and 7 Earthquakes  | 4-17<br>4-10<br>4-20<br>4-21<br>4-22<br>4-22<br>4-22<br>4-24<br>4-26<br>4-27<br>4-29<br>4-29<br>4-32                 |
| Table 4-14. Inventory of Dams in BelmontTable 4-15. Hurricane Records for Eastern Massachusetts, 1938 to 2019Table 4-16. Saffir/Simpson ScaleTable 4-17. Estimated Damages in Belmont from a Probabilistic 100- and 500-Year HurricaneTable 4-18. Enhanced Fujita ScaleTable 4-19. Tornado Records for Middlesex County (1955-2018)Table 4-20. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations for ThunderstormsTable 4-21. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations for Winter StormsTable 4-22. Severe Winter Storm Records for MassachusettsTable 4-23. Richter Scale and EffectsTable 4-24. Historical Earthquakes in Boston or Surrounding Area, 1727-2020.   | 4-17<br>4-10<br>4-20<br>4-21<br>4-22<br>4-22<br>4-22<br>4-24<br>4-26<br>4-27<br>4-29<br>4-29<br>4-32<br>4-34         |
| Table 4-14. Inventory of Dams in Belmont         Table 4-15. Hurricane Records for Eastern Massachusetts, 1938 to 2019         Table 4-16. Saffir/Simpson Scale         Table 4-17. Estimated Damages in Belmont from a Probabilistic 100- and 500-Year Hurricane         Table 4-18. Enhanced Fujita Scale         Table 4-19. Tornado Records for Middlesex County (1955-2018)         Table 4-20. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations for Thunderstorms         Table 4-21. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations for Winter Storms         Table 4-22. Severe Winter Storm Records for Massachusetts         Table 4-23. Richter Scale and Effects         Table 4-24. Historical Earthquakes in Boston or Surrounding Area, 1727-2020         Table 4-25. Estimated Damage in Belmont from Magnitude 5 and 7 Earthquakes         Table 4-26. Landslide Volume and Velocity | 4-17<br>4-10<br>4-20<br>4-21<br>4-22<br>4-22<br>4-22<br>4-24<br>4-26<br>4-27<br>4-29<br>4-29<br>4-32<br>4-34<br>4-35 |



| Table 5-1. FEMA's Types of Mitigation Actions                        | 5-1 |
|--|-----|
| Table 5-2. Existing Mitigation Measures                              | 5-6 |
| Table 6-1. 2020 Status of Mitigation Measures from the 2013 Plan     | 6-1 |
| Table 7-1. Priority Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Actions | 7-2 |
| Table 7-2: Funding Opportunities for Resiliency Projects             | 7-8 |





#### LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix A | Core Team Materials    |
|------------|------------------------|
| Appendix B | Additional Hazard Data |
| Appendix C | CRB Workshop           |
| Appendix D | Listening Session      |
| Appendix E |                        |
| Appendix F |                        |



.....



#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Hazard mitigation planning is a proactive process used to systematically identify policies, actions, and tools that can be used to reduce the dangers to life and property from natural hazard events. Climate adaptation planning recognizes that climate change will exacerbate the vulnerabilities and risks associated with natural hazards. The Town of Belmont completed a planning process focused on both hazard mitigation planning and climate adaptation, which provides a robust assessment and implementation plan to build the Town's resilience. The Town is now also eligible for hazard mitigation funding through the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and climate adaptation funding through the Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs' Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) Grant Program.

#### **Planning Process**

The Hazard Mitigation Plan and Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Plan (HMP-MVP Plan) planning process was completed through the following steps.

- 1) Convened a core team of municipal department heads who provided key input through meeting, online surveys, and interviews.
- 2) Created a set of hazard mitigation and climate adaptation goals.
- 3) Established a list of critical facilities and assets.
- 4) Engaged the public through a Community Resilience Building Workshop and online public engagement techniques.
- 5) Conducted a vulnerability and risk assessment of historic hazards and the potential impact of climate change.
- Documented the Town's capacity to mitigation and respond to hazard.
- 7) Developed an action and implementation strategy.
- 8) Sought public feedback on the final document.

#### Vulnerability and Risk

The Belmont HMP-MVP Plan assesses the potential impacts to the Town from a variety of natural disasters including flooding, high winds, winter storms, brush fire, geologic hazards, extreme temperatures, and drought. These are anticipated to worsen with climate change.



Flooding



Drought, Extreme Heat, & Wildfires



Severe Thunderstorms, Wind, Tornadoes, & Hurricanes

Ice, Nor'easters, & Extreme Cold

The HMP-MVP Plan documents the location and exposure of over 170 critical facility and assets. Among them are emergency services, roads, utilities, social services, and natural resources.





#### Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Goals

The Town endorsed the following set of hazard mitigation and climate adaptation goals.

- Prevent and reduce the loss of life, injury, public health impacts and property damages resulting from all major natural hazards and anticipated impacts of climate change. This may include preventing damages to:
  - o Commercial, industrial, and residential structures.
  - Cultural and historic resources.
  - Public infrastructure, buildings, and essential services, such as electric power, drinking water, and the sewer system.
  - Vulnerable populations, such as elderly residents.
- Identify and seek funding for measures to mitigate or eliminate each known significant hazard area and reduce the impacts of climate change.
- Integrate hazard mitigation planning and climate change projections as an integral factor in all relevant municipal departments, committees and boards.
- Facilitate collaboration in hazard mitigation planning, including collaboration with surrounding communities; state, regional and federal agencies; the business community, major institutions and non-profits.
- Ensure that future development meets federal, state, and local standards for preventing and reducing the impacts of natural hazards today and under climate change projections.
- Take maximum advantage of resources from FEMA and MEMA to educate Town staff and the public about hazard mitigation and climate change.

#### Hazard Mitigation Strategy

Through the planning process, several hazard mitigation and climate adaptation measures were identified as high priorities.

- Culvert replacements and upgrades using climate projection design standards
- Implement a sewer lining program and repair failing infrastructure
- Decrease potential leaching hazard from former incinerator and develop site into beneficial use
- Complete a Stormwater Computer Model
- Conduct a Low Impact Development (LID) stormwater management opportunities analysis
- Implement measure identified in planning efforts that intersection with hazard mitigation and climate resilience
- Identify a stable and reliable funding source for stormwater management
- Develop an Emergency Response Plan and Ongoing Communication Program
- Improve the resilience of municipal buildings

#### Next Steps

The Town of Belmont is dedicated to implementing the findings of this plan and documenting the process. As a now eligible community for funding through the MVP Program and FEMA, the Town will look to secure resources, and to work with regional and local stakeholders, to complete the projects identified herein. The Town will also continue to document hazard impacts and needed improvements to the Town's capacity to mitigation and adapt. Lastly, the Town will proactively incorporate the hazard mitigation and climate adaptation goals into municipal planning, budgeting, and operations. By doing so, the Town will be ready to update this plan in five years to maintain its eligibility for grant funding.





### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Town of Belmont prepared a joint Hazard Mitigation Plan and Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Plan (HMP-MVP Plan) as an action strategy to reduce the impacts of natural hazards and climate change within the community and the region. The Belmont HMP-MVP Plan was adopted by the Select Board on DATE to update and replace the *Town of Belmont Hazard Mitigation Plan (2013).* 

#### 1.1 What is a Hazard Mitigation Plan and Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Plan?

Natural hazards, such as earthquakes, hurricanes, and flooding, can result in loss of life, disruptions to everyday life, and property damage. Hazard mitigation is the effort to reduce these disruptions through community planning, policy changes, education programs, infrastructure projects, and other activities (FEMA, 2020a). Hazard mitigation *planning* uses a stepped process with participation of a wide range of stakeholders to:

- 1. Define local hazards.
- 2. Assess vulnerabilities and risks.
- 3. Review current mitigation measures.
- 4. Develop priority action items.

The resulting HMP and action strategy saves lives and money. For every dollar spent on federal hazard mitigation grants, an average of six dollars are saved (FEMA, 2018a). There are many additional benefits of mitigation planning. HMPs increase public awareness of natural hazards that may affect the community. They allow state, local, and tribal governments to work together and combine hazard risk reduction with other community goals and plans. HMPs focus resources and attention on the community's greatest vulnerabilities. The vulnerability assessment of an HMP documents data related to the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), such as repetitive loss sites, and ongoing work by the community related to floodplain management.

By completing an HMP, municipalities also become eligible for specific federal funding and allow potential funding sources to understand a community's priorities (FEMA, 2019a). Hazard mitigation funding is available

through the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). To be eligible for FEMA grants, local governments are required to prepare an HMP meeting the requirements established in the *Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act*, as amended by the *Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000.* See Table 1-1 for a description of FEMA Grants.





Figure 1-1. FEMA Hazard Mitigation Planning Saves Money Graphic (FEMA, 2018a)



| FEMA Grants                              | Purpose   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Hazard Mitigation Grant                  | Helps communities implement hazard mitigation measures following a  |  |  |
| Program (HMGP)                           | Presidential Major Disaster Declaration.  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
| Pre-Disaster Mitigation<br>Program (PDM) | Assists in implementing a sustained pre-disaster natural hazard mitigation program, in order to reduce risk to the population and structures from future hazard events. |  |  |
| Public Assistance Grant                  | Provides supplemental grants so that communities can quickly  |  |  |
| Program (PA)                             | respond and recover from major disasters or emergencies.  |  |  |
| Fire Management                          | Available for the mitigation, management, and control of fires on   |  |  |
| Assistance Grant Program<br>(FMAG)       | publicly or privately owned forests or grasslands.  |  |  |
| (FEMA, 2020b)                            | ·   |  |  |

#### Table 1-1. FEMA Grants

#### 1.2 What is a Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Program?


In 2017, the Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA) initiated the Commonwealth's MVP grant program to help communities become more resilient to the impacts of climate change. The program provides two grant phases. The first grant phase is the planning grant, which funds a planning process to identify priorities action items to address vulnerabilities and utilize strengths in preparation for climate change. The MVP planning process includes convening a team of municipal staff, engaging stakeholders in a Community Resilience Building Workshop following a guidebook developed by The Nature Conservancy (n.d.) and engaging the public. Communities that complete the planning grant program and prepare an MVP Plan become eligible for the second phase of MVP grant funding, the action grants, and receive increased standing in other state grant programs. MVP action grants fund the implementation of priority climate adaptation actions described in the MVP Report. Since these action grants are only distributed to Massachusetts municipalities, they are much less competitive than a similar grant that is awarded nationally.





#### Community Resilience Building Workshop Guidebook

The Community Resilience Building Workshop Guidebook provides a process for developing resilience action plans. The process has been implemented and successful in over one-hundred communities. The process, outlined below, is rich in information and dialogue and results in actionable plans and strong collaboration.



• Identify immediate opportunities to collaboratively advance actions to increase resilience.

#### 1.3 Hazard Mitigation and Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning in Belmont

The Town of Belmont (The Town) received an MVP Planning Grant to simultaneously prepare an MVP Summary of Findings and an HMP. Many of the required steps of the MVP process also satisfy requirements for updating an HMP. As a result, the Town created an action strategy that considers both the impacts based on historic data and climate change protected threats, following the lead established by the Commonwealth when it adopted the first-ever Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan (MA EOEEA and EOPSS, 2018).








Figure 1-2. Comparison of MVP and HMP Planning Process (Weston & Sampson, 2020)

#### 1.4 Planning Process Summary

To prepare for the development of this HMP-MVP Plan, the Town convened a core team of municipal leaders to lead the process and provide local expertise. The Town also followed the process described in the *Community Resilience Building Workshop Guidebook*. The guidebook provides a clear approach on how to organize the public process for mitigating the impacts of, and increasing resilience against, natural hazards and climate change. An important aspect of the natural hazard and climate change impact mitigation planning process is the discussion it promotes among community members about creating a safer, more resilient community. Developing a plan that reflects the Town's values and priorities is likely to produce greater community support and result in greater success in implementing mitigation strategies that reduce risk.

Federal regulations for HMP approval also guided the process. Most importantly, FEMA requires that stakeholders and the general public have opportunities to be involved during the planning process and in the plan's maintenance and implementation. Community members can therefore provide input that can affect the content and outcomes of the mitigation plan. The planning and outreach strategy used to develop this HMP-MVP Plan had three tiers: 1) the core team, with representation from municipal





leadership at the Town, 2) stakeholders who could be vulnerable to, or provide strength against, natural hazards and/or climate change, and 3) the public, who live and work in the Town.

#### 1.4.1 Core Team

The Town convened the Core Team to act as a steering committee for the development of the HMP-MVP Plan. The Core Team met on October 15, 2019 to plan for the Workshop, review public comments, develop the mitigation plan, and transition to implementation of the plan's mitigation strategies. More information on these meetings is included in Appendix A.

The Core Team established goals for the plan, provided information on hazards affecting the Town, identified critical infrastructure, identified key stakeholders, reviewed the status of existing mitigation measures, and developed proposed mitigation measures for this plan. Members of the Core Team are listed in Table 1-2.

| Name           | Title   |
|----------------|---|
| Diana Ekman    | Assistant Director of Health Board                            |
| Glenn Clancy   | Community and Economic Development Director                   |
| Jason Marcotte | Department of Public Works Director                           |
| Jon Marshall   | Assistant Town Administrator, Parks and Recreation Department |
| Mary Trudeau   | Conservation Commission                                       |
| Patrice Garvin | Town Administrator  |
| Steve Dorrance | Facilities Director   |
| Wesley Chin    | Health Department Director                                    |
| Wayne Haley    | Director of Emergency Management Agency, Assistant Fire Chief |
| James MacIsaac | Assistant Police Chief  |

#### Table 1-2. Belmont's Core Team

The Core Team developed the invitation list for the Community Resilience Building Workshop at which key stakeholders were invited to help the Town identify hazards, vulnerabilities, strengths, and proposed actions to mitigate the impacts of natural hazards and climate change. The Core Team sought to include municipal leaders as well as politicians, representatives from local nonprofit organizations, local universities, other local jurisdictions, regional organizations, and state government. The Core Team was also interviewed to update the status of the previous hazard mitigation plan and weighed in the prioritization of the action items through a survey. The Core Team also suggested or made available reports, maps, and other pertinent information related to natural hazards and climate change impacts in Belmont. These included:

- Town of Belmont Hazard Mitigation Plan (Town of Belmont and MAPC, 2013)
- Open Space and Recreation Plan 2008 Update (Town of Belmont, 2008)
- Town of Belmont Climate Action Plan (Town of Belmont, 2009)
- Town of Belmont Comprehensive Plan 2010-2020. A Vision for Belmont: Mapping a Sustainable Future (Town of Belmont, 2010)
- A Working Vision for Belmont's Future: Priorities and Progress (Town of Belmont, 2015)
- Town of Belmont Stormwater Management and Erosion Control By-Law (Town of Belmont, 2013)
- Stormwater Management and Erosion Control Rules and Regulations (Town of Belmont, 2014)





- Rock Meadow: A Conservation Master Plan (Belmont, 2018)
- Massachusetts Climate Change Projections (NECASC, 2018)
- Massachusetts Climate Change Adaptation Report (EEA, 2011)
- Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Change Adaptation (EEA and EOPSS, 2018)
- Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide (FEMA, 2013)
- Flood Insurance Rate Maps for Middlesex County, MA, (FEMA, 2010)
- National Center for Environmental Information (NOAA)
- National Water Information System (USGS)
- US Decennial Census (US Census Bureau, 2010)
- American Community Survey (US Census Bureau, 2018)

#### 1.4.2 Stakeholder Involvement: Community Resilience Building Workshop

Stakeholders with subject matter expertise and local knowledge and experience, including public officials, regional organizations, neighboring communities, environmental organizations, and local institutions, were invited to engage in a two-part Community Resilience Building Workshop, held on January 27th, 2020. During the first part of the Workshop, Weston & Sampson provided information about natural hazards and climate change and participants identified top hazards; infrastructural, societal and environmental features in the Town that are vulnerable to or provide strength against these challenges. During the second part of the Workshop, participants identified and prioritized key actions that would improve the Town's resiliency to natural and climate-related hazards. Community representatives who were invited and those who participated in the process are presented Appendix C with the materials from the Workshop.

Town leadership, including a member of the Select Board, the Town Administrator, and Assistant Town Administrator participated in the CRB Workshop. Staff members of the Town Planning Board, Community Development, Fire Department and Department of Public Works, who all play a role in land use planning or site development approvals, attended as well. Other perspectives were represented, such as the Stormwater Working Group, Information Technology Advisory Committee, Emergency Management Committee, Capital Budget Committee, and the Health Board. Representatives from the Council on Aging, School Committee, and the Cultural Council also participated. Regional representation included the MVP Regional Coordinator and representatives from the Office of the Massachusetts Representative Dave Rogers, Mystic River Watershed Association, and Massachusetts Water Resource Authority. Municipal staff from neighboring communities of Lexington, Arlington, Waltham, and Cambridge were invited to participate. The names and positions of all the stakeholders who were invited and those who were able to attend the Workshop are available in Appendix C. This broad representation of local and regional entities ensures the HMP-MVP Plan aligns with the operational policies and any hazard mitigation strategies at different levels of government and implementation.







Figure 1-3. Belmont CRB Workshop (Weston & Sampson, 2019)

*1.4.3 Listening* Session To gather information from the public and to educate the public on hazard mitigation and climate change, the Town hosted planned to host an in person public listening session. However, with the public health concerns surrounding the development of the COVID-19 pandemic, the Town shifted to an online engagement format. The Town hosted an online event to give a summary of the HMP-MVP Plan on April 22<sup>nd</sup> with over 25 participants. The event was recorded and posted online for review by residents and stakeholders unable to make the meeting. An online survey was available to provide additional input along with the video recording. The online survey had 97 participants and twas open until 05/06/2020. The listening session was promoted through the Town's communication channels, including the website and social media. The final report will be posted online between date and date. A summary of the public input is available in Appendix D and the input was integrated throughout this plan.

#### 1.4.4 Report Layout

The report presents the results and input derived from the core team, CRB workshop, and listening session in addition to the documentation of features, hazard profiles, and a vulnerability assessment. Features are assets or characteristics of the Town that may contribute to the Town's resilience or may be a considered a vulnerability. Features are categorized into several types-societal, economic, infrastructure, land use, and environmental. The strength and vulnerability of these features are generally





documented in Chapter 3, but Chapter 4 provides a more detailed assessment of the Town's vulnerability and strengths by hazard type. The hazard types cover flooding, wind-related risks (hurricanes, tropical storms, tornados, nor'easters, severe thunderstorms) winter storms, geological hazards (earthquakes and landslides), brushfires, extreme temperatures, and drought. Each hazard type's historic occurrences and impact, frequency, level of risk, and climate change projections are also described in each hazard profile. Chapter 5 lays out the existing mitigation measures the town is already taking. Chapter 6 provides an update of the progress made since the last HMP and Chapter 7 provides the action plan for moving forward. Chapter 8 describes the plan adoption and maintenance, and details on implementation.

#### 1.5 Planning Timeline

The HMP-MVP planning process proceed according to the timeline below.







### 2.0 HAZARD MITIGATION AND CLIMATE ADAPTATION GOALS

The Town of Belmont's Core Team convened to review and discuss the hazard mitigation and climate adaptation goals for the HMP-MVP Plan. The following six goals were developed and endorsed by the Core Team.

- 1. Prevent and reduce the loss of life, injury, public health impacts and property damages resulting from all major natural hazards and anticipated impacts of climate change. This may include preventing damages to:
  - a. Commercial, industrial, and residential structures.
  - b. Cultural and historic resources.
  - c. Public infrastructure, buildings, and essential services, such as electric power, drinking water, and the sewer system.
  - d. Vulnerable populations, such as elderly residents.
- 2. Identify and seek funding for measures to mitigate or eliminate each known significant hazard area and reduce the impacts of climate change.
- 3. Integrate hazard mitigation planning and climate change projections as an integral factor in all relevant municipal departments, committees and boards.
- 4. Facilitate collaboration in hazard mitigation planning, including collaboration with surrounding communities; state, regional and federal agencies; the business community, major institutions and non-profits.
- 5. Ensure that future development meets federal, state, and local standards for preventing and reducing the impacts of natural hazards today and under climate change projections.
- 6. Take maximum advantage of resources from FEMA and MEMA to educate Town staff and the public about hazard mitigation and climate change.





#### 3.0 COMMUNITY PROFILE, LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT TRENDS

#### **Community Profile** 3.1

The Town of Belmont was settled in 1636 and established in 1859. The Town of Belmont is bordered by Cambridge, Arlington, Lexington, Waltham, and Watertown and is just six miles from Boston. The historically consisted of expanse agricultural lands and supplied produce and livestock to the City of Boston. Belmont was known for its market gardens and the large amount of fruit and vegetables it produced. In the early 19<sup>th</sup> century, roads and railroads linked the town to Boston, which sparked suburban growth (Town of Belmont and MAPC, 2013). Despite its growth, Belmont has been able to maintain hundreds of acres of parks and agricultural lands. The Town had a population of just over 1,000 when it was established and has since grown to a population of 26,330 people in 2018 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2018).

The Town is home to a wealth of dedicated and able volunteers; hundreds of acres of parks, playgrounds, and recreational lands; and an excellent school system. During the CRB Workshop, one participant called Belmont, "the best town in America." Others commented on the Town's beautiful downtown area and wealth of municipal services. The Town is governed by a three-person Select Board and an appointed Town Administrator. The Town operates under the representative Town Meeting format. The Town maintains a website at https://www.belmont-ma.gov/.

#### 3.2 Societal Features

The Town offers numerous social services including an active Beech Street Center, Belmont Public Library, and youth programming. The Town's volunteer base and services are strengths that can be utilized for hazard mitigation planning, especially to reach the Town's most vulnerable populations. Vulnerable populations are folks whose everyday stressors make it harder to adapt and recover when shocks or hazards occur. In Belmont, seniors, youth, people who are disabled, households with limited English-speaking skills, and individuals with low incomes are considered vulnerable. Youth are a make up a large percentage of Belmont (25%), which is higher than the percentage of youth across the state (20%). Table 3-1 lists societal statistics for the Town in comparison to the rest of Massachusetts.

| Population                                 | Belmont   | Massachusetts |
|--|-----------|---------------|
| 2010                                       | 24,729    | 6,547,790     |
| 2018                                       | 26,043    | 6,902,149     |
| Age  |           |               |
| Under Age 18                               | 25%       | 20%           |
| Over Age 65                                | 17%       | 17%           |
| Education                                  |           |               |
| Bachelor's degree or higher                | 73%       | 42.1%         |
| Additional Information                     |           |               |
| Median household income                    | \$118,370 | \$74,167      |
| Individuals Living Below the Poverty Level | 6%        | 11%           |
| With a Disability                          | 3%        | 8%            |
| Households with Limited English            | 4%        | 6%            |
| Number of Housing Units                    |           | 2,864,989     |
| Renter-Occupancy Rate                      | 37%       | 38%           |

#### Table 3-1. Belmont Demographic Characteristics

(US Census Bureau, 2014-2018)





#### 3.2.1 CRB Workshop Discussion of Societal Features

Workshop participants identified those key societal aspects of Belmont that are most vulnerable to, or provide protection against, natural hazards and climate change impacts. Group discussions focused on vulnerable populations, such as the elderly, youth, homeless, low income, and disabled populations. Workshop participants discussed how information was disseminated in Belmont and how it could be distributed to reach these populations more effectively. The complete list of Workshop participant identified strengths and vulnerabilities can be found in Table 3-2.

| Strengths                                   | Vulnerabilities  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Multiple business centers                   | Households with limited English-speaking                       |  |  |  |
| Neighborhood and worship communities        | abilities if communication is not translated                   |  |  |  |
| Well-connected and informed residents       | <ul> <li>At-risk of isolation or need of additional</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| Diverse perspectives and experiences        | support (possibly youth, seniors, people with                  |  |  |  |
| across ages, abilities, and cultures        | disabilities)  |  |  |  |
| Regional partnerships – Mystic River        | Barriers to building personal resilience                       |  |  |  |
| Watershed Association                       | (income or homelessness)                                       |  |  |  |
| Current Housing Authority and Housing Trust | Need for more affordable and safe housing                      |  |  |  |
| properties                                  | and to upgrade current facilities                              |  |  |  |
| Emergency shelters                          | Need for shelter capacity checks                               |  |  |  |
| Senior Center                               | Heat-related illnesses   |  |  |  |

#### Table 3-2. Societal Features and Natural Hazards/Climate Change in Belmont

#### 3.3 Economic Features

A small, primarily residential community, Belmont's rapid growth has also turned it into business-friendly community. It is important to note that unemployment rate in Belmont is half of State's average (Table 3-3). A strong workforce strengthens both personal resilience and community resilience. The top employment industries in Belmont are Business Management, Science, and Arts (United States Census Bureau, 2014-2018). The largest employer in Belmont is by far Mclean Hospital, but other large employers are the Belmont Country Club, Belmont Hill School, Belmont Manor Nursing Center, and People's United Bank. Belmont is also home to a growing number of technology-based companies including Custom Learning Designs and Horizon International TRD (EOLWD, 2019). Belmont has four public elementary schools, one public middle school, one public high school, and several private schools. Belmont is home to a number of independent kindergartens, pre-schools, and day cares. Communication between businesses, schools, and the Town will be key when moving forward the hazard mitigation planning efforts and ensuring large employers and schools have emergency protocols in place. Table 3-3 lists economic statistics for the Town in comparison to the rest of Massachusetts.

|                                     | Belmont | Massachusetts |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------------|--|--|
| Labor Force                         | 13,802  | 3,755,481     |  |  |
| Unemployment Rate                   | 3.0%    | 6.0%          |  |  |
| Employed in Top Employment          | 31.9%   | 28.2%         |  |  |
| Industry                            |         |               |  |  |
| Commuters who drove to work         | 69.3%   | 78.1%         |  |  |
| Commuters with > 30 min             | 55.1%   | 45.1%         |  |  |
| travel time to work                 |         |               |  |  |
| <i>"</i> <b>10.0 D 0.0 ( 10.0 )</b> |         |               |  |  |

Table 3-3. Economic Statistics

(US Census Bureau, 2014-2018)





#### 3.4 Infrastructural Features

Route 2 borders Belmont to the North. This provides easy access to I-95 and connects Belmont to Boston. There are two MBTA Commuter Rail stops in Belmont, and the Red Line terminus is located in neighboring Cambridge. Belmont has less commuters that drive to work than the state (Table 3-3), indicating that many uses public transit, bike, and walk. However, percentage of residents with more than 30-minute travel time to work is higher compared to the state's average percentage. This is probably due to the Town's proximity to Boston. Commuters who drive through the Town often faces heavy traffic. Roads and bridges can be impacted by snow, ice, downed trees, and in some cases flooding. The Town has multiple business centers that are pedestrian friendly, and there is a section of bike path that connects Belmont to Cambridge.

The current emergency shelter is at the Middle School, and the Senior Center can also be used in an emergency, though it lacks the infrastructure for a generator. The Town of Belmont purchases water and sewer services from the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA), but maintains their own Department of Public Works, Water Division, and Highway Division. Belmont Light (formerly Belmont Municipal Light Department) is the electricity utility in the Town. Electricity for the town is generated outside of Belmont in wholesale generating plants all over New England and transported to through several interconnections (Belmont Light, n.d.).

#### 3.4.1 CRB Workshop Discussion of Existing Infrastructure

Workshop participants identified those key infrastructure features in Belmont that are most vulnerable to, or provide protection against, natural hazards and climate change impacts. Group discussions centered around roadway and drainage infrastructure and how extreme precipitation and snowstorms impact them. There was also extensive discussion around emergency backup energy sources for the Town, specifically the emergency shelters and other critical facilities. As noted below in Table 3-4, the majority of the existing infrastructure features were determined to be both a vulnerability and a strength.

| Table 3-4. Infrastructure reatures   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Strengths  | Vulnerabilities  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Mobility options: commuter rail, buses, and bike path</li> <li>Critical facilities</li> <li>Data centers</li> <li>Emergency communication</li> <li>Drinking water infrastructure is all new</li> <li>MWRA has adequate water supply</li> <li>Locally managed electric infrastructure</li> <li>Municipal buildings</li> <li>Roadway access</li> <li>Opportunities for nature-based stormwater solutions</li> <li>Multiple large, private buildings and entities that could be great resources</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Sanitor sewer system and pollutant loading</li> <li>Reliance on critical services and facilities and need for redundancies</li> <li>Occasional brownouts during high temperature events</li> <li>Aging and undersized stormwater infrastructure (Beaver Brook Culvert, Clifton and Hickory, Belmont St and Lexington St, Trapelo Rd)</li> <li>High maintenance demand to upkeep roadways and sidewalks</li> <li>Aging municipal building stock</li> <li>Flooding of Substation 1 (decommissioning)</li> <li>Need additional data storage</li> </ul> |  |  |

#### Table 3-4. Infrastructure Features

#### 3.5 Environmental Features

Belmont has a total land area of 4.7 square miles (U.S. Census Bureau 2010). Belmont is a town rich with environmental resources including waterbodies and forested land. Multiple brooks are located in Belmont, including Beaver Brook, Alewife Brook, and Winn Brook. The Town also has ponds including



westonandsampson.com

Little Pond, Mill Pond, and Claypit Pond, which is located near the high school and has been a source of flooding in the past. The Town has a multitude of green space, open space, and recreation space, including the Rock Meadow Conservation Area, Beaver Brook Reservation, Lone Tree Hill, and multiple playing fields.

#### 3.5.1 CRB Workshop Discussion of the Environment

Workshop participants identified those key environmental features in Belmont that are most vulnerable to, or provide protection against, natural hazards and climate change impacts. Participants discussed pests at length, noting that rats, coyotes, ticks, geese, and mosquitoes were all common pests in Belmont. In addition, there are also environmental concerns around tree health, invasive species, and vector borne diseases. Participants also discussed the strengths of Belmont's natural spaces at length, while also acknowledging that these spaces can be vulnerable to pollution, flooding, and other hazards.

Workshop participants were concerned about pollution in Belmont, noting the former incinerator site and stormwater pollution. The former incinerator site may be a concern if water were to infiltrate into the site and potentially leach out, which would contaminate the surrounding area. The Town is currently planning clean up under the direction of MassDEP. Stormwater pollution occurs from polluted runoff that enters the stormwater system in addition to having some areas of Town that are combined stormwater sewer systems. Workshop participants were also concerned about the annual air temperatures and possible increase in air pollution. The complete list of Workshop participant identified environmental strengths and vulnerabilities can be found in Table 3-5.

| Strengths                  | Vulnerabilities  |  |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| Overall access to          | <ul> <li>Few dense areas with less open space</li> </ul>                                     |  |
| open space and             | Air quality on hot days  |  |
| recreation                 | Aging gas lines  |  |
| Little industrial activity | Water quality (inflow and infiltration pollution, illicit connections,<br>stormwater runoff) |  |
| compared to other          | stormwater runoff)   |  |
| communities                | <ul> <li>Vector borne diseases (from mosquitos and ticks)</li> </ul>                         |  |
| Solar energy               | <ul> <li>Invasive species and pests (rats, coyotes, geese)</li> </ul>                        |  |
| installments and           | <ul> <li>Native species in hotter temperatures and drought</li> </ul>                        |  |
| opportunities              | <ul> <li>Flooding of waterbodies and flash flooding of streams</li> </ul>                    |  |
| Tree canopy and            | Erosion near Beaver Brook, Wellington, and Winns Brook                                       |  |
| street trees               | Loss or deterioration of wetlands  |  |
| Wetlands provide           | Hazardous waste sites (historic incinerator and transfer site)                               |  |
| flood storage              | Lots of impervious surface   |  |
|                            | <ul> <li>Trees are aging and need to plant more</li> </ul>                                   |  |

#### Table 3-5. Environmental Features and Natural Hazards/Climate Change in Belmont

#### 3.6 Land Use

The most recent land use statistics available are based on MassGIS Standardized Assessors data. Figure 4 displays the land use categories and percentages within Belmont. The approximate land area of Belmont is 3,019 acres Residential land use makes up 51.82% of the town land. Commercial use makes of 7.77% of the town land. Open space and recreation comprise another 7.36%. Governmental properties are owned by state and local agencies, some of which, are also used for recreational purposes or would be classified as open space.






Figure 3-1. Land Use in Belmont (MassGIS, 2020)

#### 3.7 Recent and Potential Development

MAPC's MassBuilds Database provides an inventory of recent, future, and potential development along with development acreage, number of housing units, commercial area, and project type. The database was queried for Belmont and was reviewed by the Director of Community Development. A final list of recent and planned three residential developments, three educational developments, one retail development, one commercial development, and three mixed use developments in the Town. The earliest development identified was 2013 in the MassBuilds Database and was provided as a parameter to Town staff. The developments in Belmont include a total of 435 housing units, 22 commercial units, and 32,117 square feet of educational space (see Table 3-6).

| Name   | Status                    | Housing Unit/<br>Commercial sqft. | Project Type |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Oakmont Lane Subdivision - 108<br>Woodfall Rd        | In Construction -<br>2020 | 4 units                           | Residential  |
| The Barn at Belmont Day School – 55<br>Day School Ln | Completed -<br>2019       | 25,817 sq ft.                     | Educational  |
| 75 Leonard Street                                    | Completed -<br>2019       | 5,068 sq ft.                      | Commercial   |

| Table | 3-6 | <b>Developments</b> | in | Belmont |
|-------|-----|---------------------|----|---------|
|-------|-----|---------------------|----|---------|





| Name   | Status                    | Housing Unit/<br>Commercial sqft. | Project Type               |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| National Armenian Studies and<br>Research Library Expansion – 395<br>Concord Ave | Completed -<br>2020       | 6,300 sq ft.                      | Educational                |
| 344 Pleasant Street  | Completed -<br>2019       | 3,516 sq ft.                      | Retail                     |
| Uplands – 375 Acorn Park Drive   | Completed -<br>2019       | 299 units                         | Residential                |
| Oakley – 15 Oakley Rd  | Completed -<br>2013       | 17 units                          | Residential                |
| Bradford Development – 112 Trapelo Rd  | In Construction -<br>2020 | 115 units/<br>37,500 sq ft.       | Residential/<br>Commercial |
| Middle and High School project – 221<br>Concord Avenue                           | In Construction -<br>2020 | 451,575 sq ft.                    | Educational                |
| 493 Trapelo Rd   | In Construction -<br>2020 | 12 units/<br>4,148 sq ft.         | Commercial/<br>Mixed Use   |
| 945-505 Trapelo Rd   | In Construction -<br>2020 | 10 units/<br>4,000 sq ft.         | Commercial/<br>Mixed Use   |

#### Table 3-6 Developments in Belmont

(MAPC, 2020)

#### 3.8 Critical Facilities & Vulnerable Populations

Critical facilities are extremely essential components to the Town's function and protecting them from natural hazards is paramount. Critical facilities range in function from: 1) resources that can be utilized to respond and recover from natural hazards; 2) facilities where additional assistance might be needed; and 3) hazardous sites that could be dangerous if it is compromised during a natural disaster. Critical facilities in the Town of Belmont have been identified with help from knowledgeable Town staff, MassGIS data, existing Town and Regional Plans, and the assessment of other Town features presented in previous sections. Critical facilities and vulnerable populations have been broken into five categories:

- 1. Emergency Response Sites
- 2. Non-Emergency Response Facilities
- 3. Potentially Dangerous/Hazard Materials and Facilities
- 4. Community Facilities and Census Tracts with Denser Youth and Senior Populations
- 5. Natural Resources

#### 3.8.1 Category 1 – Emergency Response Sites

Emergency response facilities that are necessary for the Town in the event of a disaster.

#### Police and Fire Department

Belmont Police Department Temporary Police Department Fire Headquarters (Roland A. Weatherbee) Fire Station 2

460 Concord Avenue 40 Woodland St 299 Trapelo Rd

99 Leonard St





| <u>Town Facilities</u><br>Belmont Highway Department Office<br>Belmont DPW Garage | 19 Moore Street, 1st Floor<br>37 C Street |
|---|---|
| <u>Emergency Shelters</u><br>Winthrop L Chenery Middle School                     | 95 Washington St                          |
| <u>Communication Facilities</u><br>Communication Tower<br>Communication Tower     | 780 Concord Ave<br>460 Concord Ave        |
| Primary Evacuation Routes<br>Route 60 (Pleasant Street)                           |   |

#### <u>Critical Bridges, Intersections, and Sites</u> Stone Railroad Overpass-Belmont Center Lexington St and Trapelo Rd Bridge

#### 3.8.2 Category 2 – Non-Emergency Response Facilities

The Town has identified these facilities as non-emergency facilities; however, they are considered essential for the everyday operation of Belmont.

| , |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| Town Facilities                         |                     |
| Town Hall                               | 455 Concord Ave     |
| Homer Municipal Building                | 19 Moore Street     |
| Belmont School Department               | 644 Pleasant Street |
| DPW Water Yard                          | 35 Woodland Street  |
| DPW Yard Waste Facility                 | 1130 Concord Ave    |
| Belmont Light Department                | 40 Prince Street    |
| Belmont Public Library                  | 336 Concord Ave     |
|   |                     |

Sewer Pumping Station Sewer Pumping Station Sewer Pumping Station Sewer Pumping Station

Water Pumping Station MWRA Water Pumping Station

Transit Facility Belmont Center Train Station Waverly Square Commuter Rail Station Stony Brook Rd Channing Rd

Woodbine Rd

Alexander Ave

Railroad and Concord Ave 495 Trapelo Road





3.8.3 Category 3 – Potentially Dangerous/Hazardous Materials and Facilities

Category 3 are facilities that are potentially dangerous if they were to fail or stop functioning.

#### Dams

Payson Park Reservoir Dam Mill Pond Dam Duck Pond Dam

#### <u>Landfill</u>

Town of Belmont Landfill (BFI Landfill) 1150 Concord Ave

#### Underground Storage Tanks

Cambridge Plating Co. Inc. White Street Garage Cityside Subaru TNT Service Corp. **Belmont Police Department** Town of Belmont Water Department Belmont Springs Water Co. Inc. **Belmont Hill School** Cushing Square Exxon Tarabelsi Brothers Service Inc. McLean Hospital Town of Belmont Light Dept. Light Dept. Yard Peter Fuller Dodge Inc. New England Telephone Co. James Flett Equipment Co. Inc. Garber Auto Service Best - Belmont #6 01PM7 Belmont Gas & Service Sta. 01193 Mobil Oil Corp. 01-196 Leonard Forziati Benny's Service Center Prop #1404 Pleasant Street Texaco Getty Prop #1339 Belmont Citgo P & M Service Center Belmont Springs Water Co. Inc. Belmont DPW Garage

**39** Hittinger Street 43 White Street 790 Pleasant Street 55 Brighton Street 460 Concord Ave 35 Woodland Street 1010 Pleasant Street 350 Prospect Street 90 Trapelo Road 280 Trapelo Road 115 Mill Street 450 Concord Ave. 40 Prince Street 1000 Pleasant Street 115 Leonard Street 800 Pleasant Street 50 Brighton Street 80 Concord Ave 365 Concord Ave 350 Trapelo Road 337 Pleasant Street 27 Lexington Street 768 Pleasant Street 130 Trapelo Road 563 Trapelo Road 368 Pleasant Street 350 Pleasant Street 500 Common Street 82 Concord Ave 1010 Pleasant Street 37 C Street





#### Hazardous Materials Site

Auto Repair SHop Belmont Volkswagon Mobil Station Near Flanders Road 1000 Pleasant Street The Belmont Country Club Inc. Purecoat North, LLC (Cambridge Plating)

50 Brighton Street 263Trapelo Road 82 Concord Ave 11 Brighton Street 1000 Pleasant Street 181 Winter Street 39 Hittinger Street

#### Gas Stations

365 Concord Ave 337 Pleasant St 500 Common St Suite A 90 Trapelo Rd 563 Trapelo Rd 768 Pleasant St 27 Lexington St 180 Belmont St 350 Trapelo Rd 368 Pleasant St 82 Concord Ave 337 Mill St 188 Belmont St

#### Electric Substation/Powerplants

Power Substation Electric Light Substation 1 Electric Light Substation 2 Electric Light Substation 3 20 Flanders Road

#### 3.8.4 Category 4 – Community Facilities and Census Tracts

Category 4 are facilities serve the broader community and groups within the community that have been identified as vulnerable due to their circumstances, for example, possible isolation. A display of Census Tracts with denser youth and senior populations is available in Appendix C on the critical facilities map.

Housing Authority Properties

Waverly Woods Apartments Belmont Village Waverly Oaks Apartments Sherman Gardens 10 Olmstead Drive 59 Pearson Road Trapelo Rd Thayer Rd and Sycamore St





Elderly Living

Belmont Manor Nursing Home, Inc. Hill Estates Flett Apartments

34 Agassiz Ave Brighton St Trapelo Rd

#### Belmont Public Schools (BPS), Private Schools, and Daycares

**Belmont Day School Belmont Hill School BPS** - High School BPS - Chenery Middle School w/Library **BPS** – Butler School **BPS** – Burbank School **BPS** - Winn Brook School **BPS** – Wellington School Kendall Nursery and Kindergarten **Plymouth Nursery School** Belmont Co-op Nursery School Payson Park PreSchool Butler Extended Day Program Winn Brook Extended Learning Burbank After School Program BASEC@Chenery Waldorf School Winchester School of Chinese Culture Petit Feet Academy Preschool **Belmont Nursery School** Adventures Pre-School Little Sprouts Christ Lutheran Childcare and Nursery School The Learning Zone Willows Christian Childrens Academy Waverley Square Daycare The Wonder School Global Montessori School McLean Hospital - Child Care Center

Religious Centers

St. Joseph's Church Payson Park Church Belmont United Methodist Church First Baptist Church First Church of Christ Scientist St. Lukes Church First Unitarian Church First Armenian Church Beth El Temple Center

95 Washington Street 90 White Street 266 School Street 97 Waterhouse Road 121 Orchard Street 577 Belmont Street 582 Pleasant Street 130 Common Street 365 Belmont Street 90 White Street 97 Waterhouse Road 266 School Street 95 Washington Street 160 Lexington Street 582 Pleasant Street 24 Trapelo Road 773 Belmont Street 160B Lexington Street 259 Beech Street 597 Belmont Street 277-281 Belmont Street 310 Trapelo Road 430 Trapelo Road 37 White Street 15 Clark Street 115 Mill Street

55 Day School Lane

350 Prospect Street

221 Concord Avenue

120 Common Street
365 Belmont Street
421 Common Street
129 Lexington Street
199 Common Street
132 Lexington Street
404 Concord Avenue
380 Concord Avenue
2 Concord Avenue





Belmont Hill School - Chapel Christ Evangelical Lutheran Church Open Door Baptist Church Belmont Community Church of God All Saints Church Cornerstone Baptist Church Mormon Church Boston Temple Holy Cross Armenian Catholic Church Mount Hope Church 350 Prospect Street
597 Belmont Street
300 Pleasant Street
25 Marlboro Street
17 Clark Street
54 Brighton Street
15 Ledgewood Place
86 Frontage Road
200 Lexington Street
51 Lexington Street

#### **Grocery Stores**

535 Trapelo Road 62 Concord Ave 265 Belmont St 369 Trapelo Rd 264 Trapelo Rd

#### <u>Hospitals</u>

McLean Hospital

#### 3.8.5 Category 5 – Natural Resources

Natural resources can help protect against natural hazards and are climate adaptation assets.

#### Water Resources

Payson Park Reservoir (Cambridge Reservoir) Beaver Brook Alewife Brook Winn Brook Clay Pit Pond Mill Pond Duck Pond FEMA National Flood Hazards DEP Wetlands

#### Open Space & Conservation Land

- Rock Meadow Beaver Brook Reservation McLean Hospital Ogilby Property (Sergi Farms) Clay Pit Pond Park Joey's Park Pequossette Park Habitat Education Center and Wildlife Sanctuary Alewife Brook Reservation (Metropolitan Park)
- Concord Ave Concord Ave Concord Ave, Mill St, Pleasant St Blanchard Road Concord Avenue 177 Cross Street 72 Maple Street

Juniper Rd

Route 2, Lake Street





### 4.0 HAZARD PROFILES, RISK ASSESSMENT & VULNERABILITIES

Each hazard profile contains information on the areas vulnerable to the hazard, documentation of historic events, a risk and vulnerability assessment, and projected climate risk. The risk and vulnerability assessment examines both the frequency and severity of hazards, and their potential impact to the Town of Belmont. Each hazard risk and vulnerability assessment uses previous occurrences and along with climate projections to determine areas that are more at risk as well as the likelihood that a hazard will occur. The vulnerability analysis looks at various factors in the community, such as existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities. In some cases, an estimate of the potential dollar loss to vulnerable structures is available. Land uses and development trends were of particular interest in the flood vulnerability assessment.

The hazard profiles were updated with information from the 2018 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan (SHMCAP) (EEA and EOPSS, 2018) and additional research and assessment. The Core Team, CRB workshop, and listening session results provided local accounts of each hazard. A Geographic Information System (GIS) assessment was conducted to analyze the potential impact of flooding in Belmont on current and future development. FEMA's Hazus software was used to model potential damage of hurricanes and earthquakes.

#### 4.1 Statewide Overview of Hazards

#### 4.1.1 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation

The 2013 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation Plan (MEMA and DCR, 2013) and the 2018 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan (SHMCAP) (EEA and EOPSS, 2018) examined the natural hazards that have the potential to impact the Commonwealth. These plans summarize the frequency and severity of hazards of greatest concern. The frequency classification ranges from very low to high. Severity classifications are listed as a range from minor severity to catastrophic. The box below gives further definitions of the frequency and severity characterizations. Table 4-1 summarizes the frequency and severity of hazard risk in Belmont and the State. These frequency and severity classifications will assist the Town in prioritizing mitigation actions for each hazard.

# Definitions used in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation Plan Frequency

- Very low frequency: events that occur less frequently than once in 100 years or less than 1% per year
- Low frequency: events that occur from once in 50 years to once in 100 years or 1% to 2% per year
- Medium frequency: events that occur from once in 5 years to once in 50 years or 2% to 20% per year
- High frequency: events that occur more frequently than once in 5 years or greater than 20% per year

#### Severity

- *Minor*: Limited and scattered property damage; limited damage to public infrastructure and essential services not interrupted; limited injuries or fatalities.
- Serious: Scattered major property damage; some minor infrastructure damage; essential services are briefly interrupted; some injuries and/or fatalities.
- *Extensive*: Widespread major property damage; major public infrastructure damage (up to several days for repairs); essential services are interrupted from several hours to several days; many injuries and/or fatalities.
- *Catastrophic*: Property and public infrastructure destroyed; essential services stopped; numerous injuries and fatalities.





| Table 4-1. Hazard Risk Summary |   |                           |  |  |
|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| Hazard                         | Frequency   | Severity                  |  |  |
| Inland Flooding                | High<br>(1 flood disaster declaration event every 3<br>years; 43 floods per year of lesser magnitude) | Serious to Catastrophic   |  |  |
| Dam failures                   | Very Low  | Extensive to Catastrophic |  |  |
| Coastal Hazards                | High<br>(6 events per year over past 10 years)  | Serious to Extensive      |  |  |
| Tsunami                        | Very Low<br>(1 event every 39 years on East Coast, 0 in MA)   | Extensive to Catastrophic |  |  |
| Hurricane/<br>Tropical Storm   | High<br>(1 storm every other year)  | Serious to Catastrophic   |  |  |
| High Wind                      | High<br>(43.5 events per year)  | Minor to Extensive        |  |  |
| Tornadoes                      | High<br>(1.7 events per year)   | Serious to Extensive      |  |  |
| Thunderstorms                  | High<br>(20 to 30 events per year)  | Minor to Extensive        |  |  |
| Nor'easter                     | High<br>(1 to 4 events per year)  | Minor to Extensive        |  |  |
| Snow and Blizzard              | High<br>(1 per year)  | Minor to Extensive        |  |  |
| Ice Storms                     | High<br>(1.5 per year)  | Minor to Extensive        |  |  |
| Earthquake                     | Very Low<br>(10-15% probability of magnitude 5.0 or greater<br>in New England in 10 years)            | Minor to Catastrophic     |  |  |
| Landslide                      | Low<br>(once every two years in western MA)   | Minor to Extensive        |  |  |
| Brush Fires                    | High<br>(at least 1 per year)   | Minor to Extensive        |  |  |
| Extreme<br>Temperatures        | High<br>(1.5 cold weather and 2 hot weather events per<br>year)                                       | Minor to Serious          |  |  |
| Drought                        | High<br>(8% chance of "Watch" level drought per month<br>[recent droughts in 2016 and 1960s])         | Minor to Serious          |  |  |

Table 4-1. Hazard Risk Summary

(Adapted from MEMA and DCR, 2013, and EEA and EOPSS 2018, with assistance from Belmont)

Not all hazards included in the 2018 State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan or the 2013 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation Plan apply to the Town of Belmont. Given Belmont's inland location, coastal hazards and tsunamis are unlikely to affect the Town. Given the type of fires that have






occurred in Belmont's history, the Town will focus on brush fires rather than wildfires. It is assumed that the entire Town of Belmont and its critical facilities are susceptible during the occurrence of events such as earthquakes, high wind events, hurricanes, winter storms, temperature extremes and snow and ice. Flood risk from riparian flooding is elevated in the vicinity of the flood zones. Landslides are more likely in areas with more unstable soils types.

#### 4.1.2 Federally Declared Disasters in Massachusetts

Tracking historic hazards and federally declared disasters that have occurred in Massachusetts, and more specifically Middlesex County, help planners understand the possible extent and frequency of hazards. Historically, Massachusetts has experienced multiple type of hazards, including flooding, blizzards, and hurricanes. Since 1991, there have been 22 storms in Massachusetts that resulted in federal or state disaster declarations. Sixteen disaster declarations occurred in Middlesex County. Federally declared disaster open up additional FEMA grant opportunities for regional recovery and mitigation projects. The hazard profiles provided below contain further information about federally declared disasters.

#### 4.1.3 Impacts of Climate Change

Many of the hazards that Belmont commonly experiences are projected to worsen due to climate change. Climate change refers to changes in regional weather patterns that are linked to warming of the Earth's atmosphere as a result of both human activity and natural fluctuations. The Earth's atmosphere has naturally occurring greenhouse gases (GHGs), like carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), that capture heat and contribute to the regulation of the Earth's climate. When fossil fuels (oil, coal and gas) are burned, GHGs




are released into the atmosphere and the Earth's temperature tends to increase. The global temperature increase affects the jet stream and climate patterns. The climate in Massachusetts is expected to reflect historic climate patterns of Southern New England or Mid-Atlantic States depending upon GHG emission scenarios. Climate change has already started to change the climate in Massachusetts and these trends are likely to continue. Climate change is likely to affect Massachusetts's typical precipitation cycle, leading to more intense rainfall and storms and more

episodic or flash droughts. Temperatures will increase in both summer and winter. Each of the hazard profiles provided below includes more detail on how hazard frequency and intensity are likely to shift with climate change.

#### 4.1.4 Top Hazards as Defined in the CRB Workshop

Workshop participants were asked to identify the four top hazards Belmont faces. There was extensive discussion that lead to the selection of these top hazards. They were:









Workshop participants expressed concern that poorly designed stormwater management systems can cause localized flooding during extreme precipitation events. In recent years improvements have been made to reduce stormwater flooding, such as the trash trap on Wellington Brook, but it is still an issue and will be exacerbated with climate change. Maintenance and upgrades to the system must continuously occur to ensure that the system is functioning efficiently.

Belmont's roadways were brought up frequently in the discussion. Belmont's roads are utilized by thousands of commuters daily, and thus require regular upkeep from the Town's Highway Division. Maintenance of roadways often overlaps with stormwater management, as lack of efficient drainage causes flooding in roadways. There were discussions about the roadway maintenance during winter, after heavy precipitation to mitigate flooding, after strong winds to remove downed trees and also about the low impact development opportunities of the roadway system. In the winter, it is often difficult to manage snow removal on the roads because of the busy urban traffic. Additionally, if there are downed trees due to a winter weather event, the Highway Division splits the manpower between tree cleanup and road plowing. This results in delays in both services.

The groups also talked extensively about tree management in Belmont. Trees can be a problem when they are not maintained properly around roadways, power lines, and structures. During wind events and snowstorms, Belmont experience power outages due to downed trees It was also noted that periods of drought can degrade tree health and make them more susceptible to falling. This can be a potential problem related to global warming. Therefore, it will be beneficial for the town in the long run to allocate more resources for hazardous tree removal. Electrical infrastructure will also benefit from increased hazardous tree removal. Other challenges with electric infrastructure were also discussed, such as flooding of power stations, lack of public education on electricity use, and lack of redundancy.



Figure 4-2. Belmont's CRB Workshop (Weston & Sampson, 2020)





#### 4.2 Flood-Related Hazards

Flooding can be caused by various weather events including hurricanes, extreme precipitation, thunderstorms, nor'easters, and winter storms, which were identified as main hazards during Belmont's MVP Workshop. While Belmont experiences these events, the impacts of climate change will likely lead to increasingly severe storms and, therefore, increasingly severe impacts. The impacts of flooding include injury or death, property damage, and traffic disruption. Areas within the FEMA Flood Zones, repetitive loss sites, and local areas identified as flood prone are more vulnerable to the impacts of flooding. The following sub-sections provide more information on historic flooding events, potential flood hazards, a vulnerability assessment, locally identified areas of flooding, and information on the risk of dam failures. This analysis of flood hazard areas was informed by the FEMA NFIP Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), a GIS vulnerability assessment, information from Belmont town staff, and accounts of past flood events provided by participants during the Belmont MVP Workshop.

Flood hazards are also directly linked to erosion, which can compromise the stability of building foundations. This puts current and future structures and populations located near steep embankments, or along water bodies, at risk. Erosion can also undercut streambeds and pose a risk to those walking along the banks. Structures or critical facilities located near the water bodies in Belmont may be considered at risk from fluvial erosion.




Figure 4-3. Potential Impacts of Increasing Precipitation (Weston & Sampson based on EEA, 2018)

#### 4.2.1 Areas Vulnerable to Flooding

Flooding can be both riverine (topping the banks of streams, rivers, ponds) and from stormwater that is not properly infiltrated into the ground.





#### Riverine Flooding

Belmont is located within the Mystic River Watershed, borders the Fresh Pond Reservoir, and is home to several other water bodies, such as:

- Clay Pit Pond
- Little Pond
- Mill Pond
- Duck Pond

- Beaver Brook
- Alewife Brook
- Winn Brook

Areas within the flood zones are more vulnerable to storm events that have a 1% chance or a 0.2% chance of occurring on an annual basis. The definitions of these flood zones are provided below. Most of the FEMA floodplain in Belmont borders Clay Pit Pond, Alewife Brook, and Beaver Brook.

#### Flood Insurance Rate Map Zone Definitions

**Zone A** (1% annual chance or 100-year flood zone): Zone A is the flood insurance rate zone corresponding to the 100-year floodplains that are determined in the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) by approximate methods. Detailed hydraulic analyses are not performed for such areas, therefore, no BFEs (base flood elevations) or depths are shown within this zone. Mandatory flood insurance purchase requirements apply.

**Zone AE and A1-A30** (1% annual chance or 100-year flood zone): Zones AE and A1-A30 are the flood insurance rate zones that correspond to the 100-year floodplains that are determined in the FIS by detailed methods. In most instances, BFEs derived from the detailed hydraulic analyses are shown at selected intervals within this zone. Mandatory flood insurance purchase requirements apply.

**Zone X** (0.2% annual chance or 500-year flood zone): Zone X is the flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 500-year floodplains that are determined in the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) by approximate methods. Because detailed hydraulic analyses are not performed for such areas, no BFEs or depths are shown within this zone.

(FEMA, 2019b)

#### Repetitive Loss Sites

As defined by FEMA and the NFIP, a repetitive loss property is any insured property which the NFIP has paid two or more flood claims of \$1,000 or more in any given 10-year period since 1978 (FEMA and NFIP 2018a). There were four total repetitive loss structures in Belmont. Two of the losses were insured. The repetitive loss payments totaled \$46,465 and the majority of the payments were to insured properties (\$31,600) (DCR, 2019).

| Flood Insurance Data                |              | Repetitive Loss (RL) Data |          |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Flood Insurance Policies in Force   | 56           | RL Buildings              | 2        |
| Premium                             | \$30,410     | RL Losses                 | 4        |
| Insurance in Force                  | \$17,660,300 | RL Payments (total)       | \$46,425 |
| Number of Closed Paid Losses        | 17           | RL Payments (building)    | \$46,032 |
| Dollar Amount of Closed Paid Losses | \$114,003    | RL Payments (contents)    | \$393    |

Table 4-2. Flood Insurance Data

(DCR, 2019)





Flooding events in Belmont have been classified as a high frequency event. As defined by the Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan (EEA and EOPSS 2018), this hazard occurs once in three years (33% chance per year) in Massachusetts. During Belmont's MVP Workshop in January 2020, participants expressed concern about flooding in Belmont. There was discussion about flooding near culverts and on roadways.

### Stormwater Flooding

Stormwater flooding occurs during a precipitation event where the rate of rainfall is greater than the stormwater management system can handle. This may be due to an undersized culvert, poor drainage, topography, high amounts of impervious surfaces, or debris that causes the stormwater system to function below its design standard. In these cases, the stormwater management system becomes overwhelmed, causing water to inundate roadways and properties. Stormwater flooding can occur anywhere in Town and is not limited to areas surrounding water bodies.

Most stormwater systems in Massachusetts are aging and have been designed with rainfall data that is no longer accurate. Figure 4-4 shows how the amount of rainfall of design storm data has increased from 1961 to 2015, especially for the larger 24-hour, 100-year event. Green infrastructure or low impact development improvements can help reduce stress on the capacity of the existing stormwater system by increasing infiltration on site. A rain garden or pervious pavement are example strategies. Upsizing culverts with new rainfall data was also recommended.




Figure 4-4. Design Storms in History. Engineers will need to design and size culverts with future precipitation data in mind (NOAA TP-40, 1961) and NOAA Atlas Volume 10 (2015)

### Locally Identified Areas of Flooding

Town staff and MVP Workshop participants helped identify local areas of flooding, which are summarized in Table 4-3 below. These areas may not directly overlap with the FEMA-designated flood





zones previously discussed; however, these areas have been noted to flood during a significant rain event. This is often due to topography and/or insufficient drainage.

One area that has been historically prone to flooding is the Clay Pit Pond area. The flooding around this area has been reduced in recent years with the installation of a trash trap on Wellington Brook, but additional work is needed to further protect the Town buildings and residential buildings in this area.

Flooding also occurs on Trapelo Road near Waltham. Beaver brook runs under the road through a culvert in this area, and during high water periods, the water exceeds the culvert's capacity and flood the road. This culvert is scheduled to be replaced within the next two years by the towns of Waltham and Belmont.

The Town of Belmont sources electricity from the Eversource Substation at Fresh Pond Mall in Cambridge, which is a flood prone area. Therefore, it is important that Belmont communicates with Cambridge regarding flooding, redundancy, and electricity backup before any major storm events. The areas of Acorn Park Drive and Pequossette Park have historically been prone to flooding during severe storm events, however the Town has resolved the flooding issues in these areas, and flooding has not been a problem since then. Recently, development of a large apartment building in Acorn Park required significant stormwater management in order to not exacerbate the flooding problem.

|                     | Table 4-3. Locally Identified Areas of Flooding   |
|---------------------|---|
| Name                | Description of Issue and Efforts to Address   |
| Clay Pit<br>Pond    | Some flooding has been resolved in this area since the last HMP, but it is still a minor concern. |
| Trapelo<br>Rd       | In discussions with Waltham on resolving the undersized culvert under Trapelo Rd.                 |
| Acorn<br>Park<br>Rd | Flooding issues have been addressed with stormwater improvements since the last HMP               |
| Winn<br>Brook       | Flooding results from the combined sewer overflows  |

### 4.2.2 Historic Flood Events

#### Locally Significant Floods

Since the 1950s, several significant floods have impacted the Town of Belmont. Major floods events that affected the Town are presented in the list below.

- August 1954
- March 1968
- January 1979
- April 1987
- October 1991
- October 1996
  - June 1998
    - lune 1998

- June, 2000
- March 2001
- March 2003
- April 2004
- May 2006
- March 2010
- July 2010

- July 2014
- December 2014
- April 2018
- June 2018
- September 2019

(Storm Events Database, NOAA, 2019, Town of Belmont and MAPC, 2013)





### Middlesex Flooding Events

NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information Storm Events Database (NOAA, 2018a) provides information on previous flood events for Middlesex County, where the Town of Belmont is located. Flash Flood events are considered by the NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information Storm Events Database as "a life-threatening, rapid rise of water into a normally dry area beginning within minutes to multiple hours of the causative event (e.g., intense rainfall, dam failure, ice jam)." Floods are considered, "any high flow, overflow, or inundation by water which causes damage. In general, this would mean the inundation of a normally dry area caused by an increased water level in an established watercourse, or ponding of water, that poses a threat to life or property" (NOAA, 2018c). Middlesex County had 160 flood events between 2000 and 2019. Thirty of these events were flash floods. No deaths or injuries were reported. The property damage totaled \$53.439 million dollars (not adjusted for inflation). Incredibly, flooding during March 2010 caused more than 80% of the total property damage reported during this time period (over \$35 million dollars). Property damages ranged from \$1,000 to \$26 million. Events like this are significant because climate change projections suggest that precipitation events will become increasingly frequent and severe.

Two events listed in the database were documented as county-wide impacts in May of 2006 with \$5 million in damages. Although most of the flooding documented in the database did not directly affect Belmont, monetary cost that flooding can have on an area is a proxy for the potential damage that could occur. Damages that occur regionally can also have an indirect impact on Belmont, especially because Belmont's utilities are regionally dependent.

## Federally Declared Flood Disasters in Middlesex County

A disaster declaration is a statement made by a community when the needs required by a disaster or emergency is beyond the capabilities of that community. Ten disaster declarations were made in Middlesex County due to flooding between 2000 and 2015, as can be seen in Table 4-4 below.

| Disaster Name<br>and Date of Event                    | Disaster<br>Number | Type of Assistance   | Counties Under Declaration  |
|---|--------------------|--|---|
| Severe Storms/Flooding<br>October 20-25, 1996         | DR-1142            | FEMA Hazard<br>Mitigation Grant<br>Program   | Counties of Essex, Middlesex, Norfolk,<br>Plymouth, Suffolk                     |
| Heavy Rain and Flooding<br>June 13-July 6, 1998       | DR-1224            | FEMA Hazard<br>Mitigation Grant<br>Program   | Counties of Bristol, Essex, Middlesex,<br>Norfolk, Suffolk, Plymouth, Worcester |
| Severe Storms &<br>Flooding<br>March 5-April 16, 2001 | DR-1364            | FEMA Hazard<br>Mitigation Grant<br>Program   | Counties of Bristol, Essex, Middlesex,<br>Norfolk, Suffolk, Plymouth, Worcester |
| <b>Flooding</b><br>April 1-30, 2004                   | DR-1512            | FEMA Individual &<br>Households Program;<br>FEMA Hazard<br>Mitigation Grant<br>Program | Essex, Middlesex, Norfolk, Suffolk,<br>Worcester                                |

## Table 4-4. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations- Flooding





| Disaster Name<br>and Date of Event                                     | Disaster<br>Number | Type of Assistance   | Counties Under Declaration   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Severe Storms and<br>Flooding<br>October 7-16, 2005                    | DR-1614            | FEMA Public<br>Assistance;<br>FEMA Individual &<br>Households Program;<br>FEMA Hazard<br>Mitigation Grant<br>Program | All 14 Massachusetts Counties  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Severe Storms and<br>Flooding<br>May 12-23, 2006                       | DR-1642            | FEMA Public<br>Assistance;<br>FEMA Individual &<br>Households Program;<br>FEMA Hazard<br>Mitigation Grant<br>Program | Middlesex, Essex, Suffolk  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Severe Winter Storm and<br>Flooding<br>December 11-18, 2008            | DR-1813            | FEMA Public<br>Assistance; FEMA<br>Hazard Mitigation<br>Grant Program  | All 14 Massachusetts Counties  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Severe Storm and</b><br><b>Flooding</b><br>March 12-April 26, 2010  | DR-1895            | FEMA Public<br>Assistance;<br>FEMA Individual &<br>Households Program;<br>FEMA Hazard<br>Mitigation Grant<br>Program | Bristol, Essex, Middlesex, Suffolk,<br>Norfolk, Plymouth, Worcester                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Severe Winter Storm,<br>Snowstorm, and Flooding<br>February 8-9, 2013  | DR-4110            | FEMA Public<br>Assistance; FEMA<br>Hazard Mitigation<br>Grant Program  | All 14 Massachusetts Counties  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Severe Winter Storm,<br>Snowstorm, and Flooding<br>January 26-28, 2015 | DR-4214            | FEMA Public<br>Assistance; FEMA<br>Hazard Mitigation<br>Grant Program  | Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes, Essex,<br>Middlesex, Nantucket, Norfolk,<br>Plymouth, Suffolk, Worcester |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4-4. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations- Flooding

(MEMA, 2019; FEMA, 2018b; MA EOEEA and EOPSS, 2018)

# 4.2.3 GIS Flooding Exposure Analysis

Hazard location and extent of riverine flooding was determined using the current effective FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) data for Belmont. The FIRM is the official map on which FEMA has delineated both the special flood hazard areas and the risk premium zones applicable to the community under the NFIP. This includes high risk areas that have a one percent chance of being flooded in any year (often referred to as the "100-year floodplain"), which under the NFIP, is linked to mandatory purchase requirements for federally backed mortgage loans. It also identifies moderate to low risk areas,





defined as the area with a 0.2 percent chance of flooding in any year (often referred to as the "500-year floodplain"). For purposes of this exposure analysis, the following special flood hazard areas as identified in the Town of Belmont's current FIRMs were included: Flood Zone AE – Regulatory Floodway; Flood Zone A (AE, AH); and Flood Zone X (shaded).

A flood exposure analysis was conducted for critical facilities and vulnerable populations throughout the municipality using MassGIS data, FEMA flood maps, and information gathered from the municipality. Table 4-5 below displays critical infrastructure in Belmont that are located within either the 100-year or 500-year FEMA flood zone. Seven critical facilities are in the FEMA flood zones. Flooding of the BFI Landfill, underground storage tank, and the hazardous material site all present concerns related to leaching of pollutants. The dams integrity, if overtopped, could cause damage downstream. Finally, the electric substation and terminal station would cause major power outages if flooding caused damage.

| Facility                                 | Address             | 100-Year | 500-Year |
|--|---------------------|----------|----------|
| BFI Landfill                             | 1150 Concord Avenue | Х        |          |
| Mill Pond Dam                            | N/A                 | Х        |          |
| Duck Pond Dam                            | N/A                 | Х        |          |
| Underground Storage Tank                 | 39 Hittinger Street |          | Х        |
| Hazardous Material Site                  | 11 Brighton Street  |          | Х        |
| Belmont Municipal Light Terminal Station | 70 Hittinger Street |          | Х        |
| Power Substation                         | 20 Flanders Road    |          | Х        |

Table 4-5. Critical Facilities Located within the FEMA Flood Zone

During the workshop, stakeholders discussed concern around the location of vulnerable populations. Some of these community members rely on assistance and it is important that someone is able to access them if needed. It becomes a concern if the vulnerable populations are located within a flood zone or in an area that extreme flooding could isolate them from the rest of the town. A GIS analysis found that 13 census blocks containing high percentages of seniors and youth are located within the 100-year flood zone. More data related to this analysis is included in Appendix B.

The Town's existing tax parcel and property value data obtained from MassGIS were used to estimate the number of parcels (developed and undeveloped) and buildings located in identified hazard areas along with their respective assessed values. The parcel data set provides information about the parcel size, land use type, and assessed value among other characteristics. The parcel data was also classified into various land use types based on the Massachusetts Department of Revenue's Property Type Classification Code for Fiscal Year 2019.

There is a common concern in every community around the location of vulnerable populations. Some of these community members rely on assistance and it is important that someone is able to access them if needed. It becomes critical if the vulnerable populations are located within a flood zone or in an area where extreme flooding could isolate them from the rest of the City. Based on the GIS analysis, out of 13 census blocks that have a high percentage of a vulnerable population in Belmont, there are only 3 that have population above 65 years. In total there are 5 blocks that are partially located in the 100-year flood zone, but 7 are partially located in 500-yr flood zone. Only one of the blocks with a high



percentage of minors has 67% within 500-year flood zone. Rest of them are below 40%. This data is promising compared to a lot other towns and cities in the Commonwealth.

An analysis was conducted on all developed parcels in the Town. To determine the vulnerability of each parcel and building, a GIS overlay analysis was conducted in which the flood hazard extent zones were overlaid with the parcel data and existing building footprint data. These developments were overlaid with historic flood zones to determine these parcels vulnerability to flooding. They were categorized by land use type, and the exposure of each land use type was documented by the total area and percentage of parcels that overlap with a flood zone. The risk or impact of potential flooding was captured by summarizing the total property value in each parcel (Table 4-6 and Table 4-7).

| Land Use Type | Total<br>Number<br>of Parcels | Total Area<br>of Parcels<br>(acres) | Number of<br>Parcels in<br>Flood Zone | Total Area of<br>Parcels in the<br>Flood Zone<br>(acres) | Percentage<br>of the<br>Parcels in<br>the Flood<br>Zone | Property Value in the Flood Zone |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|
| Residential   | 6955                          | 1565                                | 63                                    | 33   | 2   | 152,994,000                      |
| Commercial    | 230                           | 235                                 | 2                                     | 9  | 4   | \$4,755,900                      |
| Industrial    | 14                            | 15                                  | 1                                     |  | 7   | \$2,527,500                      |
| Government    | 83                            | 494                                 | 4                                     | 56   | 11  | 37,571,000                       |
| Agricultural  | 1                             | 10                                  | 1                                     | 2  | 23  | \$133,700                        |
| Open Space    | 14                            | 222                                 | N/A                                   | N/A  | N/A   | N/A                              |
| Total         | 7297                          | 2541                                | 71                                    | 101  | 4   | \$7,417,100                      |

Table 4-6. Exposure of Developed Parcels to the 100-Year Flood Zone

### Table 4-7. Exposure of Developed Parcels to the 500-Year Flood Zone

| Land Use Type | Total<br>Number<br>of Parcels | Total Area<br>of Parcels<br>(acres) | Number of<br>Parcels in<br>Flood Zone | Total Area of<br>Parcels in the<br>Flood Zone<br>(acres) | Percentage<br>of the<br>Parcels in<br>the Flood<br>Zone | Property Value in the Flood Zone |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|
| Residential   | 6955                          | 1565                                | 108                                   | 38   | 2   | 165,156,000                      |
| Commercial    | 230                           | 235                                 | 9                                     | 12   | 5   | \$10,451,900                     |
| Industrial    | 14                            | 15                                  | 6                                     | 5  | 35  | \$10,452,500                     |
| Government    | 83                            | 494                                 | 3                                     | 44   | 9   | 37,512,000                       |
| Agricultural  | 1                             | 10                                  | N/A                                   | N/A  | N/A   | N/A                              |
| Open Space    | 14                            | 222                                 | N/A                                   | N/A  | N/A   | N/A                              |
| Total         | 7297                          | 2541                                | 126                                   | 99   | 4   | \$20,904,400                     |

Recent developments, or redevelopments, within the past 10 years (2010 – 2020) were then isolated and an additional exposure analysis was done on these parcels. The methodology for this exposure analysis is the same as above. This data was pulled from the MassBuilds database (MAPC, 2020) and confirmed by the Community Development Director. Results are shown in Table 4-8 and Table 4-9.





| Development<br>Name | Development Address  | Land Use<br>Type | Total<br>Area of<br>Parcels<br>(acres) | Total Area<br>of Parcels<br>in the<br>Flood<br>Zone<br>(acres) | Percentage<br>of the<br>Parcels in<br>the Flood<br>Zone | Property Value<br>in the Flood<br>Zone |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Uplands             | 375 Acorn Park Drive | Residential      | 13                                     | 5  | 38  | 121,979,000                            |
| Total               |                      |                  | 13                                     | 5  | 38  | \$121,979,000                          |

Table 4-8. Exposure of Recently Developed Parcels to the 100-Year Flood Zone

| Table 4-9. Exposure of Recently De | eveloped Parcels to the 500-Year Flood Zone |
|------------------------------------|---|
|------------------------------------|---|

| Development<br>Name | Development Address  | Land Use<br>Type | Total<br>Area of<br>Parcels<br>(acres) | Total Area<br>of Parcels<br>in the<br>Flood<br>Zone<br>(acres) | Percentage<br>of the<br>Parcels in<br>the Flood<br>Zone | Property<br>Value in the<br>Flood Zone |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Uplands             | 375 Acorn Park Drive | Residential      | 13                                     | 4  | 31  | 121,979,000                            |
| Total               |                      |                  | 13                                     | 4  | 31  | \$121,979,000                          |

Belmont is a growing community and as the population grows, so does the demand for additional facilities in the town. To further resiliency in the Town, a flood exposure analysis was completed on all vacant, developable parcels. The analysis was conducted utilizing MassGIS data (MAPC, 2020), FEMA flood maps, and information from the Town. The result of this analysis will bring light to future flooding that could occur on these parcels if they were to be developed.

The output of the ArcGIS overlay analysis showed all vacant, developable parcels that intersected with a flood zone. The number of parcels was totaled for each land use type within each of FEMA Flood Zones. While there are 3,176 acres of land in Belmont that are vacant and developable, 9% of that land is located within the 100-year flood zone (Table 4-10), and 7% is located within the 500-year flood zone (Table 4-11).

| Land Use<br>Type | Total Number of<br>Parcels | Total Area of<br>Parcels (acres) | Number of<br>Parcels in Flood<br>Zone | Total Area of<br>Parcels in the<br>Flood Zone<br>(acres) | Percentage of<br>the Parcels in<br>the Flood Zone |
|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Residential      | 224                        | 409                              | N/A                                   | N/A  | N/A   |
| Commercial       | 18                         | 73                               | N/A                                   | N/A  | N/A   |
| Industrial       | 36                         | 201                              | N/A                                   | N/A  | N/A   |
| Government       | 83                         | 511                              | 16                                    | 223  | 44  |
| Agricultural     | 7                          | 29                               | N/A                                   | N/A  | N/A   |
| Open Space       | 434                        | 1954                             | 1                                     | 61   | 3   |
| Total            | 470                        | 3176                             | 17                                    | 283  | 9   |

Table 4-10. Exposure of Developable, Vacant Land to the 100-Year Flood Zone



| Land Use<br>Type | Total Number of<br>Parcels | Total Area of<br>Parcels (acres) | Number of<br>Parcels in Flood<br>Zone | Total Area of<br>Parcels in the<br>Flood Zone<br>(acres) | Percentage of<br>the Parcels in<br>the Flood Zone |
|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Residential      | 224                        | 409                              | 2                                     | 0.7  | 0.2   |
| Commercial       | 18                         | 73                               | 1                                     | 0.2  | 0.3   |
| Industrial       | 36                         | 201                              | N/A                                   | N/A  | N/A   |
| Government       | 83                         | 511                              | 17                                    | 227  | 44.   |
| Agricultural     | 7                          | 29                               | N/A                                   | N/A  | N/A   |
| Open Space       | 434                        | 1954                             | N/A                                   | N/A  | N/A   |
| Total            | 802                        | 3176                             | 20                                    | 228  | 7   |

Table 4-11. Exposure of Developable, Vacant Land to the 500-Year Flood Zone

Potential development areas that were noted by MassBuilds as being in the planning phase of development were reviewed by the Director of Community Development and additional planned facilities were added. These locations were overlaid with FEMA flood zone maps to determine the vulnerability to flooding. These areas were categorized by land use type, which was downloaded from MassGIS. The exposure of potential development within each land use type was documented by the area and percentage of parcels that overlap with a flood zone and is shown in Table 4-12 and Table 4-13.

Table 4-12. Exposure of Locally Identified Areas for Potential Development to the 100-Year Flood Zone

| Development Name       | Development<br>Address | Land Use<br>Type | Total Area<br>of Parcels<br>(acres) | Total Area<br>of Parcels in<br>the Flood<br>Zone<br>(acres) | Percentage<br>of the<br>Parcels in<br>the Flood<br>Zone |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Middle School and High |                        |                  |                                     |   |   |
| School                 | 221 Concord Ave        | Government       | 39                                  | 15  | 38  |
| Total                  |                        |                  | 39                                  | 15  | 38  |

Table 4-13. Exposure of Locally Identified Areas for Potential Development to the 500-Year Flood Zone

| Development Name       | Development<br>Address | Land Use<br>Type | Total Area<br>of Parcels<br>(acres) | Total Area<br>of Parcels<br>in the Flood<br>Zone<br>(acres) | Percentage<br>of the<br>Parcels in<br>the Flood<br>Zone |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Middle School and High |                        |                  |                                     | -   |   |
| School                 | 221 Concord Ave        | Government       | 39                                  | 6   | 15  |
| Total                  |                        |                  | 39                                  | 6   | 15  |

# 4.2.4 Sea Level Rise

Due to climate change, sea level in Boston is expected to rise between 4 ft and 10.2 ft by the year 2100. There will also be an increase in coastal flooding, increase in shoreline erosion, and low-lying coastal areas will be permanently inundated (NECASC, 2018). Though Belmont is not a coastal community, Beaver Brook is a tributary in the Mystic River Watershed, which is tidally influenced.





Currently, the Fresh Pond area is not prone to stormwater surge flooding because of the Amelia Earhart Dam, which is located across the Mystic River on the border of Somerville and Everett, MA. In a 100year storm event, the seawater surge comes to 1.5 feet from the edge of the dam, and the dam prevents seawater from entering Belmont. The Dam will likely fail within the next 30 years, and this, along with sea level rise will allow brackish water to encroach into the Mystic River and Fresh Pond area (see Figure 4-5 and 4-6). Further analysis should be conducted in this area to determine the extent of flooding.




Figure 4-5. Potential Extent of Mean Higher High Water (MHHW) with Sea Level Rise (EOEEA, n.d.)








Figure 4-6. Potential Extent of Mean Higher High Water (MHHW) with Sea Level Rise in Belmont (EOEEA, n.d.)

### 4.2.5 Dams and Dam Failure

Dam failure is defined as a collapse of an impounding structure resulting in an uncontrolled release of impounded water from a dam (DCR, 2017a). Dam failures during flood events are of concern in Massachusetts, given the high density of dams constructed in the 19th century (MEMA and DCR, 2013).

Dams can fail due to overtopping caused by floods that exceed the capacity of the dam, deliberate acts of sabotage, structural failure of materials used in dam construction, movement and/or failure of the foundation supporting the dam, settlement and cracking of concrete or embankment dams, piping and internal erosion of soil in embankment dams, and inadequate maintenance and upkeep (MEMA and DCR, 2013).

Many dam failures in the United States have been secondary results of other disasters. The prominent causes are earthquakes, landslides, extreme storms, massive snowmelt, equipment malfunction, structural damage, foundation failures, and sabotage (MEMA and DCR, 2013).

Although dam failure does not occur frequently in Belmont, it can cause property damage, injuries, and potentially fatalities. These impacts can be at least partially mitigated through advance warning to communities impacted by a dam failure. In addition, the breach may result in erosion on the rivers and stream banks that are inundated.

Climate change may indirectly affect dam breaches for a variety of reasons. Dams are typically designed based on historic water flows and known hydrology. Climate change projections indicate that the frequency, intensity, and amount of precipitation will increase in New England. Increased precipitation may push dams over capacity. Therefore, dams will have to be monitored for safety. There are several





mechanisms in place to manage increases in water, such as slowly releasing water. It is advised that these events are monitored as it can add additional stress on the dam infrastructure.

There have been no recorded dam failures in Belmont. Although dam failure is classified as a very low frequency event in the Town, a dam failure can still present a high level of risk and could result in a catastrophic event with extreme damage and loss of life. As defined by the 2018 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan (EEA and EOPSS 2018), a very low frequency hazard may occur less frequently than once in 100 years (less than a 1% chance per year).

According to Town officials and the Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation's (DCR) Office of Dam Safety, there are three dams in Belmont. Information related to these dams is summarized in Table 4-14. This summary table includes the hazard classification for each dam, which is defined by DCR as described below:

*High:* Dams located where failure or mis-operation will likely cause loss of life and serious damage to home(s), industrial or commercial facilities, important public utilities, main highway(s) or railroad(s).

*Significant:* Dams located where failure or mis-operation may cause loss of life and damage home(s), industrial or commercial facilities, secondary highway(s) or railroad(s), or cause interruption of use or service or relatively important facilities.

*Low:* Dams located where failure or mis-operation may cause minimal property damage to others. Loss of life is not expected.

| Dam Name                  | Dam Owner         | Hazard Potential<br>Classification | Next Inspection<br>Due Date |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Payson Park Reservoir Dam | City of Cambridge | Non-jurisdictional                 | N/A                         |
| Mill Pond Dam             | DCR               | Non-jurisdictional                 | N/A                         |
| Duck Pond Dam             | DCR               | Low                                | 02/08/2027                  |

### Table 4-14. Inventory of Dams in Belmont

(Army Corps of Engineers, 2019)

If the hazard classification is listed as "N/A" this is because the dam is non-jurisdictional, meaning it does not meet the impoundment volume criteria for regulation. Two of the dams in Belmont, Payson Park Reservoir Dam and Mill Pond Dam are non-jurisdictional. The third dam in Belmont, the Duck Pond Dam, is owned by MA DCR, which is responsible for inspections. The Duck Pond Dam has a "Low" hazard potential classification.

# 4.2.6 Climate Change Impacts: Flooding

Boston's average annual precipitation is 53.32 inches (NOAA, 2019b). Extreme rain and snow events are becoming increasingly common and severe particularly in the Northeast region of the country (Figure 4-7). Large rain or snow events that happened once a year in the middle of the 20th century now occur approximately every nine months. Additionally, the largest annual events now generate 10% more rain than in 1948. Regionally, New England has experienced the greatest increase in frequency of extreme rain and snow events. These events now occur 85% more frequently than they did 60 years ago (Madsen and Willcox, 2012).








Figure 4-7. Changes in Frequency of Extreme Downpours (Madsen and Willcox, 2012)

### 4.3 Wind Related Hazard

High winds can occur during hurricanes, tropical storms, tornadoes, nor'easters, and thunderstorms. The entire planning area is vulnerable to the impacts of high wind. All current and future buildings including critical facilities and populations are considered to be vulnerable during high wind events. Wind may down trees and power lines. High wind and storm events cause property damage and hazardous driving conditions.

Extreme winds can take down trees and branches that cause service disruptions. An identified issue during storms in Belmont is the damage to power and phone wires from overhanging trees that have not been trimmed by the electric utility (Belmont Light) or the phone or cable companies. The utilities' tree maintenance program should be upgraded in an effort to reduce the risk associated with tree damage to utility lines. High winds and heavy snow loads caused significant power line damage in Belmont during four nor'easters in 2018. Falling trees and branches can also block traffic and emergency routes. This is a regional issue that affects cities and towns beyond Belmont.

During Belmont's MVP Workshop in January 2020, attendees discussed the impact of past storms on power systems and service disruption. In recent years people have noticed more high wind advisories and t more damage to powerlines, especially when there are leaves on the trees. During March 2018, nor'easters brought down 85 trees in Belmont, which led to power outages and blocked roads. The Town does work with utility companies to perform hazard tree maintenance, but more funding and manpower would be required to reduce wind hazards in Belmont.

Belmont does have reliable communications towers that house communications equipment for the Police and several other Town departments. Town officials stated that their communications systems are not at risk during high wind events. The Town's communications towers, one of which is at the Police Department are located off of Concord Avenue. These locations do not see high wind gusts and are not considered a safety issue.



## 4.3.1 Hurricanes and Tropical Storms

Tropical cyclones (including tropical depressions, tropical storms, and hurricanes) form over the warm waters of the Atlantic, Caribbean, and Gulf of Mexico. A tropical storm is defined as having sustained winds from 39 to 73 mph. If sustained winds exceed 73 mph, it is categorized a hurricane. When hurricanes and tropical storms occur, they will impact the entire planning area. All existing and future buildings including critical facilities and populations are at risk to the hurricane and tropical storm hazard (including critical facilities). Hurricane events have a large spatial extent and would potentially affect all of Belmont's infrastructure and buildings. Impacts include water damage in buildings from building envelope failure, business interruption, loss of communications, and power failure. Flooding is a major concern as slow-moving hurricanes can discharge tremendous amounts of rain on an area. Storm surge is also a concern in coastal-adjacent areas. Hurricane storm surge in Belmont is shown in Figure 4-8.




Figure 4-8. Four worst-case scenarios of hurricane storm surge in Belmont (EEA, n.d.)

The official hurricane season runs from June 1 to November 30. However, storms are more likely to occur in New England during August, September, and October (MEMA and DCR, 2013).

The region has been impacted by hurricanes throughout its history, starting with the Great Colonial Hurricane of 1635. In 1861, a tropical storm track passed directly through western Belmont. This is the only tropical storm or hurricane to track directly through Belmont, but the Town has been impacted by the effects of many other storm events (Town of Belmont and MAPC, 2013). Between 1851 and 2012, Massachusetts experienced 11 hurricanes and one named tropical storm. This includes six category 1 hurricanes, two category 2 hurricanes, and three category 3 hurricanes (Blake, Landsea, and Gibney, 2011). Hurricanes that have occurred in the region since 1938 are listed in Table 4-15:





| Hurricane Event             | Date                  |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Great New England Hurricane | September 21, 1938    |
| Great Atlantic Hurricane    | September 14-15, 1944 |
| Hurricane Doug              | September 11-12, 1950 |
| Hurricane Carol             | August 31, 1954       |
| Hurricane Edna              | September 11, 1954    |
| Hurricane Diane             | August 17-19, 1955    |
| Hurricane Donna             | September 12, 1960    |
| Hurricane Gloria            | September 27, 1985    |
| Hurricane Bob               | August 19, 1991       |
| Hurricane Katrina           | September 13, 2005    |
| Hurricane Earl              | September 4, 2010     |
| Tropical Storm Irene        | August 28, 2011       |
| Hurricane Sandy             | October 29-30, 2012   |
| Hurricane Florence          | September 18, 2018    |
| Tropical Storm Dorian       | September 7, 2019     |
| (NOAA, 2020)                |                       |

Table 4-15. Hurricane Records for Eastern Massachusetts, 1938 to 2019

The Saffir-Simpson scale ranks hurricanes based on sustained wind speeds from Category 1 (74 to 95 mph) to Category 5 (156 mph or more). Category 3, 4, and 5 hurricanes are considered "Major" hurricanes. Wind gusts associated with hurricanes may exceed the sustained winds and cause more severe localized damage (MEMA and DCR, 2013). This is used to provide an estimate of the potential property damage and flooding expected along the coast from a hurricane landfall. Wind speed is the determining factor in the scale, as storm surge values are highly dependent on context (MEMA and DCR, 2013). More information is included in Table 4-16 below:

| Scale No. (Category) | Winds<br>(mph) | Potential Damage  |
|----------------------|----------------|---|
| 1                    | 74 – 95        | Minimal: damage is primarily to shrubbery and trees,<br>mobile homes, and some signs. No real damage is done to<br>structures.  |
| 2                    | 96 – 110       | Moderate: some trees topple, some roof coverings are damaged, and major damage is done to mobile homes.   |
| 3                    | 111 – 130      | Extensive: large trees topple, some structural damage is done to roofs, mobile homes are destroyed, and structural damage is done to small homes and utility buildings. |
| 4                    | 131 – 155      | Extreme: extensive damage is done to roofs, windows, and doors; roof systems on small buildings completely fail; and some curtain walls fail.                           |
| 5                    | > 155          | Catastrophic: roof damage is considerable and widespread, window and door damage is severe, there are extensive glass failures, and entire buildings could fail.        |

(MEMA and DCR 2013(table originally created by NOAA))

Hurricane damage in Belmont was estimated using a hurricane modeling software. Hazus Multi-Hazard (Hazus) is a GIS model developed by FEMA to estimate losses in a defined area due to a specified





natural hazard. The Hazus hurricane model allows users to input specific parameters in order to model a defined hurricane magnitude, which is based on wind speed. Based on a HAZUS Hurricane module, estimated damage in Belmont from Hurricanes was assessed (Town of Belmont and MAPC, 2013). According to the State HMP, the strongest Hurricane that passed through Massachusetts was a Category 3 storm, which occurred in 1954. For the purpose of this analysis, in order to estimate potential damage, both a Category 2 and a Category 4 hurricane were modeled. Although there have been no recorded Category 4 hurricanes recorded in Massachusetts, storm was modeled to show the impact that could occur from an extreme scenario, something that could possibly happen in the future due to climate change. Table 4-17 below lists estimated damage in Belmont for this worst-case scenario.

|   | Category 2  | Category 4  |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Building Characteristics  |             |             |
| Estimated total number of buildings   | 8,088       | 8,088       |
| Estimated total building replacement value (Year 2014 \$) (Millions of Dollars) | \$3,878     | \$3,878     |
| Building Damages  |             |             |
| # of buildings sustaining minor damage  | 234         | 1,338       |
| # of buildings sustaining moderate damage                                       | 18          | 220         |
| # of buildings sustaining severe damage   | 1           | 17          |
| # of buildings destroyed  | 0           | 5           |
| Population Needs  |             |             |
| # of households displaced   | 0           | 9           |
| # of people seeking public shelter  | 0           | 3           |
| Debris  |             |             |
| Building debris generated (tons)  | 2,820       | 10,258      |
| Tree debris generated (tons)  | 1,415       | 3,764       |
| # of truckloads to clear building debris  | 56          | 260         |
| Value of Damages (Thousands of dollars)   |             |             |
| Total property damage   | \$21,723.91 | \$83,053.60 |
| Total losses due to business interruption                                       | \$786.52    | \$6,347.68  |

Table 4-17. Estimated Damages in Belmont from a Probabilistic 100- and 500-Year Hurricane

Hurricanes are a Town-wide hazard in Belmont and are considered a medium frequency event. As defined by the 2013 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation Plan, this hazard can occur between once in 5 years to once in 50 years (a 2% to 20% chance per year). A full Hazus risk report for each hurricane category can be found in Appendix B.

## 4.3.2 Tornados

A tornado is a narrow, violently rotating column of air that extends from the base of a cloud to the ground. Tornadoes are the most violent of all atmospheric storms (EEA and EOPSS, 2018). According to the 2018 SHMCAP, the following are common factors in tornado formation:

- Very strong winds in the middle and upper levels of the atmosphere
- Clockwise turning of the wind with height
- Increasing wind speed in the lowest 10,000 feet of the atmosphere (i.e. 20 mph at the surface and 50 mph at 7,000 feet)





- Very warm, moist air near the ground, with unusually cooler air aloft
- A forcing mechanism such as a cold front or leftover weather boundary from previous shower or thunderstorm activity

Tornadoes can be spawned by tropical cyclones or the remnants thereof, and weak tornadoes can even form from little more than a rain shower if air is converging and spinning upward. The most common months for tornadoes to occur are June, July, and August. There are exceptions: The Great Barrington, Massachusetts, tornado in 1995 occurred in May; and the Windsor Locks, Connecticut, tornado in 1979. occurred in October (EEA and EOPSS, 2018).

The Fujita Tornado Scale measures tornado severity through estimated wind speed and damage. The National Weather Service began using the Enhanced Fujita-scale (EF-scale) in 2007, which led to increasingly accurate estimates of tornado severity. Table 4-18 provides more detailed information on the EF Scale.

| Table 4-18. Enhanced Fujifa Scale |                         |                        |           |                        |               |                        |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Fujita Scale                      |                         |                        | Derived   |                        | Operational E | EF Scale               |
| F Number                          | Fastest ¼<br>mile (mph) | 3-second<br>gust (mph) | EF Number | 3-second<br>gust (mph) | EF Number     | 3-second<br>gust (mph) |
| 0                                 | 40 – 72                 | 45 – 78                | 0         | 65 – 85                | 0             | 65 – 85                |
| 1                                 | 73 – 112                | 79 – 117               | 1         | 86 – 109               | 1             | 86 – 110               |
| 2                                 | 113 – 157               | 118 – 161              | 2         | 110 – 137              | 2             | 111 – 135              |
| 3                                 | 158 – 207               | 162 – 209              | 3         | 138 – 167              | 3             | 136 – 165              |
| 4                                 | 208 – 260               | 210 – 261              | 4         | 168 – 199              | 4             | 166 – 200              |
| 5                                 | 261-318                 | 262 - 317              | 5         | 200 – 234              | 5             | Over 200               |
|                                   | 0010)                   |                        |           |                        |               |                        |

| Table 4-18 | . Enhanced | Fujita Scale |
|------------|------------|--------------|
|------------|------------|--------------|

(MEMA and DCR, 2013)

Massachusetts averages 1.7 tornadoes per year. The most tornado-prone areas of the state are the central counties. Tornadoes are comparatively rare in eastern Massachusetts, although Middlesex County is considered an at-risk location (EEA and EOPSS, 2018). The most devastating tornado in Massachusetts in the history of recorded weather occurred in Worcester in 1953, it killed 94 people, injured more than 1,000, and caused more than \$52 million in damages (more than \$460 million in current dollars). Some more recent tornadoes in Massachusetts occurred in 2011 in Springfield, 2014 in Revere, and 2016 in Concord (Morrison 2014; Epstein 2016). There have been 18 recorded tornados in Middlesex County since 1955. One fatality and six injuries were reported (NOAA 2018A). Table 4-19 below provides additional information.

| Date       | Fujita | Fatalities | Injuries | Property Damage |
|------------|--------|------------|----------|-----------------|
| 10/24/1955 | 1      | 0          | 0        | \$2,500         |
| 6/19/1957  | 1      | 0          | 0        | \$25,000        |
| 6/19/1957  | 1      | 0          | 0        | \$250           |
| 7/11/1958  | 2      | 0          | 0        | \$250,000       |
| 8/25/1958  | 2      | 0          | 0        | \$2,500         |
| 7/3/1961   | 0      | 0          | 0        | \$25,000        |
| 7/18/1963  | 1      | 0          | 0        | \$25,000        |
| 8/28/1965  | 2      | 0          | 0        | \$250,000       |

Table 4-19. Tornado Records for Middlesex County (1955-2019)



| Date        | Fujita | Fatalities | Injuries | Property Damage |
|-------------|--------|------------|----------|-----------------|
| 7/11/1970   | 1      | 0          | 0        | \$25,000        |
| 10/3/1970   | 3      | 1          | 0        | \$250,000       |
| 7/1/1971    | 1      | 0          | 1        | \$25,000        |
| 11/7/1971   | 1      | 0          | 0        | \$250           |
| 7/21/1972   | 2      | 0          | 4        | \$2,500,000     |
| 9/29/1974   | 3      | 0          | 1        | \$250,000       |
| 7/18/1983   | 0      | 0          | 0        | \$250           |
| 9/27/1985   | 1      | 0          | 0        | \$250           |
| 8/7/1986    | 1      | 0          | 0        | \$250,000       |
| 8/22/2016   | 1      | 0          | 0        | \$1,000,000     |
| (NOAA, 2019 | )      |            |          |                 |

Table 4-19. Tornado Records for Middlesex County (1955-2019)

Although tornadoes are a potential town-wide hazard in Belmont, there have been no recorded tornadoes in the Town. If a tornado were to occur in Belmont, damages would depend on the track of the tornado and would be most likely be high due to the prevalence of older construction and the density of development that exist. Structures built before current building codes may be more vulnerable. Evacuation, sheltering, debris clearance, distribution of food and other supplies, search and rescue, and emergency fire and medical services may be required. Critical evacuation and transportation routes may be impassable due to downed trees and debris, and recovery efforts may be complicated by power outages.

Tornado events in Belmont are a very low frequency event. As defined by the 2013 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation Plan, this hazard may occur less than once in 100 years (a less-than 1% chance per year). Tornados are difficult to simulate well in climate models because of their small size when compared to other weather events. However, it is predicted that the frequency of tornados in eastern Massachusetts will rise in the future due to climate change.

## 4.3.3 Nor'easters

A nor'easter is characterized by large counter-clockwise wind circulation around a low-pressure center that often results in heavy snow, high winds, waves, and rain along the East Coast of North America. The term nor'easter refers to their strong northeasterly winds blowing in from the ocean. These weather events are among the season's most ferocious storms, often causing beach erosion, flooding, and structural damage (EEA and EOPSS, 2018).

Nor'easters generally occur on at least an annual basis, typically in late fall and early winter. Some years bringing up to four nor'easter events. This is currently the most frequently occurring natural hazard in the state. The storm radius is often as much as 100 miles and sustained wind speeds of 20 to 40 mph are common, with short-term gusts of up to 50 to 60 mph. Nor'easters are commonly accompanied by a storm surge equal to or greater than two feet. High surge and winds during a hurricane can last from 6 to 12 hours, while these conditions during a nor'easter can last from 12 hours to three days (EEA and EOPSS, 2018). Previous nor'easters events in Massachusetts are listed in Table 4-20 below.



Some of the historic events described in the "Flood-Related Hazards" section of this report were preceded by nor'easters, including the 1991 "Perfect Storm." The Blizzard of '78 was a notable storm. More recently, winter storms in 2015 and 2018 caused significant snowfall amounts.

The Town of Belmont is vulnerable to high winds, snow, and extreme rain during nor'easters. These impacts can lead to property damage, downed trees, power service disruptions, surcharged drainage systems, and localized flooding. These conditions can impact evacuation and transportation routes and complicate emergency response efforts. Due to its inland location, Belmont is not subject to the coastal hazards often associated with nor'easters.

Nor'easters in Belmont are high frequency events. As defined by the 2013 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation Plan, this hazard may occur more frequently than once in 5 years (a greater than 20% chance per year). In March of 2018, there were four nor'easters, which took out 85 trees in one month and put strain on Belmont's DPW.

## 4.3.4 Thunderstorms and Related Wind Events

Thunderstorms can include lightning, strong winds, heavy rain, hail, and sometimes tornados. Thunderstorms typically last for about 30 minutes and can generate winds of up to 60 mph. Thunderstorms in Massachusetts are usually accompanied by rainfall; however, during periods of drought, lightning from thunderstorm cells can result in fire ignition. Thunderstorms with little or no rainfall are rare in New England but have occurred (EEA and EOPSS 2018, 4-173). Massachusetts experiences 20-30 thunderstorm days per year.

Thunderstorms are typically less severe than other events discussed in this section. However, thunderstorms can cause local damage and are a Town-wide risk in Belmont. Winds associated with thunderstorms can knock down trees resulting in power outages and blocked evacuation and transportation routes. Extreme rain during thunderstorms can cause inland flooding around waterbodies or due to surcharged drainage systems. During periods of drought, lightning from thunderstorm cells can result in fire ignition.

NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information offers thunderstorm data for Middlesex County, which includes Belmont. Between 2008 and 2019, 292 thunderstorm events in Middlesex County caused \$3,241,550 in property damages (NCEI and NOAA, 2019). Three injuries and no deaths were reported. Table 4-20 provides detailed information related to thunderstorms.

| Disaster Name<br>and Date of Event                 | Disaster<br>Number | Type of Assistance                      | Counties Under<br>Declaration  |
|--|--------------------|---|--|
| Severe<br>Storms/Flooding<br>October 20-25, 1996   | DR-1142            | FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant<br>Program | Counties of Essex,<br>Middlesex, Norfolk,<br>Plymouth, Suffolk                     |
| Heavy Rain and<br>Flooding<br>June 13-July 6, 1998 | DR-1224            | FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant<br>Program | Counties of Bristol, Essex,<br>Middlesex, Norfolk, Suffolk,<br>Plymouth, Worcester |

Table 4-20. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations for Thunderstorms





| Disaster Name<br>and Date of Event                         | Disaster<br>Number | Type of Assistance  | Counties Under<br>Declaration  |
|--|--------------------|---|--|
| Severe Storms &<br>Flooding<br>March 5-April 16,<br>2001   | DR-1364            | FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant<br>Program   | Counties of Bristol, Essex,<br>Middlesex, Norfolk, Suffolk,<br>Plymouth, Worcester |
| Severe Storms and<br>Flooding<br>October 7-16, 2005        | DR-1614            | FEMA Public Assistance;<br>FEMA Individual & Households<br>Program; FEMA Hazard Mitigation<br>Grant Program | All 14 Massachusetts<br>Counties   |
| Severe Storms and<br>Flooding<br>May 12-23, 2006           | DR-1642            | FEMA Public Assistance;<br>FEMA Individual & Households<br>Program; FEMA Hazard Mitigation<br>Grant Program | Middlesex, Essex, Suffolk  |
| Severe Storm and<br>Flooding<br>March 12-April 26,<br>2010 | DR-1895            | FEMA Public Assistance;<br>FEMA Individual & Households<br>Program; FEMA Hazard Mitigation<br>Grant Program | Bristol, Essex, Middlesex,<br>Suffolk, Norfolk, Plymouth,<br>Worcester             |

Table 4-20. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations for Thunderstorms

(FEMA, 2019)

Winds associated with thunderstorms can knock down trees resulting in power outages and blocked evacuation and transportation routes. Extreme rain during thunderstorms can cause inland flooding around waterbodies or due to surcharged drainage systems. Thunderstorms are considered high frequency events in Belmont. As defined by the 2013 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation Plan, this hazard may occur more frequently than once in 5 years (a greater than 20% chance per year).

# 4.3.1 Climate Change Impacts: High Winds

While Belmont's current 100-year wind speed is 110 mph, climate change will likely increase the number of extreme wind events and their severity. Additionally, rising sea temperature could lengthen the hurricane season and fuel stronger hurricane events. The National Climate Assessment Report notes that hurricane "intensity, frequency, and duration have all increased since the early 1980s." This source predicts the continuing intensity and associated rainfall with rising temperatures (Walsh and Wuebbles, 2014). This would result in greater losses due to increased flooding, associated building damages and business interruption (Walsh and Wuebbles, 2014). The anticipated increase in frequency and intensity of severe thunderstorms may also increase the risk of tornadoes (EEA and EOPSS, 2018).

# 4.4 Winter Storms

Winter storm events are atmospheric in nature and can impact the entire planning area. All current and future buildings and populations are at risk of winter storms, which have a variety of potential impacts. Heavy snow loads may cause roofs and trees to collapse leading to structural damage. Deaths and injury are also possible impacts. Additional impacts can include road closures, power outages, business interruption, business losses (i.e. due to road closures), hazardous driving conditions, frozen pipes, fires due to improper heating, and second-hand health impacts caused by shoveling (such as a heart attack). Public safety issues are also a concern, as streets and sidewalks can become difficult to pass. This issue may be especially difficult for vulnerable populations such as elderly people who may have trouble





crossing at intersections due to large accumulations of snow. Impassable streets can also complicate emergency response efforts during an extreme event.

Winter storms are a potential Town-wide hazard in Belmont. These events can include wind, heavy snow, blizzards, and ice storms. Blizzards and ice storms in Massachusetts can range from an inconvenience, to extreme events that cause significant impacts, and require a large-scale, coordinated response. Previous federal and state disaster declarations for winter storms are shown in Table 4-21. Belmont has been impacted by winter storms in the past. One such instance was "Snowmageddon" in 2015, when no busses trains or vehicles could be used.

| Disaster Name<br>and Date of Event                                     | Disaster<br>Number | Type of Assistance   | Counties Under Declaration  |
|--|--------------------|--|---|
| <b>Blizzard</b><br>January 7-13, 1996                                  | DR-1090            | No funding reported  | All 14 Massachusetts Counties   |
| Severe Winter Storm and<br>Flooding<br>December 11-18, 2008            | DR-1813            | FEMA Public Assistance;<br>FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant<br>Program   | All 14 Massachusetts Counties   |
| Severe Winter Storm and<br>Snowstorm<br>January 11-12, 2011            | DR-1959            | FEMA Public Assistance;<br>FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant<br>Program   | Berkshire, Essex, Hampden,<br>Hampshire, Middlesex, Norfolk,<br>Suffolk                                 |
| Severe Storm and<br>Snowstorm<br>October 29-30, 2011                   | DR-4051            | FEMA Public Assistance;<br>FEMA Public Assistance Snow<br>Removal; FEMA Hazard<br>Mitigation Grant Program | Berkshire, Franklin, Hampden,<br>Hampshire, Middlesex,<br>Worcester                                     |
| Severe Winter Storm,<br>Snowstorm, and Flooding<br>February 8-9, 2013  | DR-4110            | FEMA Public Assistance;<br>FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant<br>Program   | All 14 Massachusetts Counties   |
| Severe Winter Storm,<br>Snowstorm, and Flooding<br>January 26-28, 2015 | DR-4214            | FEMA Public Assistance;<br>FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant<br>Program   | Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes,<br>Essex, Middlesex, Nantucket,<br>Norfolk, Plymouth, Suffolk,<br>Worcester |
| Severe Winter Storm and<br>Snowstorm<br>March 13-14, 2018              | DR-4379            | FEMA Public Assistance;<br>FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant<br>Program   | Essex, Middlesex, Norfolk,<br>Suffolk, Worcester  |

Table 4-21. Previous Federal and State Disaster Declarations for Winter Storms

(FEMA, 2019)

# 4.4.1 Heavy Snow and Blizzards

A blizzard is a winter snowstorm with sustained wind or frequent wind gusts of 35 mph or more, accompanied by falling or blowing snow that reduces visibility to or below a quarter of a mile. These conditions must be the predominant condition over a 3-hour period. Extremely cold temperatures are often associated with blizzard conditions but are not a formal part of the criteria. However, the hazard created by the combination of snow, wind, and low visibility increases significantly with temperatures below 20°F. A severe blizzard is categorized as having temperatures near or below 10°F, winds





exceeding 45 mph, and visibility reduced by snow to near zero (EEA and EOPSS, 2018). Blizzards are classified as high frequency events in Belmont. As defined by the *2013 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation Plan*, this hazard can occur more than once in five years (a greater than 20% chance of occurring each year).

Winter storms include multiple risks, such as wind, ice, and heavy snow. The National Weather Service defines "heavy snow" as snowfall accumulating to 4" or more in 12 hours or less; or snowfall accumulating to 6" or more in 24 hours or less (NOAA, 2019b). Winter storms can be combined with the nor'easters discussed previously in the "Wind-Related Hazards" section.

The "Blizzard of 1978" is a well-known winter storm that deposited more than three feet of snow and led to multi-day closures of roads, businesses, and schools. Table 4-22 provides additional information on significant snow events. NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information Storm Events Database provide information for blizzards, winter weather, heavy snow, and winter storms. There were 250 winter events between 2000 and 2019 in Middlesex County totaling \$2,059,000 dollars of damage. The greatest damage was during this time frame was a storm in 2011 causing \$926,000 of damage. Most of the electric customers (99%) were out of electricity during a snowstorm in October 2011 (NMCOG, 2015).

| Table 4-22. Severe Winter Sto | rm Records for Massachusetts |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Type of Event                 | Date                         |  |  |  |  |
| Blizzard                      | February 1978                |  |  |  |  |
| Blizzard                      | March 1993                   |  |  |  |  |
| Blizzard                      | January 1996                 |  |  |  |  |
| Severe Snowstorm              | March 2001                   |  |  |  |  |
| Blizzard                      | February 1978                |  |  |  |  |
| Blizzard                      | March 1993                   |  |  |  |  |
| Blizzard                      | January 1996                 |  |  |  |  |
| Severe Snowstorm              | March 2001                   |  |  |  |  |
| Severe Snowstorm              | December 2003                |  |  |  |  |
| Severe Snowstorm              | January 2004                 |  |  |  |  |
| Severe Snowstorm              | January 2005                 |  |  |  |  |
| Severe Snowstorm              | April 2007                   |  |  |  |  |
| Severe Snowstorm              | December 2010                |  |  |  |  |
| Severe Snowstorm              | January 2011                 |  |  |  |  |
| Blizzard                      | February 2013                |  |  |  |  |
| Blizzard                      | January 2015                 |  |  |  |  |
| Severe Snow Storm             | March 2018                   |  |  |  |  |
| (NOAA, 2019)                  |                              |  |  |  |  |

During Belmont's MVP Workshop in January 2020, participants discussed past examples of severe winter weather. Participants discussed the opportunity for additional snow maintenance personnel and equipment, as well as more hazard snow removal at Town buildings. Participants discussed how the snow removal procedures could be updated, and adherence to the procedures could be more strictly enforced. Backup power sources are imperative to the Town in the event of power outages due to severe winter weather.



The current winter snowfall record in Eastern Massachusetts is 108.6 inches during the 2014-2015 season (NOAA, 2015). The Town provides standard snow plowing operations and clearing snow has not posed any significant challenges. However, Town officials acknowledged that it can be difficult to clear roads during storms when residents are still driving on the roads. Also, when winter storms cause downed trees, Town staff must divide their resources in order to both plow the roads and remove the trees from the roadway.

## 4.4.2 Ice Storms

Ice storm conditions are defined by liquid rain falling and freezing on contact with cold objects creating ice build-ups of 1/4 inch or more that can cause severe damage. An ice storm warning, now included in the criterion for a winter storm warning, is for severe icing. This is issued when 1/2 inch or more of accretion of freezing rain is expected. This may lead to dangerous walking or driving conditions and the weighing down of power lines and trees. Icy roads can also complicate emergency response efforts during an extreme event. Ice storms are classified as medium frequency events in Belmont. As defined by the 2013 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation Plan, this hazard can occur between once in five years and once in 50 years (a 2% to 20% chance of occurring each year).

Sleet occurs when raindrops fall into subfreezing air thick enough that the raindrops refreeze into ice before hitting the ground. Sleet differs from hail: sleet is a wintertime phenomenon, while hail usually falls during thunderstorms in the spring and summer (MEMA and DCR, 2013).

NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information Storm Events Database offers data on hail events, ice storms and sleet Middlesex County. There were 131 hail events, 3 ice storms, and no reported sleet hazards between 2000 and 2019. No deaths or injuries were reported. Over \$6.2 million dollars in damages were incurred.

# 4.4.3 Climate Change Impacts: Winter Storms

There is evidence suggesting that nor'easters along the Atlantic coast are increasing in frequency and intensity. Future nor'easters may become more concentrated during the coldest winter months when atmospheric temperatures are still low enough to result in snowfall rather than rain (EEA and EOPSS, 2018).Climate projections indicate that climate change will result in more precipitation during the winter in the Northeast (EEA, 2018a). This trend may result in more frequent and/or more severe winter storms.

# 4.5 Geological Hazards

Geologic hazards can include earthquakes, landslides, sinkholes, and subsidence. Town officials did not identify any local areas that were previously recorded as being vulnerable to geologic hazards.

# 4.5.1 Earthquakes

An earthquake is the vibration, sometimes violent, of the earth's surface that follows a release of energy in the Earth's crust due to fault fracture and movement. The magnitude or extent of an earthquake is a seismograph-measured value of the amplitude of the seismic waves. The Richter magnitude scale (Richter scale) was developed in 1932 as a mathematical device to compare the size of earthquakes. The Richter scale is the most widely known scale that measures earthquake magnitude. It has no upper limit and is not a direct indication of damage. An earthquake in a densely populated area, which results in many deaths and considerable damage, can have the same magnitude as an earthquake in a remote area that causes no damage. Table 4-23 summarizes Richter scale magnitudes and corresponding earthquake effects (MEMA and DCR, 2013).





| Table 4-23. Richter Scale and Effects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Richter Magnitudes                    | Earthquake Effects   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 3.5                         | Generally, not felt, but recorded  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.5-5.4                               | Often felt, but rarely causes damage   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 6.0                             | At most slight damage to well-designed buildings. Can cause major damage to poorly constructed buildings over small regions. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.1-6.9                               | Can be destructive in areas up to about 100 km across where people live.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.0- 7.9                              | Major earthquake. Can cause serious damage over larger areas.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 or greater                          | Great earthquake. Can cause serious damage in areas several hundred meters across.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(Louie, 1996)

Earthquakes occur in New England, albeit infrequently, as compared to other parts of the country. The first recorded earthquake was noted by the Plymouth Pilgrims and other early settlers in 1638. Of the over 5,000 earthquakes recorded in the Northeast Earthquake Catalog through 2008, 1,530 occurred within the boundaries of the six New England States, with 366 earthquakes recorded for Massachusetts between 1627 and 2008. Historically, moderately damaging earthquakes strike somewhere in the region every few decades, and smaller earthquakes are felt approximately twice per year. (MEMA and DCR, 2013). A summary of historic earthquakes in the Boston area is included in Table 4-24 below:

| Table 4-24. Historical E | arthquakes | in Boston or | Surrounding | Area, 1727-2 | 020 |
|--------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-----|
|--------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-----|

| Location             | Date       | Magnitude |
|----------------------|------------|-----------|
| MA - Cape Ann        | 11/10/1727 | 5         |
| MA - Cape Ann        | 12/29/1727 | NA        |
| MA - Cape Ann        | 2/10/1728  | NA        |
| MA - Cape Ann        | 3/30/1729  | NA        |
| MA - Cape Ann        | 12/9/1729  | NA        |
| MA - Cape Ann        | 2/20/1730  | NA        |
| MA - Cape Ann        | 3/9/1730   | NA        |
| MA - Boston          | 6/24/1741  | NA        |
| MA - Cape Ann        | 6/14/1744  | 4.7       |
| MA - Salem           | 7/1/1744   | NA        |
| MA - Off Cape Ann    | 11/18/1755 | 6         |
| MA - Off Cape Cod    | 11/23/1755 | NA        |
| MA - Boston          | 3/12/1761  | 4.6       |
| MA - Off Cape Cod    | 2/2/1766   | NA        |
| MA - Offshore        | 1/2/1785   | 5.4       |
| MA - Wareham/Taunton | 12/25/1800 | NA        |
| MA - Woburn          | 10/5/1817  | 4.3       |
| MA - Marblehead      | 8/25/1846  | 4.3       |
| MA - Brewster        | 8/8/1847   | 4.2       |
| MA - Boxford         | 5/12/1880  | NA        |
| MA - Newbury         | 11/7/1907  | NA        |
| MA - Wareham         | 4/25/1924  | NA        |
| MA - Cape Ann        | 1/7/1925   | 4         |
| MA - Nantucket       | 10/25/1965 | NA        |





| Location               | Date       | Magnitude |
|------------------------|------------|-----------|
| MA - Boston            | 12/27/1974 | 2.3       |
| VA - Mineral           | 8/23/2011  | 5.8       |
| MA - Nantucket         | 4/12/2012  | 4.5       |
| ME - Hollis            | 10/17/2012 | 4.0       |
| MA – Newburyport       | 2/20/2013  | 2.3       |
| NH – Contoocook        | 10/11/2013 | 2.6       |
| MA – Freetown          | 1/9/2014   | 2.0       |
| MA – Bliss Corner      | 2/11/2014  | 2.2       |
| MA – off Northshore    | 8/18/2014  | 2.0       |
| CT - Deep River Center | 8/14/2014  | 2.7       |
| CT – Wauregan          | 1/12/2015  | 3.3       |
| CT – Wauregan          | 1/13/2015  | 2.6       |
| RI – Newport           | 2/3/2015   | 2.0       |
| NH – Epsom             | 8/2/2015   | 2.2       |
| NH – Contoocook        | 3/21/2016  | 2.8       |
| MA – Rockport Coast    | 6/1/2016   | 2.2       |
| NH – Bedford           | 2/11/2017  | 2.2       |
| NH – East Kingston     | 2/15/2018  | 2.7       |
| ME – Cape Neddick      | 7/16/2018  | 2.1       |
| MA – Nantucket         | 8/18/2018  | 2.4       |
| MA – Templeton         | 12/21/2018 | 2.1       |
| MA – Gardner           | 12/23/2018 | 2.2       |
| RI – Charlestown       | 3/1/2019   | 2.3       |
| MA – Rockport          | 4/27/2019  | 2.1       |
| MA – North Plymouth    | 12/3/2019  | 2.1       |
| (USGS, 2020)           |            | ·         |

Table 4-24. Historical Earthquakes in Boston or Surrounding Area, 1727-2020

Ground shaking or ground motion is the primary cause of earthquake damage to man-made structures. Ground motion from earthquakes is amplified by soft soils and reduced by hard rock. Ground motion.is measured by maximum peak horizontal acceleration expressed as a percentage of gravity (%g). Peak ground acceleration in the state ranges from 10 %g to 20 %g, with a 2% probability of exceedance in 50 years.

Belmont is in an area with a PGA of 14 %g to 16 %g with a 2% probability of exceedance in 50 years (Figure 4-9). This is the third/fourth highest zone in the state: in other words, a moderate area of earthquake risk. This is not a significant hazard because, Massachusetts overall has a low risk of earthquakes compared to the rest of the United States.

Although new construction under the most recent building codes generally will be built to seismic standards, much of the development in the Town pre-dates the current building code. If an earthquake occurs, the entire region, not just the Town, would face significant challenges. Earthquakes often trigger fires. The water distribution system may be disrupted, thus posing a risk for public health and safety.

A serious earthquake in Massachusetts is possible. These events can strike without warning and can have a devastating impact on infrastructure and buildings constructed prior to earthquake resistant design considerations.








Figure 4-9. State of Massachusetts Earthquake Probability Map (EEA and EOPSS, 2018)

It can be assumed that all existing and future buildings and populations are at risk to an earthquake hazard. Impacts from earthquakes can be from slight to moderate building damage, to catastrophic damage and fatalities, depending on the severity of the earthquake event. Events may cause minor damage such as cracked plaster and chimneys, or broken windows, or major damage resulting in building collapse. Based on the Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation Plan (MEMA and DCR, 2013, pp-236), the degree of exposure "depends on many factors, including the age and construction type of the structures where people live, work, and go to school; the soil type these buildings are constructed on; and the proximity of these building to the fault location." Furthermore, the time of day exposes different sectors of the community to the hazard. Earthquakes can lead to business interruptions, loss of utilities and road closures which may isolate populations. People who reside or work in unreinforced masonry buildings are vulnerable to liquefaction (liquefaction is the phenomenon that occurs when the strength and stiffness of a soil is reduced by earthquake).

Potential earthquake damage was modeled for Belmont. Hazus Multi-Hazard (Hazus) is a GIS model developed by FEMA to estimate losses in a defined area due to a specified natural hazard. The Hazus earthquake model allows users to input specific parameters in order to model a defined earthquake magnitude, with the epicenter located at the center of the municipality. In this analysis, two earthquakes were modeled: a magnitude 5.0 and a magnitude 7.0 earthquake. While large earthquakes are rare in Massachusetts, there was a magnitude 5.0 earthquake recorded in 1963. There is a possibility for larger scale earthquakes to occur in Massachusetts at some point, therefore a magnitude 7.0 earthquake was modeled as well to demonstrate the damage that could occur.



In order to model each of these earthquakes, the study region must first be defined. The Town of Belmont was outlined by the census tracts in the Town. The arbitrary event scenario was used, which allows the user to input the magnitude, depth, with, and epicenter of the earthquake. This must be done for each earthquake magnitude chosen. The output shows the potential impact that could occur in Belmont if either a magnitude 5.0 or a magnitude 7.0 earthquake occurred with the epicenter located in the center of the Town. HAZUS is based on 2010 census data and 2014 dollars. The tables below show the estimated damage from both a magnitude 5.0 and a magnitude 7.0 earthquake in the municipality.

Based on an HAZUS Earthquake module, estimated damage in Belmont from Magnitude 5 and 7 Earthquakes was assessed (Town of Belmont and MAPC, 2013). Historically, an earthquake with magnitude 5 occurred in 1963. This assessment assumes an earthquake epicenter at the center of the study area which would be the worst-case scenario. Table 4-25 below lists estimated damage in Belmont for this worst-case scenario.

|   | Magnitude 5.0 | Magnitude 7.0 |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Building Characteristics  |               |               |
| Estimated total number of buildings   | 8,088         | 8,088         |
| Estimated total building replacement value (Year 2014<br>\$)(Millions of dollars) | \$3,878       | \$3,878       |
| Building Damages  |               |               |
| # of buildings sustaining slight damage   | 2,328         | 223           |
| # of buildings sustaining moderate damage   | 1,366         | 1,426         |
| # of buildings sustaining extensive damage  | 433           | 2,087         |
| # of buildings completely damaged   | 118           | 4,332         |
| Population Needs  | _             |               |
| # of households displaced   | 574           | 6,362         |
| # of people seeking public shelter  | 284           | 3,171         |
| Debris  |               |               |
| Building debris generated (tons)  | 100,000       | 747,000       |
| # of truckloads to clear building debris (@25 tons/truck)                         | 4,000         | 29,880_       |
| Value of Damages (Millions of dollars)  |               |               |
| Total property damage   | \$465.74      | \$3471.17     |
| Total losses due to business interruption   | \$90.01       | \$493.24      |

Table 4-25. Estimated Damage in Belmont from Magnitude 5 and 7 Earthquakes

In addition to the infrastructural damage, HAZUS also calculated the potential social impact of a magnitude 5.0 and magnitude 7.0 earthquake on the community. This is shown as monetary value of business interruption loss of wages, capital related loss, rental and relocation costs. It also estimates displaced households, persons seeking temporary public shelter, and casualties. The full Hazus earthquake global risk report can be found in Appendix B.

Earthquakes are classified as a very low frequency event in Belmont. As defined by the 2018 *Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan*, the probability of a magnitude 5.0 or greater earthquake centered in New England is about 10-15% in a 10-year period.





## 4.5.2 Landslides

Landslide include a wide range of ground movement, such as rock falls, deep failure of slopes, and shallow debris flows. Although gravity, acting on an over steepened slope, is the primary reason for a landslide, there are other contributing factors. These contributing factors can include erosion by rivers or ocean waves over steepened slopes; rock and soil slopes weakened through saturation by snowmelt or heavy rains; earthquake created stresses that make weak slopes fail; excess weight from accumulation of rain or snow; and stockpiling of rock or ore from waste piles or man-made structures (USGS 2019).

Landslides occur throughout the United States, causing an estimated \$1 billion in damages and 25-50 deaths each year. Any area composed of very weak or fractured materials resting on a steep slope will likely experience landslides. Although the physical cause of many landslides cannot be removed, geologic investigations, good engineering practices, and effective enforcement of land-use management regulations can reduce landslide hazards (USGS 2019). Landslides can damage buildings and infrastructure and cause sedimentation of water bodies.

Landslide intensity can be measured in terms of destructiveness, as demonstrated by Table 4-26 below.

| Estimate Volume (m <sup>3</sup> ) | E                       | Expected Landslide Velocity |                     |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
|                                   | Fast moving (rock fall) | Slow moving<br>(slide)      |                     |
| < 0.001                           | Slight intensity        |                             |                     |
| < 0.5                             | Medium intensity        |                             |                     |
| >0.5                              | High intensity          |                             |                     |
| <500                              | High intensity          | Slight intensity            |                     |
| 500-10,000                        | High intensity          | Medium intensity            | Slight intensity    |
| 10,000 - 50,000                   | Very high intensity     | High intensity              | Medium intensity    |
| >500,000                          |                         | Very high intensity         | High intensity      |
| >>500,000                         |                         |                             | Very high intensity |

Table 4-26. Landslide Volume and Velocity

(Cardinali et al. 2002)

All of Belmont is classified as having a low risk for landslides. No significant landslides have been recorded for Belmont or Middlesex County (EEA and EOPSS, 2018). Rather, local officials indicate that there are occasionally localized issues of erosion during construction, as a result of development, or as a result of clearing vegetation. Landslides are classified as low frequency events in Belmont. These events can occur once in 50 to 100 years (a 1% to 2% chance of occurring each year).

# 4.6 Fire-Related Hazards

Fire risk is influenced by type of fuel, terrain, and weather. Strong winds can exacerbate extreme fire conditions, especially wind events that persist for long periods, or ones with significant sustained wind speeds that quickly promote fire spread through the movement of embers or exposure within tree crowns. Fires can spread quickly into developed areas.

Belmont is most susceptible to brushfire compared to a wildfire (or fire with a larger impact area). Brushfires and wildfires occur in the vegetative wildland, including grass, shrub, leaf litter, and forestedtree fuels. Fires can be caused by natural events or human activity, which then can spread quickly,





igniting brush, trees, and homes (MEMA and DCR, 2013). The State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan (EEA and EOPPS, 2018) states:

The ecosystems that are most susceptible to the wildfire hazard are pitch pine, scrub oak, and oak forests, as these areas contain the most flammable vegetative fuels. Other portions of the Commonwealth are also susceptible to wildfire, particularly at the urban-wildland interface.... Interface communities are defined as those in the vicinity of contiguous vegetation, with more than one house per 40 acres and less than 50 percent vegetation, and within 1.5 miles of an area of more than 500 hectares (approximately 202 acres) that is more than 75 percent vegetated.

Belmont has a region of intermix areas in the western part of Town, which would be more vulnerable to fire hazards because they are where housing and vegetation intermingle. Historically, McLean Open Space, Beaver Brook Reservation, and Belmont Hill Habitat been subject to brush fires (Town of Belmont and MAPC, 2013). In the CRB Workshop, the open fields in Rock Mountain Conservation Area were highlighted as an area vulnerable to bushfires. In recent years there have not been many brush fire occurrences in Belmont. Since wildfires are not common in Massachusetts, this plan focuses on brush and urban fires.

Brush fires can lead to property damage and even death, although they have not resulted in any major property damage or deaths in Belmont. All individuals whose homes or workplaces are in brush fire hazard zones are exposed to this hazard. The most vulnerable members of this population are those who would be unable to evacuate quickly, including those over the age of 65, households with young children under the age of 5, people with mobility limitations, and people with low socioeconomic status (EEA and EOPSS, 2018). Secondary effects from brush fire include contamination of reservoirs; destroyed power, gas, water, broadband, and oil transmission lines. Brush fires can also contribute to flooding as they strip slopes of vegetation, thereby exposing them to greater amounts of runoff which may cause soil erosion and ultimately the chance of flooding. Additionally, subsequent rains can worsen erosion because brush fires burn ground vegetation and ground cover.

Although they are usually minor, the Belmont Fire Department responds to several brush fires annually, but they have not resulted in major property damage or deaths. In recent years, the number of brush fires has decreased, except for small brush fires deep in the woods. These fires are due to human carelessness, such as juvenile activity. Approximately 84% of brush fires are caused by humans (Balch et al. 2017). Lightning can also be a culprit, igniting a fire when striking dry tinder on the forest floor. The Belmont Fire Department has a truck that has been converted into a brushfire fighting vehicle, but no equipment specifically designed to fight brushfires. The makeshift vehicle can't enter marshy areas such as near Beaver Brook that are prone to dry vegetation and could be potential brushfire hazard areas. Additional equipment designed for fighting brushfires, such as a side-by-side UTV with a water tank, would be beneficial to the Fire Department. Table 4-27 shows the sites were identified by Town staff as areas that have a higher brush fire risk.





### Table 4-27. Potential Brushfire Hazard Area

| Hazard Area          | Ownership                               |
|----------------------|---|
| Beaver Brook         | DCR                                     |
| Rock Meadow          | Town of Belmont Conservation Commission |
| McLean Open Space    | Town of Belmont Conservation Commission |
| Belmont Hill Habitat | Mass Audubon Wildlife Preserve          |

(Town of Belmont and MAPC, 2013)

Figure 4-10 below shows the locations of historical brush fires and the number of acres burned in Massachusetts between 2001 and 2009. Belmont has experienced between 0 and 20 recordable fires, totaling between 0.26 and 9 acres burned.




Figure 4-10. Massachusetts Brush Fires, 2001 to 2009 (MEMA and DCR, 2013)

Brush fires are classified as medium frequency events. As defined by the 2013 State Hazard Mitigation Plan, these events occur between once in 5 years to once in 50 years (a 2% to 20% chance of occurring per year).

## 4.7 Extreme Temperatures

Extreme temperatures are considered a Town-wide hazard in Belmont. These events can include both temperatures over and under seasonal averages. These extreme temperature events can range from brief to lengthy.







Figure 4-11. Current and Projected Temperature Changes (Weston & Sampson based on EEA, 2018a)

Massachusetts has four clearly defined seasons. Extreme temperatures fall outside of the ranges typically experienced during these seasons. Boston's average winter temperature, from December to February, is 32.2°F. Boston's average summer temperature, from June to August, is 73.8°F (NOAA 2018a).

### 4.7.1 Extreme Cold

Extremely cold temperatures are measured using the Wind Chill Temperature Index provided by the National Weather Service (NWS). The updated index was implemented in 2001 and helps explain the impact of cold temperatures on unexposed skin. Figure 4-12 provides more information.





|            |      |    |    |       |        |         |     |     | Tem            | pera | ture | (°F)    |     |     |        |                   |     |         |         |
|------------|------|----|----|-------|--------|---------|-----|-----|----------------|------|------|---------|-----|-----|--------|-------------------|-----|---------|---------|
|            | Calm | 40 | 35 | 30    | 25     | 20      | 15  | 10  | 5              | 0    | -5   | -10     | -15 | -20 | -25    | -30               | -35 | -40     | -45     |
|            | 5    | 36 | 31 | 25    | 19     | 13      | 7   | 1   | -5             | -11  | -16  | -22     | -28 | -34 | -40    | -46               | -52 | -57     | -63     |
|            | 10   | 34 | 27 | 21    | 15     | 9       | 3   | -4  | -10            | -16  | -22  | -28     | -35 | -41 | -47    | -53               | -59 | -66     | -72     |
|            | 15   | 32 | 25 | 19    | 13     | б       | 0   | -7  | -13            | -19  | -26  | -32     | -39 | -45 | -51    | -58               | -64 | -71     | -77     |
|            | 20   | 30 | 24 | 17    | 11     | 4       | -2  | -9  | -15            | -22  | -29  | -35     | -42 | -48 | -55    | -61               | -68 | -74     | -81     |
| (Ho        | 25   | 29 | 23 | 16    | 9      | 3       | -4  | -11 | -17            | -24  | -31  | -37     | -44 | -51 | -58    | -64               | -71 | -78     | -84     |
| Wind (mph) | 30   | 28 | 22 | 15    | 8      | 1       | -5  | -12 | -19            | -26  | -33  | -39     | -46 | -53 | -60    | -67               | -73 | -80     | -87     |
| pu         | 35   | 28 | 21 | 14    | 7      | 0       | -7  | -14 | -21            | -27  | -34  | -41     | -48 | -55 | -62    | -69               | -76 | -82     | -89     |
| ĪW         | 40   | 27 | 20 | 13    | 6      | -1      | -8  | -15 | -22            | -29  | -36  | -43     | -50 | -57 | -64    | -71               | -78 | -84     | -91     |
|            | 45   | 26 | 19 | 12    | 5      | -2      | -9  | -16 | -23            | -30  | -37  | -44     | -51 | -58 | -65    | -72               | -79 | -86     | -93     |
|            | 50   | 26 | 19 | 12    | 4      | -3      | -10 | -17 | -24            | -31  | -38  | -45     | -52 | -60 | -67    | -74               | -81 | -88     | -95     |
|            | 55   | 25 | 18 | 11    | 4      | -3      | -11 | -18 | -25            | -32  | -39  | -46     | -54 | -61 | -68    | -75               | -82 | -89     | -97     |
|            | 60   | 25 | 17 | 10    | 3      | -4      | -11 | -19 | -26            | -33  | -40  | -48     | -55 | -62 | -69    | -76               | -84 | -91     | -98     |
|            |      |    |    |       | Frostb | ite Tin | nes | 3   | 0 minut        | es   | 10   | ) minut | es  | 5 m | inutes |                   |     |         |         |
|            |      |    | W  | ind ( | Chill  |         |     |     | 0.62<br>nperat |      |      |         |     |     | 275    | (V <sup>0.1</sup> |     | ctive 1 | 1/01/01 |

Figure 4-12 Windchill Temperature Index and Frostbite Risk (National Weather Service, n.d.)

Extremely cold temperatures can create dangerous conditions for homeless populations, stranded travelers, and residents without sufficient insulation or heat. The homeless, the elderly, and people with disabilities are often most vulnerable. In Belmont, 16.7% of the population are over 65 years old and 3.4% percent of the population has a disability (ACS 2013-2017). Cold weather events can also have significant health impacts such as frostbite and hypothermia. Furthermore, power outages during cold weather may result in inappropriate use of combustion heaters, cooking appliances, and generators in poorly ventilated areas which can lead to increased risk of carbon monoxide poisoning. NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information Storm Events Database provides data for extreme cold events. Between 2000 and 2018, Middlesex County experienced three extreme cold and will chill events, which caused no deaths, injuries, or property damage.

## 4.7.2 Extreme Heat

Increased temperatures will impact all locations within Belmont. Projected heat days and heat waves can have an increased impact in densely settled urban areas. These can become "heat islands" as dark-colored asphalt and roofs store the heat from the sun. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the populations most vulnerable to extreme heat impacts include the following:

- People over the age of 65 (e.g., with limited mobility),
- Children under the age of five,
- Individuals with pre-existing medical conditions that impair heat tolerance,
- Low-income individuals who cannot afford proper cooling,
- Individuals with respiratory conditions,
- The general population who may overexert themselves during extreme heat events.





Homeless people are increasingly vulnerable to extreme heat. The capacity of homeless shelters is typically limited. Impacts from heat stress can exacerbate pre-existing respiratory and cardiovascular conditions (CDC, 2017).

Based on Figure 4-13 below, compiled by the Massachusetts Department of Public Health Bureau of Environmental Health (MA DPH, 2019), there is at least one population vulnerability measure in each Census Tract (2010). The population vulnerability measures include: low income, minimal English proficiency, non-white (Hispanic and non-Hispanic ethnicities), and elderly. Belmont has a population density of 1,270 - 5,780 or > 5,780 per square mile.

The NWS issues a Heat Advisory when the Heat Index (Figure 4-14) is forecast to reach 100-104° F for two or more hours (<u>https://www.weather.gov/bgm/heat</u>). The NWS issues an Excessive Heat Warning if the Heat Index is forecast to reach 105° + F for two or more hours. Heat waves cause more fatalities in the U.S. than the total of all other meteorological events combined. In Boston, over 50 people die each year due to heat-related illnesses. From 1979-2012, excessive heat exposure caused in excess of 8,000 deaths in the United States (MEMA and DCR 2013). During this period, more people in this country died from extreme heat than from hurricanes, lightning, tornadoes, floods, and earthquakes combined.




Figure 4-13. Populations Potentially Vulnerable to Heat related Health Impacts (Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Bureau of Environmental Health, 2019)





|                                |               |      |    |         |        |   |   | Ten     | nperatur  | e (°F) |         |        |         |            |     |     |     |  |
|--------------------------------|---------------|------|----|---------|--------|---|---|---------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|---------|------------|-----|-----|-----|--|
|                                |               | 80   | 82 | 84      | 86     | 88  | 90  | 92      | 94        | 96     | 98      | 100    | 102     | 104        | 106 | 108 | 110 |  |
|                                | 40            | 80   | 81 | 83      | 85     | 88  | 91  | 94      | 97        | 101    | 105     | 109    | 114     | 119        | 124 | 130 | 136 |  |
|                                | 45            | 80   | 82 | 84      | 87     | 89  | 93  | 96      | 100       | 104    | 109     | 114    | 119     | 124        | 130 | 137 |     |  |
|                                | 50            | 81   | 83 | 85      | 88     | 91  | 95  | 99      | 103       | 108    | 113     | 118    | 124     | 131        | 137 |     |     |  |
| Relative Humidity (%)          | 55            | 81   | 84 | 86      | 89     | 93  | 97  | 101     | 106       | 112    | 117     | 124    | 130     | 137        |     |     |     |  |
|                                | 60            | 82   | 84 | 88      | 91     | 95  | 100   | 105     | 110       | 116    | 123     | 129    | 137     |            |     |     |     |  |
| , mi                           | 65            | 82   | 85 | 89      | 93     | 98  | 103   | 108     | 114       | 121    | 128     | 136    |         |            |     |     |     |  |
| e H                            | 70            | 83   | 86 | 90      | 95     | 100   | 105   | 112     | 119       | 126    | 134     |        |         |            |     |     |     |  |
| lativ                          | 75            | 84   | 88 | 92      | 97     | 103   | 109   | 116     | 124       | 132    |         |        |         |            |     |     |     |  |
| Rel                            | 80            | 84   | 89 | 94      | 100    | 106   | 113   | 121     | 129       |        |         |        |         |            |     |     |     |  |
|                                | 85            | 85   | 90 | 96      | 102    | 110   | 117   | 126     | 135       |        |         |        |         |            |     |     |     |  |
|                                | 90            | 86   | 91 | 98      | 105    | 113   | 122   | 131     |           |        |         |        |         |            |     |     |     |  |
|                                | 95            | 86   | 93 | 100     | 108    | 117   | 127   |         |           |        |         |        |         |            |     |     |     |  |
|                                | 100           | 87   | 95 | 103     | 112    | 121   | 132   |         |           |        |         |        |         |            |     |     |     |  |
| Cat                            | egory         |      |    | Heat    | Index  |   | Health Hazards  |         |           |        |         |        |         |            |     |     |     |  |
| Extre                          | eme Dai       | nger | 1  | 30 °F – | Higher | Hea   | Heat Stroke or Sunstroke is likely with continued exposure.   |         |           |        |         |        |         |            |     |     |     |  |
| Dang                           | Danger 105 °F |      |    | 05 °F – | 129 °F |   | Sunstroke, muscle cramps, and/or heat exhaustion possible with prolonged exposure and/or physical activity. |         |           |        |         |        |         |            |     |     |     |  |
| Extreme Caution 90 °F – 105 °F |               |      |    |         |        | Sunstroke, muscle cramps, and/or heat exhaustions possible with prolonged<br>exposure and/or physical activity. |   |         |           |        |         |        |         |            |     |     |     |  |
| Caut                           | ion           |      |    | 80 °F – | 90 °F  | Fati  | gue pos   | sible w | ith prolo | nged e | xposure | and/or | physica | al activit | у.  |     |     |  |

Figure 4-14. Heat Index Chart (https://www.weather.gov/safety/heat-index)

On July 6, 2013, a postal worker in Massachusetts collapsed and died as the Heat Index reached 100°F (EEA and EOPSS, 2018). Because most heat-related deaths occur during the summer, people should be aware of who is at greatest risk and what actions can be taken to prevent a heat-related illness or death. The populations at greater risk are the elderly, children, and people with certain medical conditions, such as heart disease. In Belmont, children under five years old make up 5% of the population, and 13.2% are over 65 years old. However, even young and healthy individuals can succumb to heat if they participate in strenuous physical activities during hot weather. Some behaviors also put people at greater risk: drinking alcohol, taking part in strenuous outdoor physical activities in hot weather, and taking medications that impair the body's ability to regulate its temperature or that inhibit perspiration (MEMA and DCR 2013; ACS 2013-2017).

Increased temperatures can lead to a longer growing season, which in turn leads to a longer pollen season. Warmer weather can also support the migration of invasive species and lead to an increase in vector-borne diseases. Increasing temperatures can also worsen air pollution, which can lead to negative health impacts such as respiratory problems. Potential impacts from increasing temperatures are show in Figure 4-15, below.








Figure 4-15. Potential Impacts from Increasing Temperatures (Weston & Sampson based on MA EOEEA, 2018)

In past years, Belmont has experienced brown outs, which are reductions of electricity supply on hot days when demand is high generally due to cooling devices. The Town is not connected to a regional electricity network, and so must manage their electricity on hot days to ensure resident's safety. The Town has improved the electrical grid to build capacity and continuously works to reduce usage during peak hours.

The Town of Belmont does not collect data on heat occurrences. The best available local data are for Middlesex County, through the National Environmental Information Center. NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information Storm Events Database provides data on excessive heat. Between 1998 and 2018, Middlesex County experienced three extreme heat days, which did not result in injury or property damage. One event did result in a single death in 2013.

Extreme temperatures are classified as medium frequency events. According to the 2018 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan (EEA and EOPSS, 2018), between four and five heat waves (3 or more consecutive days of 90°+F temperatures) occur annually in Massachusetts.

## 4.7.3 Climate Change Impacts: Extreme Temperatures

Between 1961 and 1990, Boston experienced an average of one day per year in excess of 100°F. That could increase to six days per year by 2070, and 24 days per year by 2099. Under these conditions by the end of the century, Massachusetts's climate would more closely resemble that of Maryland or the Carolinas (refer to Figure 4-16 below). These changes in temperature would also have a detrimental impact on air quality and public health concerns including asthma and other respiratory conditions (Frumhoff et al. 2007).








Figure 4-16. Massachusetts Extreme Heat Scenarios. (Frumhoff et al. 2007)

# 4.8 Drought

Drought is an extended period of deficient precipitation. Drought conditions occur in virtually all climatic zones, yet its characteristics vary significantly from one region to another since it is relative to the normal precipitation in that region. Agriculture, the water supply, aquatic ecosystems, wildlife, and the economy are vulnerable to the impacts of drought (EEA and EOPSS 2018).

Average annual precipitation in Boston is 53.32 inches per year, with approximately two to five-inch average amounts for each month of the year (NOAA 2019c). Although Massachusetts is relatively small, it has several distinct regions that experience significantly different weather patterns and react differently to the amounts of precipitation they receive. In accordance with the Massachusetts Drought Management Plan, the Drought Management Task Force will make recommendations to the Secretary of Energy & Environmental Affairs about the location and severity of drought in the Commonwealth. The Drought Management Plan divides the state into six regions: Western, Central, Connecticut River Valley, Northeast, Southeast, and Cape and Islands. Belmont is located within the Northeast region (EEA and MEMA, 2013). The Drought Management Plan, which was finalized in 2019, a seventh region, representing the Islands alone, has been added (Massachusetts Water Resources Commission, 2019).

Five levels of drought have been developed to characterize drought severity: Normal, Advisory, Watch, Warning, and Emergency; these correspond to Level 0 – Normal, Level 1 - Mild Drought, Level 2 - Significant Drought, Level 3 - Critical Drought (was Warning), and Level 4 - Emergency Drought (was Emergency), respectively, of the draft Drought Management Plan update. The drought levels are based on the severity of drought conditions and their impacts on natural resources and public water supplies.





The Drought Management Plan specifies the agency response and interagency coordination and communication corresponding to the various drought levels. During normal conditions, data are routinely collected and distributed. There is heightened vigilance with additional data collection during an advisory, and increased assessment and proactive education during a watch. Water restrictions might be appropriate at the watch or warning stage, depending on the capacity of each individual water supply system. A warning level indicates a severe situation and the possibility that a drought emergency may be necessary. A drought emergency is one in which use of emergency supplies become necessary or in which the Governor may exercise his authority to require mandatory water restrictions or (EEA and MEMA, 2013).

A variety of drought indices are available to assess the various impacts of dry conditions. The Commonwealth uses a multi-index system to determine the severity of a drought or extended period of dry conditions. A determination of drought level is based on seven indices: Standardized Precipitation Index, Precipitation (percent of normal), Crop Moisture Index, Keetch-Byram Drought Index (KBDI), Groundwater levels, Stream flow levels, and Index Reservoir levels. (In its draft updated Drought Management Plan, the Drought Management Trask Force has proposed to eliminate the precipitation index that is based on percent of normal precipitation.)

Drought level is determined monthly based on the number of indices which have reached a given drought level. A majority of the indices would need to be triggered in a region in order for a drought designation to move to a more severe level. Drought levels are declared on a regional basis for each of the six regions in Massachusetts. Drought levels may also be made county by county or be watershed specific. The end of a drought is determined by precipitation and groundwater levels since these have the greatest long-term impact on streamflow, water supply, reservoir levels, soil moisture and potential for forest fires (EEA and MEMA, 2013). Figure 4-17 illustrates statewide weeks of extreme drought between 2001 and 2017 and Table 4-28 below summarizes a history of Massachusetts droughts between 1879 and 2017.








Figure 4-17. Weeks of Severe Drought (2001 - 2017)

| Date         | Area<br>Affected          | Recurrence<br>Interval (years) | Remarks  |
|--------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| 1879 to 1883 | -                         | -                              | _  |
| 1908 to 1912 | -                         | -                              | _  |
| 1929 to 1932 | Statewide                 | 10 to >50                      | Water-supply sources altered in 13 communities. Multistate.  |
| 1939 to 1944 | Statewide                 | 15 to >50                      | More severe in eastern and extreme western Massachusetts. Multistate.                                    |
| 1957 to 1959 | Statewide                 | 5 to 25                        | Record low water levels in observation wells, northeastern Massachusetts.                                |
| 1961 to 1969 | Statewide                 | 35 to >50                      | Water-supply shortages common.<br>Record drought. Multistate.  |
| 1980 to 1983 | Statewide                 | 10 to 30                       | Most severe in Ipswich and Taunton<br>River basins; minimal effect in<br>Nashua River basin. Multistate. |
| 1985 to 1988 | Housatonic<br>River Basin | 25                             | Duration and severity unknown.<br>Streamflow showed mixed trends<br>elsewhere.                           |

#### Table 4-28. Droughts in Massachusetts Based on Instrumental Records





| Date                       | Area<br>Affected  | Recurrence<br>Interval (years) | Remarks   |
|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|
| 1995                       | _   | _                              | Based on statewide average precipitation.   |
| 1998 to 1999               | _   | _                              | Based on statewide average precipitation.   |
| 2001 to 2003               | Statewide   | _                              | Level 2 drought (out of 4 levels) was<br>reached statewide for several<br>months. |
| 2007 to 2008               | Statewide<br>except West<br>and Cape and<br>Islands<br>regions      | -                              | Level 1 drought (out of 4 levels)   |
| 2010                       | Connecticut<br>River Valley,<br>Central and<br>Northeast<br>regions | -                              | Level 1 drought (out of 4 levels)   |
| 2014                       | Southeast and<br>Cape and<br>Islands<br>regions                     | -                              | Level 1 drought (out of 4 levels)   |
| 2016-2017<br>(EEA and EOPS | Statewide   |                                | Level 3 drought (out of 4 levels).  |

 Table 4-28. Droughts in Massachusetts Based on Instrumental Records

(EEA and EOPSS, 2018)

There are five drought emergencies on record in Massachusetts: 1883, 1911, 1941, 1957, and 1965-1966. The 1965-1966 drought is considered the most severe Massachusetts drought in modern times, given its length. On a monthly basis over the 162-year period of record, there is a one percent chance of being in a Drought Emergency (EEA and MEMA 2013, 36).

Drought Warning levels not associated with Drought Emergencies would have occurred in 1894, 1915, 1930,1985, 2016, and 2017. On a monthly basis over the 162-year period of record, there is a 2% chance of being in a drought Warning level (EEA and MEMA, 2013, 36; DCR 2017b, 1).

Drought watches not associated with higher levels of drought generally would have occurred three to four times per decade between 1850 and 1950. The Drought emergency declarations dominated the 1960s. There were no Drought Watches or above in the 1970s. In the 1980s, there was a lengthy Drought Watch level of precipitation between 1980 and 1981, followed by a Drought Warning in 1985. A frequency of drought Watches at a rate of three years per decade resumed in the 1990s (1995, 1998, 1999). In the 2000s, Drought Watches occurred in 2001 and 2002. The overall frequency of being in a Drought Watch is eight percent on a monthly basis over the 162-year period of record (EEA and MEMA, 2013, 36). There were six drought watches in Massachusetts in 2002, five drought watches in 2016, and two drought watches in 2017 (DCR, 2017b, 1). Figure 4-18 presents an example of drought conditions in the six drought regions.






Figure 4-18. Massachusetts Drought Status, February 2017 (DCR, 2017b)

Drought is a potential Town-wide hazard in Belmont. As noted previously, temperature is projected to increase and may lead to exacerbated drought conditions especially in summer and fall months. Droughts can also increase fire risk: fires can be caused by lightning, and a 2014 study found that the frequency of lightning strikes could increase by more than 10% for every degree Celsius of warming (EEA and EOPSS, 2018). During Belmont's MVP Workshop in February 2019, workshop participants discussed the connections between multiple hazards, and their potential impact on the Town. One example given was the potential for a severe drought to increase the risk of brush fires.

A long-term drought could lead to impacts to Town's wetlands and streams, and to Beaver Brook and the Fresh Pond Reservoir, which is the drinking water supply for neighboring Cambridge. In a drought emergency affecting the water supply of the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, water use restrictions would be implemented in Belmont. This could result in loss of landscaped areas and business revenues depending on the length of the water use restriction.

Droughts are classified as a low frequency natural hazard event. As defined by the 2013 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation Plan, these events can occur between once in 50 years to once in 100 years (a 1% to 2% chance of occurring per year).

#### 4.8.1 Climate Change Impacts: Drought

Under climate change, drought conditions will be exacerbated with projected increasing air temperatures and changes in precipitation. Between 1970 and 2000, the median number of consecutive dry fall days in Massachusetts was 11.4 days. This is in comparison to a projected median of 13.5 consecutive days by the end of the century (EEA, 2018a).





# 5.0 EXISTING MITIGATION MEASURES

The Town of Belmont is already doing measures to mitigate local hazards. Chapter 5 documents the Town's current operations and discusses potential improvements. FEMA's *Local Mitigation Planning Handbook* categorizes hazard mitigation measures into four types as displayed in Table 5-1 (FEMA, 2013). Belmont uses many of these tools, which are presented by hazard type.

| Mitigation<br>Category                      | Description  | Examples   |
|---|--|--|
| Local Plans<br>and<br>Regulations           | These actions include government<br>authorities, policies, or codes that<br>influence the way land and buildings<br>are developed and built.   | <ul> <li>Comprehensive plans</li> <li>Land use ordinances</li> <li>Subdivision regulations</li> <li>Development review</li> <li>Building codes and enforcement</li> <li>NFIP Community Rating System</li> <li>Capital improvement programs</li> <li>Open space preservation</li> <li>Stormwater management regulations and master plans</li> </ul> |
| Structure and<br>Infrastructure<br>Projects | These actions involve modifying<br>existing structures and infrastructure<br>to protect them from a hazard or<br>remove them from a hazard area. This<br>could apply to public or private<br>structures as well as critical facilities<br>and infrastructure. This type of action<br>also involves projects to construct<br>manmade structures to reduce the<br>impact of hazards. | <ul> <li>Acquisitions and elevations of<br/>structures in flood prone areas</li> <li>Utility undergrounding</li> <li>Structural retrofits</li> <li>Floodwalls and retaining walls</li> <li>Detention and retention structures</li> <li>Culverts</li> <li>Safe rooms</li> </ul>   |
| Natural<br>Systems<br>Protection            | These are actions that minimize<br>damage and losses and preserve or<br>restore the functions of natural<br>systems.   | <ul> <li>Sediment and erosion control</li> <li>Stream corridor restoration</li> <li>Forest management</li> <li>Conservation easements</li> <li>Wetland restoration and preservation</li> </ul>   |
| Education and<br>Awareness<br>Programs      | These are actions to inform and<br>educate citizens, elected officials, and<br>property owners about hazards and<br>potential ways to mitigate them. A<br>greater understanding and awareness<br>of hazards and risk among local<br>officials, stakeholders, and the public<br>is more likely to lead to direct actions.   | <ul> <li>Radio or television spots</li> <li>Websites with maps and information</li> <li>Real estate disclosure</li> <li>Presentations to school groups or<br/>neighborhood organizations</li> <li>Mailings to residents in hazard-prone<br/>areas.</li> <li>Storm-Ready or FireWise</li> </ul>   |

| Table 5 1  |        | Types | ~ 6 | Mitiantion  | Actions |
|------------|--------|-------|-----|-------------|---------|
| Table 5-1. | FEMA S | rypes | στ  | initigation | Actions |

Table adapted from Local Mitigation Planning Handbook (FEMA, 2013b).





### 5.1 Existing Multi-Hazard Mitigation Measures

*Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (CEMP)* – Every community in Massachusetts is required to have a Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan. These plans address mitigation, preparedness, response and recovery from a variety of natural and man-made emergencies. These plans contain important information regarding flooding, hurricanes, tornadoes, dam failures, earthquakes, and winter storms. Therefore, the CEMP is a mitigation measure that is relevant to all the hazards discussed in this plan.

*Community Emergency Response Team (CERT)* – The Town maintains a volunteer program dedicated to responding to emergency situations.

Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) – Under the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act of 1986, communities are required to establish Local Emergency Planning Committees to develop a response plan for chemical emergencies. In accordance with this legislation, the Town of Belmont has identified locations where hazardous materials are stored, used, and transported.

*Public Education* – Emergency Preparedness public education is available on the Town's website, via the Fire Department, Police Department, Emergency Management Department, and the CERT Team.

*Reverse 911* – The Town has a Reverse 911 system that automatically calls all residents and businesses to communicate emergency information. Residents may update their Reverse 911 information on the Town website.

*Emergency Shelters* – The Chenery Middle School would serve as a shelter in the event of a disaster. There are plans for a new high school, which will also serve as an emergency shelter.

*Multi-Department Review of Developments* – Multiple departments, such as Community Development, Public Works, Fire, Emergency Management and Conservation, thoroughly review all site plans prior to approval.

Stable Communications Systems – Belmont has reliable communications towers that house communications equipment for the Police and several other Town departments. Town officials stated that their communications systems are not at risk during high wind events.

*Backup Generators* – In the event of power outages the Town does have backup generators at all the critical Town buildings and facilities. The Town also has a mobile emergency power generator that can be brought site to site in case of an emergency. Backup generators are available at several Town buildings:

- Fire Headquarters
- Fire Station 2
- Police Station
- Homer Town Offices
- Communications Building at Radio
   Tower
- DPW Garage
- Butler Elementary School
- Chenery Middle School
- Belmont High School





Zoning By-Law – Zoning is intended to protect public health and safety through the regulation of land use. Belmont has a Zoning By-Law that includes many rules and regulations regarding flooding, stormwater management, and site plan review, among many others.

*Massachusetts State Building Code* – The Massachusetts State Building Code contains many detailed regulations regarding wind loads, earthquake resistant design, flood-proofing, and snow loads.

FEMA Deployment – FEMA can deploy vehicles in the case of an emergency.

# 5.2 Existing Flood-Related Mitigation Practices

*Participation in the NFIP* – Belmont participates in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) (FEMA, 2018c). The NFIP is a Federal program administered by FEMA enabling property owners in participating communities to purchase insurance as a protection against flood losses in exchange for State and community floodplain management regulations that reduce future flood damages. NFIP offers flood insurance to communities that comply with the minimum standards for floodplain management.

NFIP uses a Community Rating System (CRS) to award communities that go beyond the minimum standards with lower flood insurance premiums for property owners. The incentives are awarded upon a credit system for various activities. Points are awarded to communities that prepare, adopt, implement, and update a comprehensive flood hazard mitigation plan using a standard planning process. Belmont is not currently eligible to participate in the CRS Program (as of May 2019) (FEMA, 2019b).

Belmont participates in NFIP with 55 policies in force as of June 30, 2019 (FEMA, 2019c). FEMA maintains a database on flood insurance policies and claims. This database can be found on the FEMA website at <a href="https://www.fema.gov/policy-claim-statistics-flood-insurance">https://www.fema.gov/policy-claim-statistics-flood-insurance</a>

The Town complies with the NFIP by enforcing floodplain regulations, maintaining up-to-date floodplain maps, and providing information to property owners and builders regarding floodplains and building requirements.

Street sweeping – The Town performs street sweeping twice a year on every road in Belmont, and on an as-needed basis if there is concerns from residents.

*Catch basin cleaning* – The Town hires a contractor to clean all of its catch basins annually. Approximately 2,000 catch basins are identified as Town-owned and maintained.

*On-going Drainage Improvement Program* – The Public Works Department provides maintenance and routine replacement to culverts, drainage pipes, and other drainage infrastructure on an as-needed basis.

Stormwater System and Outfalls Mapped in GIS – The Town has developed a drainage system inventory and integrated the data into the Town's Geographical Information System (GIS).

*IDDE Program Implementation* – The Town is implementing an IDDE Program to fullfil their MS4 Permit requirments.

*Floodplain District* – The Belmont Zoning By-Law includes a Floodplain District. The Town's Floodplain District is defined by the 100-year floodplain as designated by FEMA (*Zoning By-Law Section 2.4*). The





Floodplain District prohibits the building of new structures and filling or removal of earth material (*Zoning By- Law Section 6.6*) and other passive land uses require a special permit.

*Massachusetts Stormwater Regulations* – The Conservation Commission regulates and enforces the Massachusetts Stormwater Regulations.

Stormwater Bylaw and Regulations– The purpose of the Stormwater Management and Erosion Control By-Law (§ 60-325 of the Belmont General Bylaws) is to prevent pollutants from entering the separate storm sewer system, to promote ground infiltration, and to ensure controls for erosion, sedimentation, and stormwater runoff are incorporated into site planning. The Stormwater Management and Erosion Control Rules and Regulations were adopted by the Board of Selectmen on September 29, 2014. The regulations require a permit, including an operation and maintenance plan and adherence to design criteria, for land disturbances meeting certain thresholds and connections of pipes to the sewer or stormwater system.

*Wetlands Protection Act* – The Belmont Conservation Commission administers the state's Wetlands Protection Act (Chapter 131, Section 40 MGL) to protect resource areas in and around wetlands, including land subject to flooding.

*Belmont Open Space and Recreation Plan (OSRP)* - Belmont has protected open space and proactive land acquisition and preservation programs. The Open Space and Recreation Plan was last updated in 2008.

*Reviews and Inspections of New Developments* – Town staff provide reviews new developments drainage and utility connections for water and sewer.

*Trash Trap on Wellington Brook* – The Town has recently completed repairs to the Trash Trap behind the Belmont Library, which has reduced flooding in the Claypit Pond Area

Yard Waste Clean Up – The Town provides yard waste pick up from April to December. This reduces the amount of debris that is washed into the stormwater system and catch basins.

Maintain Up to Date Flood Maps – The Town maintains up to date flood maps from FEMA at Town offices. The FEMA flood maps were last updated in 2010.

*Green Infrastructure Projects* – The Town has an active citizen group that proposes green infrastructure projects. An example of a project that has been successfully implemented is the rain garden on Trapelo Road.

*Tri-Community Group* – The Tri-Community Group was formed to address issues like flooding Arlington, Cambridge, and Belmont. The Town is involved in the group and meets periodically to address regional flooding issues.

*NPDES Phase II Stormwater Program* – The Town continues to implement an aggressive NPDES stormwater program that includes measures for public education and outreach, illicit discharge detection and elimination, construction and post-construction controls, and Town-wide good housekeeping and stormwater maintenance procedures.





## 5.3 Existing Dam Mitigation Measures

*DCR Dam Safety Regulations* – All jurisdictional dams are subject to the Division of Conservation and Recreation's dam safety regulations (*302 CMR 10.00*). The dams must be inspected regularly, and reports filed with the DCR Office of Dam Safety.

Permits Required for Construction – State law requires a permit for the construction of any dam.

### 5.4 Existing Town-Wide Mitigation for Wind-Related Hazards

*Massachusetts State Building Code* – The Town enforces the Massachusetts State Building Code whose provisions are generally adequate to protect against most wind damage. The code's provisions are the most cost-effective mitigation measure against tornados given the extremely low probability of occurrence. If a tornado were to occur, the potential for severe damages would be extremely high.

Buried Utilities – Approximately half of utility lines are buried underground.

*Tree Maintenance by the Town* – The Town's and the Town's Tree Warden work with a contractor to address hazard tree concerns, which reduces the number of downed trees in a high wind event.

*Tree Maintenance by Energy Utilities (Belmont Municipal Light Department)* – Utilities trim trees along the power lines. Increased preventative maintenance of trees along the power lines would be beneficial.

### 5.5 Existing Town-Wide Mitigation for Winter-Related Hazards

Snow Removal Requirements in the General Code – The Town's By-Laws (Chapter 60, Section 8) requires that commercial properties and residents to clear snow and ice from their roads and sidewalks.

Snow-Plowing Operations and Roadway Treatments – The Department of Public Works provides standard snow plowing operations, including using salt and beet juice on the roadways.

### 5.6 Existing Town-Wide Mitigation for Fire-Related Hazards

*Outdoor Burning* – The Town does not allow outdoor burning.

*Brush Fire Response Equipment* – Belmont has one large all-wheel drive pumper to access wooded areas in Town. Additionally, they have a smaller utility truck that has been converted and outfitted with a small pump to access more difficult areas of brush.

*Fire Department Review of Proposed Development* – The Fire Department is involved in reviewing site plans and some special permit applications. Recommendations have been made in the past regarding the creation of low vegetation buffers in areas of the Town where there is a greater potential for brushfires.

*Public Education* – The Fire Department provides public education on fire prevention on their website at <u>https://www.belmont-ma.gov/fire</u>.

Statewide Fire Mobilization Plan – Belmont participates in the State's fire mobilization plan for brush fires.





## 5.7 Existing Town-Wide Mitigation for Extreme Temperature-Related Hazards

*Tree Maintenance by the Town* – The Town's and the Town's Tree Warden work with a contractor to address hazard tree concerns, which can be exasperated by extreme temperatures.

*Tree Maintenance by Energy Utilities (Belmont Municipal Light Department)* – Utilities trim trees along the power lines. Increased preventative maintenance of trees along the power lines would be beneficial.

*Emergency Shelters* – Emergency Shelters can be used as heating and cooling centers during times of extreme temperatures.

### 5.8 Existing Town-Wide Mitigation for Geologic Hazards

Massachusetts State Building Code – The State Building Code contains a section on designing for earthquake loads (780 CMR 1612.0). Section 1612.1 states that the purpose of these provisions is "to minimize the hazard to life to occupants of all buildings and non-building structures, to increase the expected performance of higher occupancy structures as compared to ordinary structures, and to improve the capability of essential facilities to function during and after an earthquake". This section goes on to state that due to the complexity of seismic design, the criteria presented are the minimum considered to be "prudent and economically justified" for the protection of life safety. The code also states that absolute safety and prevention of damage, even in an earthquake event with a reasonable probability of occurrence, is not economically achievable for most buildings.

Section 1612.2.5 establishes seismic hazard exposure groups and assigns all buildings to one of these groups according to Table 1612.2.5. Group II includes buildings which have a substantial public hazard due to occupancy or use and Group III are those buildings having essential facilities which are required for post-earthquake recovery, including fire, rescue and police stations, emergency rooms, power-generating facilities, and communications facilities.

### 5.9 Summary of Existing Mitigation Measures

There are numerous existing natural hazard mitigation measures already in place in Belmont. These were identified through feedback from the Core Team, CRB Workshop participants, and other stakeholders and are summarized in Table 5-2 below. The existing hazard mitigation measures are described in more in the previous sections.

| Type of Existing Mitigation Measures                    | Improvement Considerations       |
|---|----------------------------------|
| MULTIPLE HAZARDS  |                                  |
| Comprehensive Emergency<br>Management Plan (CEMP)       | Needs to be periodically updated |
| Community Emergency Response Team<br>(CERT)             | Expand participation             |
| Communications Equipment (Stable)                       | None                             |
| Massachusetts State Building Code                       | None                             |
| Zoning By-Laws  | None                             |
| Multi-Department Review of Developments                 | None                             |
| Local Emergency Management Planning<br>Committee (LEPC) | None                             |

#### Table 5-2. Existing Mitigation Measures





|   | ing Mitigation Measures                            |
|---|--|
| Type of Existing Mitigation Measures          | Improvement Considerations                         |
| Backup Generators                             | Add a generator at the Senior Center and consider  |
|   | solar power, battery backup at other facilities to |
|   | keep facilities online longer than a generator     |
| Emergency Shelters                            | Maintain, periodically review capacity, and check  |
|   | functionality of equipment                         |
| Tree Maintenance by the Town                  | Increase financial resources for tree maintenance  |
|   | and planting more trees                            |
| Tree Maintenance by Electric Utilities        | Increase proactive maintenance of trees along      |
| (Belmont Municipal Light)                     | power lines  |
| Buried Utilities                              | Continue to expand implementation                  |
| Reverse 911                                   | Increase participation                             |
| Public Education                              | Continue to expand outreach                        |
| FEMA Deployment                               | None   |
| Green Infrastructure Projects                 | Identify new projects on municipal properties      |
| FLOOD HAZARDS                                 |  |
| Participation in the NFIP. The Town actively  | Encourage all eligible homeowners to obtain        |
| enforces the floodplain regulations.          | insurance  |
| Stormwater System and Outfalls Mapped in      | Update periodically                                |
| GIS   |  |
| IDDE Program Implementation                   | Continue implementation                            |
| Street sweeping                               | None   |
| Catch basin cleaning                          | None   |
| Drainage system maintenance                   | None   |
| Ongoing Drainage Improvement Program          | None   |
| Floodplain District                           | None   |
| Stormwater By-Law and Regulations             | Require consideration of climate change.           |
| Tri-Community Group                           | None   |
| Wetlands Protection Act                       | Adopt a Town specific by-law.                      |
| Massachusetts Stormwater Regulations          | Support update of regulations to include climate   |
|   | projections  |
| Belmont Open Space and Recreation Plan (2008) | Update the plan                                    |
| Review and Inspection of New Development      | None   |
| Drainage                                      |  |
| NPDES Phase II Stormwater Program             | None   |
| Trash Trap on Wellington Brook                | None   |
| Yard Waste Clean Up                           | None   |
| Maintain Up-To-Date Flood Maps                | Update FEMA Flood maps                             |
| DAM HAZARDS                                   |  |
| DCR Dam Safety Regulations and Permitting     | None   |
| Permits required for construction.            | None   |
| WINTER HAZARDS                                |  |
| Snow-Plowing Operations                       | None   |
| ener i lotting operatione                     |  |





| Type of Existing Mitigation Measures | Improvement Considerations  |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Snow Removal Requirements in Bylaws  | Educate the public about snow removal on public sidewalks and salt use on sidewalks and driveways |
| Roadway Treatments                   | None  |
| FIRE-RELATED HAZARDS                 |   |
| No Outdoor Burning                   | None  |
| Public Education on Fire             | Develop a FireWise Program to help educate residents on fire prevention and hazardous materials   |
| Statewide Fire Mobilization Plan     | None  |
| Brush Fire Response Equipment        | Purchase additional brush fire response equipment   |
| Fire Department Site Plan Review     | None  |

#### Table 5-2. Existing Mitigation Measures

### 5.10 Mitigation Capabilities and Local Capacity for Implementation

Under the Massachusetts system of "Home Rule," the Town of Belmont is authorized to adopt and from time to time amend several local by-laws and regulations that support the Town's capabilities to mitigate natural hazards. These include the Zoning By-Laws, Stormwater Management and Erosion Control By-Law, and local enforcement of the State Building Code. Local regulations and by-laws may be amended by the Town Board of Selectmen, annual Town Meeting, and through other regulatory bodies to improve the Town's capabilities. The Town of Belmont has recognized several existing mitigation measures that require implementation or improvements and has the capacity based on these Home Rule powers within its local boards and departments to address them. The Town also can expand on and improve the existing policies and programs listed above.





# 6.0 STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES FROM THE 2013 DRAFT PLAN

# 6.1 Implementation Progress on the Previous Plan

At a meeting of the Belmont Core Team, Town staff reviewed the mitigation measures identified in the draft 2013 Belmont Hazard Mitigation Plan. The Core Committee felt it was important to determine which mitigation measures were still relevant and whether each measure had been implemented or deferred. Of those measures that had been deferred, the committee evaluated whether the measure should be deleted or carried forward into this 2020 HMP-MVP Plan. The decision on whether to delete or retain a particular measure was based on the committee's assessment of the continued relevance or effectiveness of the measure and whether the deferral of action on the measure was due to the inability of the Town to take action on the measure. Table 7-1 summarizes the status of the mitigation measures.

| 2013 Mitigation<br>Topic    | Description   | 2020 Status   | Include in<br>2020 Plan? |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|
| High Priority               |   |   |                          |
| A) Claypit Pond<br>Flooding | Claypit Pond: Study possible<br>solutions to flooding related to<br>Claypit Pond.<br>Historically, flooding has been a<br>significant issue near Claypit<br>Pond. Recent improvements<br>have ameliorated this condition,<br>but additional work could further<br>protect the Town buildings and<br>homes in this area. This study<br>would primarily consider the<br>costs and benefits of two<br>possible solutions; pumping<br>down water levels in advance of<br>predicted large storm events or<br>enlarging the pond outlet to<br>Wellington Brook. The first<br>solution was a recommendation<br>of the 2004 Tri- Community<br>report but has potential<br>environmental impacts that must<br>be considered. The second<br>solution has the potential to<br>create additional flooding on the<br>remainder of the Alewife Brook<br>system downstream during large<br>storms. | In Progress—The trash trap<br>on Wellington Brook has<br>alleviated much of the<br>flooding, but a study would<br>still be beneficial | Yes                      |

| Table 6-1. 2020 Status of | <b>Mitigation Measures</b> | from the 2013 Plan |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|





| 2013 Mi<br>Top                |                        | Description  | 2020 Status   | Include in<br>2020 Plan? |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|
| B) Trapel<br>Culver           | o Road<br>t            | Trapelo Road Culvert: Enlarge culvert and elevate road.  | Not Completed-Culvert is<br>being replaced in<br>partnership with the City of<br>Waltham    | Yes                      |
| C) Storm<br>Manag<br>Progra   | gement                 | Stormwater Management<br>program: Adopt storm water<br>regulations and management<br>program to reduce the amount<br>of rainwater entering Belmont's<br>waterways and contributing to<br>flood events. | Completed   | No                       |
| D) Gener                      | ators                  | Purchase mobile, long-running<br>generators and/or install or<br>upgrade fixed, multi-fuel<br>generators in designated<br>emergency shelters.  | Completed   | No                       |
| E) Brush<br>Mainte<br>Progra  | enance                 | Develop a brush fire prevention<br>maintenance program for Town<br>owned conservation properties<br>including fire road maintenance,<br>field mowing, and brush<br>clearing.                           | Not Completed   | Yes                      |
| F) Brush<br>Firefig<br>Equipr | hting                  | Acquire additional brush fire<br>firefighting equipment including<br>a "drop-in unit" that can be fitted<br>onto an existing Town owned<br>four-wheel drive vehicle.                                   | Have converted a Town<br>vehicle into a makeshift<br>mobile brush firefighting<br>equipment | Yes                      |
| ,                             | Jnits and<br>nunicatio | Purchase hand-held GPS units<br>and upgrade or replace mobile<br>radio communications<br>equipment, as necessary.  | Not Completed   | No                       |
| H) Radio                      |                        | Purchase a radio repeater so as<br>to allow handheld radio<br>communications to the western<br>side of Belmont Hill.   | Not Completed-Simulcast<br>Transmitter needed on west<br>side of Belmont Hill               | Yes                      |
| I) Upgra<br>Gener             |                        | Upgrade all generators as<br>needed; provide alternative fuel<br>sources and generator power<br>source flexibility   | In Progress   | Yes                      |

Table 6-1. 2020 Status of Mitigation Measures from the 2013 Plan





| 2  | 013 Mitigation<br>Topic   | Description  | 2020 Status   | Include in<br>2020 Plan?  |
|----|---|--|---------------|---|
| J) | FIRM Mapping<br>and Bylaws  | FIRM mapping and bylaws:<br>Update Town Flood Information<br>Rate Maps (FIRM) maps<br>information and update town<br>bylaw.  |               | No  |
| K) | Land<br>Protection  | Acquire priority open space<br>parcels for many uses including<br>maintaining flood storage and<br>water infiltration capacity   | In Progress   | Yes   |
| Me | dium Priority   |  |               |   |
| L) | Maintenance of<br>Drainage<br>Facilities                          | Dedicate more resources for<br>more frequent maintenance of<br>town- owned drainage facilities,<br>such as more frequent removal<br>of sediment.   | Not Completed | No  |
| M) | Tri-Community<br>Working Group<br>Flood<br>Mitigation<br>Measures | The Tri-Community Working<br>Group identified a number of<br>potential flood mitigation<br>measures in their 2004 report.<br>The three communities should<br>consider following up on some<br>of the additional studies<br>identified.   | Not Completed | Yes   |
| N) | High<br>Groundwater<br>Tables                                     | Study the causes and potential<br>solutions to groundwater<br>sourced flooding related to high<br>groundwater tables. This<br>flooding is found in scattered<br>locations throughout Belmont<br>and neighboring localities within<br>the Alewife Brook watershed and<br>mostly impacts basements<br>during severe storms. If<br>possible, create a map and GIS<br>shapefile of the areas where this<br>flooding is most likely to occur. | Not Completed | Yes   |
| 0) | Stormwater<br>Utility Creation                                    | Begin to study the feasibility of<br>creating a stormwater utility to<br>help pay for drainage system<br>maintenance and<br>improvements.  | Not completed | Yes, amend<br>to look at<br>other<br>alternative<br>sources of<br>revenue |

| Table 6-1. 2020 Status of Mitigation | Measures from the 2013 Plan |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|





| 2013 Mitigation<br>Topic                             | Description  | 2020 Status   | Include in<br>2020 Plan? |
|--|--|---------------|--------------------------|
| P) Web Based<br>GIS for<br>Wetlands                  | Develop a web-based GIS wetlands mapping capacity.   | In Progress   | No                       |
| Q) Emergency<br>Flood<br>Preparation                 | Develop more efficient<br>emergency flood preparation<br>and emergency response<br>capacity.   | In Progress   | Yes                      |
| R) Tree<br>Maintenance                               | Increase contract labor for tree maintenance program.  | Not Completed | Yes                      |
| S) Earthquake<br>Resistance                          | Investigate options to make all public buildings earthquake resistant.   | Not Completed | Yes                      |
| T) FireWise<br>Program                               | FireWise Program to help<br>educate residents on fire<br>prevention and hazardous<br>materials   | Not Completed | Yes                      |
| Low Priority   |  |               |                          |
| U) Acorn Park<br>Road                                | Acorn Park Road: The Belmont<br>portion of this road floods only<br>during very severe storm events.<br>With development of the<br>Belmont Uplands area, the Town<br>will consider whether elevation<br>of this road is necessary in the<br>future, working with the City of<br>Cambridge. | Completed     | No                       |
| V) Storm Drains<br>and Catch<br>Basins into GIS      | Complete locating of all storm<br>drains and catch basins into<br>town GIS database  | Completed     | No                       |
| W) Stormwater<br>and Erosion<br>Control<br>Education | Stormwater and Erosion Control<br>Outreach and Education:<br>Develop a stronger wetland,<br>erosion control, and stormwater<br>education outreach program for<br>town residents and builders   | Completed     | No                       |

As indicated in Table 6-1, the Town completed several mitigation measures including:

- Adopting stormwater regulations and management program
- Purchasing a mobile emergency generator
- Acquiring additional brushfire fighting equipment
- Minimizing flooding on Acorn Park Road
- Locating all storm drains and catch basins and putting them in GIS





• Developing a better wetland, erosion control, and stormwater education outreach program for town residents and builders

Additionally, several mitigation measures from the 2013 HMP were identified as ongoing, high priority action items, including:

- Minimizing flooding in the Clay Pit Pond area
- Replacing the Trapelo Road Culvert
- Increasing contract labor for tree maintenance and hazard tree removal
- Developing better emergency flood preparation and response
- Upgrading generators in emergency shelters and Town facilities.

As the Town moves forward into the next five-year plan implementation period; identifying and incorporating hazard mitigation into the Town's decision-making process will be a high priority. Limited staffing and financial resources are the biggest challenges the Town faces in implementing the mitigations measure identified in this plan. The plan is intended to assist the Town in prioritizing the proposed measures, which will assist in allocating available grant or funding sources.





# 7.0 HAZARD MITIGATION AND CLIMATE ADAPTATION STRATEGY

## 7.1 Identification of Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Strategies

The Town developed a list of priority hazard mitigation and climate adaptation strategies through multifaceted approach. Strategies were discussed and developed upon review of the:

- Hazard and climate change risk assessment.
- Existing measures and the capacity to mitigate and respond to hazardous events.
- Progress on the previous plan.
- Input from stakeholders.

Stakeholders were engaged through Core Team meetings, the CRB Workshop, and the public input session. The full list of action items from the CRB Workshop are available in Appendix C. Hazard mitigation strategies often provide protection against more than one natural or climatic hazard.

Each mitigation measure is paired with an estimated cost, timeframe, and implementation responsibility. These considerations also informed the prioritization of the mitigation measures. A description of the prioritization categories used in Table 7-1 is included below.

<u>General Objective</u> – An overarching aim related to one or several mitigation actions. The general objective may be achieved through a variety or combination of mitigation actions.

<u>Specific Action</u> - A description of a hazard mitigation or climate adaption measure with details, such a specific location, strategy or technique to be used to work towards fulfilling the general objective.

<u>Implementation Responsibility</u> – Most hazard mitigation and climate adaptation measures will require a multi-department approach where several Town departments share responsibility. This determination is at the discretion of the governing body of the community. The designation of implementation responsibility in the table was assigned based on general knowledge of the responsibilities of each municipal department. Departments names in bold will be the lead.

<u>Time Frame</u> – The time frames represented below are assigned based on the complexity of the measure, the overall priority of the measure, and generally reflect when the mitigation measure is planned to initiate. The identification of time frames is not meant to prevent a community from actively seeking out and taking advantage of funding opportunities as they arise. The time frames are divided into the categories below.

- >1 year
- 1-3 years
- 3-5 years

- 5-10 years
- 10+ years
- Ongoing

<u>Estimated Cost</u> – The estimated cost is provided using the breakdown below. All costs are estimates and would need to be updated at the time of design and construction. When applicable, costs have been divided between preliminary assessments and cost of construction.

- \$: >\$10,000
- \$\$: \$10,000-\$100,000
- \$\$\$: \$100,000-\$250,000
- \$\$\$: \$250,000-\$500,000
- \$\$\$\$: \$500,000+







<u>Priority</u> – Designation of high, medium, or low priority was based on overall potential benefits, areas affected, and estimated project costs. A High Priority action is very likely to have political and public support and necessary maintenance can occur following the project, and the costs seem reasonable considering likely benefits from the measure. A Medium Priority action may have political and public support and necessary maintenance had potential to occur following the project. A Low Priority action may not have political and public support for implementation or the necessary maintenance support following the project.

Residents were asked how Belmont should prioritize climate adaptation and hazard mitigation measures. Over forty percent of residents felt the impact to public safety should be considered followed by funding and time frame (see Appendix D for more details).

| General<br>Objective  | Specific Actions  | Implementation<br>Responsibility  | Time Frame<br>(years) | Cost                      | Priority |
|---|---|---|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Culvert<br>replacements<br>and upgrades<br>using climate<br>projection  | *Replace the Trapelo Road Culvert   | <ul> <li>DPW</li> <li>Community<br/>Development</li> <li>City of<br/>Waltham</li> </ul> | 0-1                   | \$\$\$\$                  | Н        |
| design<br>standards   | Identify roadways vulnerable to flooding and perform a culvert right-sizing and priority study  | Community     Development   | 1-3                   | \$\$\$- Study             | Н        |
|   | Upgrade culvert sizes to accommodate<br>more stormwater runoff, particularly at<br>Concord Avenue/Wellington Brook<br>culvert, intersection of Clifton St and<br>Hickory Ln, intersection of Belmont St<br>and Lexington St, and near Spy Pond  | Community     Development   | 1-3                   | \$\$\$\$ - per<br>Culvert | H        |
| Sewer<br>infrastructure   | Implement a sewer lining program and repair failing infrastructure  | Community     Development   | Ongo<br>ing           | \$\$\$- per<br>year       | Н        |
| Decrease<br>potential<br>leaching hazard<br>from former<br>incinerator and<br>develop site into<br>beneficial use<br>Voted as a<br>top priority by<br>residents | As required by DEP, place cap on<br>incinerator site to prevent leaching into<br>the Charles River during heavy<br>precipitation events and utilize wetland<br>vegetation to improve water quality.<br>Implement Select Board approved post<br>closure uses of Belmont Light<br>Department sustainability projects. | <ul> <li>DPW</li> <li>Community<br/>Development</li> </ul>                              | 1-3                   | \$\$\$\$                  | Н        |

Table 7-1. Priority Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Actions





| General<br>Objective   | Specific Actions   | Implementation<br>Responsibility  | Time Frame<br>(years) | Cost   | Priority |
|--|--|---|-----------------------|--|----------|
| Stormwater<br>computer<br>modelling  | Model existing drainage system<br>utilizing updated rainfall data to<br>evaluate flooding conditions under<br>projected climate change conditions.<br>Data will be combined with City of<br>Cambridge data to create a regional<br>look at flooding impacts.                                     | Community     Development   | 0-1                   | \$   | Η        |
| Low Impact<br>Development<br>(LID) stormwater<br>management<br>opportunities<br>analysis   | Identify low impact development<br>stormwater management opportunities<br>(like rain gardens) on municipal<br>properties and roadways. Investigate<br>de-paving large parking lots and<br>investigate using permeable pavement<br>on sidewalks. Create swales and<br>stormwater detention areas. | <ul> <li>DPW</li> <li>Community<br/>Development</li> <li>Facilities</li> </ul>        | 3-5                   | \$\$ - Study<br>\$\$\$ -<br>Design/<br>Construction    | M        |
| Implement<br>measure<br>identified in<br>planning efforts<br>that intersection<br>with hazard<br>mitigation and<br>climate<br>resilience | *Implement the flood mitigation<br>measures from the 2004 The Tri-<br>Community Working Group report.  | <ul> <li>DPW</li> <li>City of<br/>Cambridge</li> <li>Town of<br/>Arlington</li> </ul> | 3-5                   | Varies   | M        |
| Identify a stable<br>and reliable<br>funding source<br>for stormwater<br>management  | Develop a stormwater enterprise fee or<br>a building permit fee for stormwater<br>and impermeable surface.   | <ul> <li>DPW</li> <li>Community<br/>Development</li> </ul>                            | 5-10                  | \$\$- setup,<br>future would<br>be self-<br>sustaining | М        |
| Develop a<br>comprehensive<br>emergency<br>response plan<br>and ongoing  | Develop an Emergency Response Plan<br>that has tailored sections addressing<br>how to support people at greater risk, a<br>database of vulnerable residents, and<br>a plan to provide wellness checks.   | <ul><li>Police</li><li>Fire</li></ul>   | 1-3                   | \$\$   | Н        |
| communication<br>program   | Increase registrations for the Reverse<br>911 system, possibly by developing the<br>option to sign ups at any public office  | <ul><li>Police</li><li>Fire</li></ul>   | 1-3                   | \$   | H        |
|  | Create more transit options to get people to shelters during emergencies   | <ul><li>Police</li><li>Fire</li></ul>   | 5-10                  | \$\$\$   | М        |

Table 7-1. Priority Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Actions





| General<br>Objective   | Specific Actions  | Implementation<br>Responsibility   | Time Frame<br>(years) | Cost | Priority |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|------|----------|
|  | Develop a recurring protocol for<br>examining shelter capacity,<br>functionality, and essential equipment<br>and goods. Develop a shelter in place<br>guide or toolkit for private, multi-unit<br>facilities.   | Police     Fire  | 1-3                   | \$   | M        |
|  | Improve emergency preparedness<br>outreach and education for vulnerable<br>and isolated populations, including the<br>elderly, youth, non-English speakers,<br>low income individuals, and disabled<br>individuals.   | <ul><li>Police</li><li>Fire</li></ul>  | 1-3                   | \$   | M        |
|  | *Document emergency flood<br>preparation and emergency response<br>capacity.  | <ul><li>DPW</li><li>Police</li><li>Fire</li></ul>                              | 1-3                   | \$   | M        |
|  | Partner with neighborhood groups and<br>worship communities to spread<br>awareness of the available community<br>resources and document how these<br>organizations can support hazard<br>mitigation and climate adaptation<br>efforts.                                | <ul><li>Police</li><li>Fire</li></ul>  | 1-3                   | \$   | L        |
|  | Increase public education and<br>engagement in pest prevention and<br>vector borne diseases, such as<br>encouraging residents to reduce the<br>amount of standing water in residential<br>areas and install signage in recreation<br>areas about mosquitos and ticks. | <ul> <li>Health<br/>Department</li> <li>Conservation<br/>Commission</li> </ul> | 1-3                   | \$   | L        |
|  | Educate the public about snow<br>removal on public sidewalks and salt<br>use on sidewalks and driveways.  | <ul><li>DPW</li><li>Community</li><li>Development</li></ul>                    | 1-3                   | \$   | L        |
| Decrease fossil<br>fuel usage and<br>decrease<br>energy demand | Increase participation in the HeatSmart<br>Program, which encourages heat<br>pump usage and reduces energy<br>demand.   | • DPW  | 3-5                   | \$   | Μ        |

Table 7-1. Priority Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Actions





| General<br>Objective   | Specific Actions  | Implementation<br>Responsibility   | Time Frame<br>(years) | Cost     | Priority |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|----------|----------|
| Cost-benefit<br>analysis of flood<br>management<br>projects<br>Voted as a<br>top priority by<br>residents            | *Develop a cost-benefit analysis of the<br>possible flood mitigation solutions at<br>areas such as Claypit Pond, Little<br>Pond, and Mill Pond. This study would<br>primarily consider the costs and<br>benefits of pumping down water levels<br>in advance of predicted large storm<br>events, investigating overflow<br>protection, and enlarging pond outlets<br>(particularly at Wellington Brook). | Community     Development  | 3-5                   | \$\$\$   | М        |
| Develop a fire<br>prevention<br>program and<br>purchase more<br>equipment  | *Develop a brush fire prevention<br>maintenance program for Town owned<br>conservation properties including fire<br>road maintenance, field mowing, and<br>brush clearing.  | Fire     Department  | 5-10                  | \$\$\$   | М        |
|  | FireWise Program to help educate residents on fire prevention and hazardous materials.  | • Fire<br>Department   | 1-3                   | \$       | М        |
|  | Protect the existing green spaces by<br>purchasing a side-by side UTV with<br>water tank to combat brush fires away<br>from roadways.   | Fire     Department  | 3-5                   | \$\$     | L        |
| Improve the<br>resilience of<br>natural featuresInstall low impact development and<br>green infrastructure in parks. |   | <ul> <li>Community<br/>Development</li> <li>Conservation<br/>Commission</li> </ul> | 3-5                   | \$\$\$   | М        |
|  | Adopt the wetlands bylaw.   | <ul> <li>Community<br/>Development</li> <li>Conservation<br/>Commission</li> </ul> | 3-5                   | \$\$     | М        |
|  | Restore wetlands impact by poor water quality and development.  | <ul><li>Community<br/>Development</li><li>Conservation<br/>Commission</li></ul>    | 3-5                   | \$\$\$   | Μ        |
| Purchase<br>strategic land<br>acquisitions   | *Acquire priority parcels for many uses<br>including flood storage, stormwater<br>infiltration, and conservation.   | <ul> <li>Community<br/>Development</li> <li>Conservation<br/>Commission</li> </ul> | 5-10                  | \$\$\$\$ | М        |

Table 7-1. Priority Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Actions





| General<br>Objective                                   | Specific Actions  | Implementation<br>Responsibility                            | Time Frame<br>(years) | Cost                 | Priority |
|--|---|---|-----------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Improve<br>resilience of<br>communications             | *Install a Simulcast Transmitter on the west side of Belmont Hill to improve communications in the area.  | <ul><li>Police</li><li>Fire</li></ul>                       | 5-10                  | \$\$\$\$             | M        |
| equipment  | *Upgrade or replace mobile radio communications equipment, as necessary.  | <ul><li> Police</li><li> Fire</li></ul>                     | 5-10                  | \$\$\$\$             | М        |
| Design and<br>construction<br>more complete<br>streets | Increase access to cooling shelters<br>and parks through a complete streets<br>network. For example, examine the<br>feasibility of installing bike lanes to<br>Grove Park.  | <ul> <li>DPW</li> <li>Community<br/>Development</li> </ul>  | 3-5                   | \$\$\$               |          |
|  | Complete the bike path that connects to Cambridge.  | <ul><li>DPW</li><li>Community<br/>Development</li></ul>     | 5-10                  | \$\$\$               | М        |
|  | Create more transit options to get people to shelters in an emergency.  | Police     Fire   | 5-10                  | \$\$\$               | М        |
|  | Maintain and build more sidewalks.  | <ul><li>DPW</li><li>Community</li><li>Development</li></ul> | 5-10                  | \$\$\$               | М        |
| Improve the resilience of                              | *Investigate options to make all public buildings earthquake resistant.   | Facilities     Department                                   | 10+                   | \$\$-<br>Evaluation  | L        |
| municipal<br>buildings                                 | Implement the municipal building plan<br>that involved climate resilience<br>measures (fortifying roofing tiles,<br>weatherizing windows, elevating<br>mechanical systems above flood level,<br>and strengthen HVAC systems).                                       | <ul> <li>Facilities<br/>Department</li> </ul>               | 1-3                   | Varies               | L        |
|  | Install a generator at the Senior Center.   | <ul><li>DPW</li><li>Council on<br/>Aging</li></ul>          | 1-3                   | \$\$                 | Μ        |
|  | Upgrade backup power systems with<br>new generators, renewable microgrids,<br>or solar power redundancy at critical<br>facilities, possibly the Winthrop L<br>Chenery Middle School (emergency<br>shelter), Senior Center, new police<br>station, and data centers. | <ul><li>DPW</li><li>Police</li><li>Fire</li></ul>           | 3-5                   | \$\$ per<br>facility | Н        |

Table 7-1. Priority Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Actions





| General<br>Objective          | Specific Actions  | Implementation<br>Responsibility | Time Frame<br>(years) | Cost       | Priority |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------|
| High<br>groundwater<br>tables | *Study the causes and potential<br>solutions to flooding (in mostly<br>basements) related to high<br>groundwater tables primarily in the<br>Alewife Brook watershed. If possible,<br>create a map and GIS shapefile of the<br>areas where this flooding is most likely<br>to occur. | Community     Development        | 5-10                  | \$\$-study | L        |
| Tree<br>management            | *Increase tree maintenance efforts and<br>funding for tree maintenance<br>contractor.   | • DPW                            | 1-3                   | \$\$\$     | M        |
|                               | Develop a comprehensive tree<br>management plan, which could include<br>planting appropriate species by taking<br>into consideration local air quality,<br>proximity to dense housing and<br>roadways, drought, extreme<br>temperatures, and wind.                                  | • DPW                            | 1-3                   | \$\$       | M        |

#### Table 7-1. Priority Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Actions

#### 7.2 Regional Partnerships

Mitigating natural hazards is not confined to a local issue. The communities are often complex systems of storm drains, roadway infrastructure, pump stations, dams, and other facilities owned and operated by a wide variety of agencies, including Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT), the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA), and the Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR). The planning, construction, operation, and maintenance of these structures are integral to the hazard mitigation and climate adaptation efforts of communities. These agencies also operate under the same constraints as communities do including budgetary and staffing limitations. And as all communities do, they must make decisions about numerous competing priorities. In order to implement many of these mitigation measures, all parties will need to work together towards a mutually beneficial solution.

The Town will also work with other groups, such as the Mystic River Watershed Association and the Tri-Community Working Group to complete regionally focused action items. The surrounding communities will be additional partners on specific projects, for example, the replacement of the Trapelo Road culvert. Local businesses and private entities, like the McLean Hospital, will play a role in implementing best practices beyond municipally controlled parcels.





# 7.3 Potential Funding Sources

There is a great variety of funding available for Massachusetts municipalities, both through the state and federal governments. A full list of funding opportunities can be found on the <u>Community Grant Finder</u> <u>webpage</u>. The Community Grant finder provides a streamlined interface where municipalities can easily learn about grant opportunities. Specific funding options related to action items developed by Belmont are listed below.

| Category                                | Grant  | Description   | Limitations &<br>Stipulations                      |
|---|--|---|--|
| ,                                       | Infrastructure Program                                       | Provides grants to communities<br>to help them prepare for success<br>and contribute to the long-<br>term strength and sustainability<br>of the Commonwealth. | None   |
| 0,                                      | 0  |   | building and other                                 |
| Management and                          |  |   | structures insured under                           |
| Planning                                | Program (FMA)  | eliminate the long-term risk of<br>flood damage   | the National Flood<br>Insurance Program<br>(NFIP). |
| Emergency<br>Management and<br>Planning | Program  | Provides funding after a disaster<br>to significantly reduce or<br>permanently eliminate future risk<br>to lives and property from natural<br>hazards         | None   |
| Emergency                               | Pre-Disaster Mitigation                                      | Provides funds for hazard   | None   |
| Management and<br>Planning              |  | mitigation planning and the<br>implementation of mitigation<br>projects prior to a disaster event   |  |
| Energy                                  |  |   | None   |
| Energy                                  | Green Communities<br>Designation and Grant<br>Program        |   | None   |
| Environment                             | -  | Funding to establish community forests  | None   |
| Environment                             | Culvert Replacement<br>Municipal Assistance<br>Grant Program |   | None   |
| Environment                             | 604b Grant Program   |   | None   |

Table 7-2: Funding Opportunities for Resiliency Projects





#### Table 7-2: Funding Opportunities for Resiliency Projects

| Category      | Grant                   | Description                        | Limitations &             |
|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| category      |                         |                                    | Stipulations              |
| Environment   | Land Use Planning       | Support effort to plan, regulate,  | None                      |
|               | Grants                  | and act to conserve and develop    |                           |
|               |                         | land consistent with the           |                           |
|               |                         | Massachusetts' Sustainable         |                           |
|               |                         | Development Principles             |                           |
| Environment   | LAND Grant Program      | Helps cities and towns acquire     | Reimbursement rate: 52-   |
|               |                         | land for conservation and          | 70%                       |
|               |                         | passive recreation                 |                           |
| Environment   | Federal Land & Water    | Funding for the acquisition,       | Municipality must have    |
|               | Conservation Fund       | · · · ·                            | an OSRP                   |
|               |                         | parks, trails, and conservation    |                           |
|               |                         | areas.                             |                           |
| Environment   | MassTrails Program      | Trail protection, construction,    | None                      |
|               |                         | and stewardship projects           |                           |
| Environment   | Municipal Vulnerability | Provides support implement         | None                      |
|               | Preparedness (MVP)      | climate change resiliency priority |                           |
|               | Program                 | projects                           |                           |
| Environment   | Natural Resource        | Funding for restoration projects.  | None                      |
|               | Damages Program         | Funding comes from                 |                           |
|               |                         | settlements, so it is does not     |                           |
|               |                         | follow a set schedule.             |                           |
| Environment   | MS4 Grant Program       | Meeting the requirements of the    | Two or more               |
|               |                         | 2016 MS4 permit and reduce         | municipalities subject to |
|               |                         | stormwater pollution through       | the 2016 Small MS4        |
|               |                         | partnerships                       | General Permit (must      |
|               |                         |                                    | apply together)           |
| Public Safety | Emergency               | Reimbursable grant program to      | Reimbursable              |
|               | Management              | assist local emergency             |                           |
|               | Performance Grant       | management departments to          |                           |
|               | (EMPG)                  | build and maintain an all-hazards  |                           |
|               |                         | emergency preparedness             |                           |
|               |                         | system                             |                           |
| Public Safety | Public Assistance       | The state reimburses               | 75% reimbursable          |
|               | Program                 | governments and other              |                           |
|               |                         | applicants for disaster related    |                           |
|               |                         | costs                              | N I                       |
| Public Safety | Senior SAFE             | Supports fire and life safety      | None                      |
|               |                         | education for seniors              | <b>N</b> 1                |
| Public Safety | Student Awareness of    |                                    | None                      |
|               | Fire Education          | to teach fire and life safety to   |                           |
|               | (S.A.F.E.)              | schools                            |                           |





#### Table 7-2: Funding Opportunities for Resiliency Projects

| Category         | Grant                   | Description                      | Limitations &<br>Stipulations |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                  |                         | Reimbursable grants on           | None                          |
| Transportation   |                         | approved projects                |                               |
| Public Works and | Community Transit Grant | Funding to meet the              | Depends on project type       |
| Transportation   | Program                 | transportation and mobility      |                               |
|                  |                         | needs of seniors and people with |                               |
|                  |                         | disabilities                     |                               |
| Public Works and | Complete Streets        | Technical assistance and         | Eligible communities          |
| Transportation   | Funding Program         | construction funding             | must pass a Complete          |
|                  |                         |                                  | Streets Policy and            |
|                  |                         |                                  | develop a Prioritization      |
|                  |                         |                                  | Plan                          |
| Public Works and | Municipal Small Bridge  | Funding for small bridge         | Bridges with spans            |
| Transportation   | Program                 | replacement, preservation and    | between 10' and 20'           |
|                  |                         | rehab projects                   |                               |

7.4 Resident





# 8.0 PLAN ADOPTION AND MAINTENANCE

# 8.1 Plan Adoption

The Town of Belmont 2020 HMP-MVP Plan was adopted by the Select Board on [ADD DATE]. See Appendix D for documentation. The plan was approved by FEMA on [ADD DATE] for a five-year period that will expire on [ADD DATE].

# 8.2 Plan Implementation

The Core Team will use Table 7.1 as a guide for taking action to mitigate hazards and improve the Town's climate resilience. The time frame, responsible department, and funding mechanisms in Table 7.2 layout out an implementation plan for the Core Team. The Core Team will be held accountable through the tracking mechanisms explained in the following sections. The HMP-MVP Plan will also inform future planning and budgeting processes.

# 8.3 Plan Maintenance

# 8.3.1 Tracking Progress and Updates

FEMA's initial approval of this plan is valid for five years. During that time the Town will need to continue to track progress, document hazards, and identify future mitigation efforts. The Core Team, coordinated by the Community Development Director and Fire Chief, will meet annually or on an asneeded basis, whichever is most frequent, to monitor plan implementation. The Core Team will be amended as needed. The meetings will assist in determining any necessary changes or revisions to the plan that may be needed. The coordinators of Core Team will prepare and distribute materials, such as a survey or excel document, for the annual meeting to track the progress of the actions in Table 7.1. In addition, the Core Team document the effects of hazards or problem areas that have been identified since the plan drafting. The Core Team will regularly review and update the Town's capacity to mitigate, prepare, and respond using Chapter 5 as a base. The information collected will be used to formulate a report and/or addendum to the plan.

# 8.3.1 Continuing Public Participation

The adopted plan will be posted on the Town's website. The posting of the plan on the Town's web site will provide a mechanism for citizen feedback, such as an e-mail address for interested parties to send comments. The Town will encourage local participation whenever possible during the next five-year planning and implementation cycle. The Core Team will incorporate engagement into the implementation of the priority action items. All updates to the plan, including implementation progress, will be placed on the Town's website. All public meetings related to the HMP-MVP Plan will be publicly noticed in accordance with Town and State open meeting laws.

# 8.3.2 Integration of the Plans with Other Planning Initiatives

Upon approval of the Town of Belmont 2020 HMP-MVP Plan by FEMA, the Core Team will make the plan available to all interested parties and all departments with an implementation responsibility. The group will initiate a discussion with those various departments regarding how the plan can be integrated into their ongoing work. At a minimum, the plan will be reviewed and discussed with the following departments:

| Community and Economic | Facilities Department |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Development            | Health Board          |





| <ul><li>Department of Public Work</li><li>Parks and Recreation Department</li></ul> | <ul><li>Fire Department</li><li>Police Department</li></ul> |
|---|---|
| <ul> <li>Conservation Commission</li> </ul>   |   |

Appropriate sections of the HMP-MVP Plan will be integrated into other plans, policies and documents as those are updated and renewed, including the writing of, or updates to, the Town's next update to the Master Plan, Open Space Plan, Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan, and Capital Investment Program. Coordination with the Metropolitan Area Planning Council, local organizations, businesses, watershed groups, and state agencies will be required for successful implementation and continued updating.

### 8.4 Process of Updating

By maintaining the Town of Belmont 2020 HMP-MVP Plan, the Town will have a competitive application when applying to FEMA for funding to update the plan. Once the resources have been secured to update the plan, the Core Team will need to determine whether to undertake the update itself or hire a consultant. If the Core Team decides to update the plan itself, the group will need to review the current FEMA hazard mitigation plan guidelines for any change in the requirements. The update to the Town of Belmont 2020 HMP-MVP Plan will be forwarded to MEMA for review and to FEMA for ultimate approval. The Core Team will begin drafting the full update of the plan in four years. This will help the Town avoid a lapse in its approved plan status and grant eligibility when the current plan expires at the end of year five.





### 9.0 LIST OF REFERENCES

- Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE). 2019. "Summary for Middlesex, MA." National Inventory of Dams. Accessed March 6, 2019. <u>https://nid-test.sec.usace.army.mil/ords/f?p=105:113:9244658743142::NO:::.</u>
- Balch, Jennifer K., Bethany A. Bradley, John T. Abatzoglou, R. Chelsea Nagy, Emily J. Fusco, and Adam L. Mahood. 2017. "Human-started wildfires expand the fire niche across the United States." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* (PNAS). 114 (11) 2946-2951.
- Blake, Eric S.; Landsea, Christopher W.; and Gibney, Ethan J. 2011. The Deadliest, Costliest, and Most Intense United States Tropical Cyclones from 1851 to 2010 (and Other Frequently Requested Hurricane Facts. NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS NHC-6. National Weather Service, National Hurricane Center. Accessed March 6, 2019. https://www.nhc.noaa.gov/pdf/nws-nhc-6.pdf.
- Cardinali, M, P. Reichenback, R. Guzzetti, F. Ardizzone, G. Antonini, M. Galli, M. Cacciano, M. Castellani, P. Salvati. 2002. A Geomorphological Approach to the Estimation of Landslide Hazards and Risks in Umbria, Central Italy. European Geophysical Society. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences. 2: 57-72. <u>https://www.nat-hazards-earth-systsci.net/2/57/2002/nhess-2-57-2002.pdf.</u>
- Epstein, David. 2016. "This Morning's Tornado in Concord, Explained." Boston.com. Accessed March 6, 2019. <u>https://www.boston.com/weather/weather/2016/08/22/this-mornings-tornado-in-concord-explained.</u>
- Executive Office of Labor and Workplace Development (EOLWD). 2019. Labor Market Information Largest 100 Employers in Tyngsborough. Webpage. Accessed January 8, 2020. <u>http://lmi2.detma.org/lmi/Top\_employer\_list.asp?gstfips=25&areatype=05&gCountyCode</u> <u>=000248</u>
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2020a. "Hazard Mitigation Planning." Webpage. Accessed February 2020. <u>https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-planning</u>
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2020b. "Grants." Webpage. Accessed March 2020. <u>https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-planning</u>
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2019a. "Hazard Mitigation Grant Program." Webpage. Accessed October 15, 2019. <u>https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-planning</u>
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2019b. "National Flood Insurance Program Flood Insurance Manual." Accessed January 2020. <u>https://www.fema.gov/media-librarydata/1555526121163-7169ef09aba2f9a043c638064ec84025/app-f\_crs\_508\_apr2019.pdf</u>
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2019c. "Policy & Claim Statistics for Flood Insurance.". Accessed January 2020. <u>https://www.fema.gov/policy-claim-statistics-floodinsurance</u>

- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2018a. "Natural Hazard Mitigation Saves Interim Report." Webpage. Accessed January 7<sup>th</sup>, 2020. <u>https://www.fema.gov/media-librarydata/1528732098546-c3116b4c12a0167c31b46ba09d02edfa/FEMA\_MitSaves-Factsheet\_508.pdf</u>
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2018b. "Disasters." Webpage. Accessed November 26, 2018. <u>fema.gov/disasters.</u>
- Federal Emergency Management Agency. 2018c. "FEMA Flood Map Service Center: Welcome!" Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM). <u>https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home.</u>
- Federal Emergency Management Agency. 2018d. "Policy & Claim Statistics for Flood Insurance." Webpage. Accessed November 26, 2018. <u>https://www.fema.gov/flood-insurance-statistics-current-month</u>.
- Federal Emergency Management Agency. 2018e. "National Flood Insurance Program Community Rating System: A Local Official's Guide to Saving Lives, Preventing Property Damage, and Reducing the Cost of Flood Insurance, FEMA B 573 / 2018 (<u>https://www.fema.gov/medialibrary-data/1535126505943-</u> 439b296e7778b037d05f698f65c7891b/2018NFIP\_CRS\_Brochure\_June\_2018\_508OK.pdf )
- Federal Emergency Management Agency. 2018f. "Community Status Book Report Massachusetts: Communities Participating in the National Flood Program (https://www.fema.gov/cis/MA.html).
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Region 1. 2017a. Reference Guides. Demonstrating Good Practice within Local Hazard Mitigation Plans.
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2017b. "FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program Dataset." Accessed March 27, 2019. Retrieved from fema.gov. <u>https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/28323</u> (FEMA and the Federal Government cannot vouch for the data or analyses derived from these data after the data have been retrieved from the Agency's website(s) and/or Data.gov.)
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), 2013a. Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to National Hazards. Retrievable from <u>https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/30627?id=6938.</u>
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), 2013b. Local Mitigation Planning Handbook. Retrievable from <u>http://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/31598?id=7209.</u>
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2003. *How to Read a Flood Insurance Rate Map Tutorial.* Accessed March 5, 2019. <u>https://www.fema.gov/media-library-data/20130726-1550-20490-1950/ot\_firm.pdf.</u>
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Definitions of FEMA Flood Zone Designations.

   Retrievable
   from

   <u>https://snmapmod.snco.us/fmm/document/fema-flood-zone-</u>

   definitions.pdf.

- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). 2018a. Definitions: "Repetitive Loss Structure." <u>https://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program/definitions#R.</u>
- Frumhoff, Peter C., James J. McCarthy, Jerry M. Melillo, Susanne C. Moser, Donald J. Wuebbles. 2007. Confronting Climate Change in the U.S. Northeast: Science, Impacts, and Solutions. Synthesis report of the Northeast Climate Impacts Assessment (NECIA). Cambridge, MA: Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS). <u>https://www.ucsusa.org/sites/default/files/legacy/assets/documents/global\_warming/pdf/confronting-climate-change-in-the-u-s-northeast.pdf.</u>
- Kocin, Paul J. and Louis W. Uccellini. 2004. "A Snowfall Impact Scale Derived from Northeast Storm Snowfall Distrubutions." <u>https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/snow-and-ice/rsi/nesis.</u>
- Lietz, Joshua. 2019. "Tornadoes in Middlesex County, Massachusetts." The Tornado History Project. Accessed March 6, 2019. http://www.tornadohistoryproject.com/tornado/Massachusetts/Middlesex/table.
- Louie, John N. 1996. "What is Richter Magnitude?" Nevada Seismological Laboratory. Accessed March 04, 2019. <u>http://crack.seismo.unr.edu/ftp/pub/louie/class/100/magnitude.html.</u>
- Madsen, Travis and Nathan Willcox. 2012. When It Rains It Pours Global Warming and the Increase in Extreme Precipitation. Environment America Research and Policy Center. <u>https://environmentamerica.org/sites/environment/files/reports/When%20lt%20Rains,%20lt%20Pours%20vUS.pdf.</u>
- Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR). 2019. Summary of Repetitive Loss Sites. Sent by J. Duperault. As of September, 30, 2019.
- Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR). 2017a. 302 CMR. Accessed March 6, 2019. <u>https://www.mass.gov/files/documents/2017/10/30/302cmr10.pdf</u>
- Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR). 2017b. Recent Drought History. <u>https://www.mass.gov/files/documents/2017/09/08/drought-status-history.pdf</u>
- Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation. 302 CMR 10. Dam Safety Regulations.
- Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Bureau of Environmental Health. 2019. Retrievable from <u>https://nescaum-dataservices-assets.s3.amazonaws.com/MA-statewide-vuln-pop-map.JPG</u>
- Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA). 2019. "FEMA Public Assistance: Local, State, Tribal and Non-Profit." Accessed March 5, 2019. <u>https://www.mass.gov/info-details/fema-public-assistance-local-state-tribal-and-non-profit#public-assistance-disaster-declarations-.</u>

- Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA) and Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR). 2013. *Commonwealth of Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation Plan*.
- Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA) and Executive Office of Public Safety and Security (EOPSS). 2018. Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan.
- Massachusetts Water Resources Commission. 2019. Summary of Major Changes to the Massachusetts Drought Management Plan, January 2019, Water Resources Commission
- Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA). 2011. Massachusetts Climate Change Adaptation Report. Adaptation Advisory Committee.
- Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA). n.d. "Massachusetts Sea Level Rise and Coastal Flooding Viewer." Accessed January 2020. <u>https://mass-</u> <u>eoeea.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=6f2797652f8f48</u> eaa09759ea6b2c4a95
- Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA) and the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA). 2013. Massachusetts Drought Management Plan. <u>https://www.mass.gov/files/documents/2016/08/sj/droughtplan.pdf</u>.
- Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA) and Executive Office of Public Safety and Security (EOPSS). 2018. *Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan.*
- Massachusetts Water Resources Commission. 2019. <u>Summary of Major Changes to the</u> <u>Massachusetts Drought Management Plan, January 2019 Draft</u>, memorandum to the Water Resources Commission from the Water Resources Commission staff, dated February 14, 2019.
- Metropolitan Area Planning Council (MAPC). 2020 MassBuilds Database. Accessed Feb 2020. https://www.massbuilds.com/map
- Metropolitan Area Planning Council (MAPC). 2019. Spreadsheet of Population and Employment Projections for Massachusetts as provided to Kathleen Baskin by Sarah Philbrick, January 29, 2019.
- Morrison, Sara. 2014. "Tornadoes of Massachusetts Past." Boston.com. Accessed March 6, 2019. https://www.boston.com/weather/untagged/2014/07/28/tornadoes-of-massachusetts-past.
- National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI), and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 2019. "Storm Events Database: Middlesex County." November 2019. <a href="https://ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/choosedates.jsp?statefips=25%2CMASSACHUSETTS">ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/choosedates.jsp?statefips=25%2CMASSACHUSETTS</a>.

- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and National Weather Service. 2019a. Hail Awareness. <u>https://www.weather.gov/cae/hail.html.</u>
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and National Weather Service. 2019b. "Heavy Snow." Glossary. Accessed March 6, 2019. <u>https://w1.weather.gov/glossary/index.php?word=heavy+snow.</u>
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 2019c. National Weather Service Forecast Office: Boston, MA. "Annual Climate Report." Accessed March 04, 2019. <u>https://w2.weather.gov/climate/index.php?wfo=box.</u>
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 2018a. National Weather Service Forecast Office: Boston, MA. "Seasonal Climate Report." <u>https://w2.weather.gov/climate/index.php?wfo=box.</u>
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 2018b. National Weather Service Instruction 10-1605, July 16, 2018, Operations and Services Performance, NWSPD 10-16, Storm Data Preparation (<u>https://www.nws.noaa.gov/directives/sym/pd01016005curr.pdf</u>)
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 2015. "Boston Breaks Record Seasonal Snowfall". National Weather Service, Boston, MA. March 15, 2015. Retrieved April 14, 2019 from <u>https://web.archive.org/web/20150318050028/http://www.weather.gov/box/BostonRecordS</u> now.
- National Weather Service. n.d. "Wind Chill/Temperature Index." Accessed January 2020. https://www.weather.gov/oun/safety-winter-windchill
- The Nature Conservancy. n.d. <u>Community Resilience Building Workshop Guidebook</u>, Retrievable from <u>https://www.communityresiliencebuilding.com/crbworkshopguide</u>.
- Northeast States Emergency Consortium (NESEC). 2019a. "Earthquakes Hazards." Accessed March 04, 2019. <u>http://nesec.org/earthquakes-hazards/.</u>
- Northeast States Emergency Consortium (NESEC). 2019b. "Hurricanes." Accessed February 28, 2019. <u>http://nesec.org/hurricanes/.</u>
- Northeast States Emergency Consortium (NESEC). 2019c. "Massachusetts Earthquakes." Accessed March 04, 2019. <u>http://nesec.org/massachusetts-earthquakes/.</u>
- Northeast States Emergency Consortium (NESEC). 2019d. "Tornadoes Hazards." Accessed February 28, 2019. <u>http://nesec.org/tornadoes-hazards/.</u>
- Northeast Climate Adaptation Science Center (NECASC). 2018. "Massachusetts Climate Change Projections."
- Resilient MA: Climate Change Clearinghouse for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. 2018. <u>https://www.adaptationclearinghouse.org/resources/resilient-ma-climate-change-clearinghouse-for-the-commonwealth-of-massachusetts.html.</u>

- Robert, Ethan and Maloney, Connor. 2018. *Route 128 & Interstate 495 Suburban Office Market Report.* Fourth Quarter 2018. Lincoln Property Company. <u>http://www.lpcboston.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/MarketReport\_128495\_Q42018\_lo.pdf.</u>
- Town of Belmont. 2020. "Town of Belmont Website." Accessed January 2020. <u>https://www.belmont-ma.gov/</u>

Town of Belmont. 2018. "Rock Meadow: A Conservation Master Plan."

Town of Belmont. 2015. "A Working Vision for Belmont's Future: Priorities and Progress."

Town of Belmont. 2014. "Stormwater Management and Erosion Control Rules and Regulations."

Town of Belmont. 2013. "Town of Belmont Stormwater Management and Erosion Control By-Law."

Town of Belmont. 2010. "Town of Belmont Comprehensive Plan 2010-2020. A Vision for Belmont: Mapping a Sustainable Future."

Town of Belmont. 2009. "Town of Belmont Climate Action Plan"

Town of Belmont. 2008. "Town of Belmont Open Space and Recreation Plan 2008 Update"

- Town of Belmont and Metropolitan Area Planning Council (MAPC). 2013. "Town of Belmont Hazard Mitigation Plan"
- United States Census Bureau. 2014-2018. American Community Survey, 5-year estimates. Retrieved from Quick Facts website. <u>https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/belmonttownmiddlesexcountymassachusetts</u>, US/PST045218
- United States Census Bureau. 2010. Community Facts. Belmont, Massachusetts. American Fact Finder. Accessed December 24, 2019. <u>https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/community\_facts.xhtml?src=bkmk</u>
- United States Geological Survey (USGS). 2020. "Earthquake Hazards Program." 2020. <u>earthquake.usgs.gov/</u>.
- United States Geological Survey (USGS). 2019. "Landslides 101." Accessed March 04, 2019. <u>https://www.usgs.gov/natural-hazards/landslide-hazards/science/landslides-101?qt-science\_center\_objects=0#qt-science\_center\_objects.</u>
- Walsh and Wuebbles (2014). Changes in Hurricanes. National Climate Assessment U.S. Global Change Research Program.

# APPENDIX A

Core Team Materials







Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning Grant and Hazard Mitigation Planning Grant Update

Core Team Meeting, Town Hall Conference Room 2 Tuesday, October 15, 2019 9:00 am – 10:30 am

### 8 Core team members in attendance

| Introductions   | 5 minutes  |
|---|------------|
| <ul> <li>Project Overview</li> <li>1. MVP Program Overview <ul> <li>a. Brief Introduction to Climate Change in Belmont</li> <li>b. MVP Planning Process</li> <li>c. MVP Action Grants</li> <li>d. Hazard Mitigation Plan Overlap</li> <li>e. Master Plan Chapter</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   | 15 minutes |
| <ol> <li>Core Team Role         <ol> <li>Develop/approve list of stakeholders</li> <li>Active participants in the Community Resilience Building Workshop</li> <li>Promote the listening session/attend listening session</li> <li>Inform community priorities/Determine how decisions from Workshop will be used</li> </ol> </li> </ol> | 2 minutes  |
| <ul> <li>Goal Setting and Endorsement</li> <li>1. Large group activity on what a successful hazard mitigation and climate prepared to them.</li> <li>2. Presentation of goals and large group discussion on how to incorporate commen</li> </ul>  | ·          |

### Community Resilience Building Workshop and Review of Materials

- 1. MVP Risk Matrix
  - a. Discuss hazards and key features (infrastructure, society, environment)
- 2. Review map of key resources/assets
- 3. Prioritization Process MVP Key Actions
- 4. Workshop Schedule
  - b. One 8-hour or two 4-hour meetings
  - c. Weekday or weekend
  - d. Day or evening
  - e. A Monday from 11:30-7:30 was decided as preferable
- 5. Presentation Feedback

*W&S Action Item:* Finalize Workshop materials based on Core Team input *Belmont Action Item:* Help to fill mapping and PowerPoint gaps



35 minutes

#### Data Sources

- 1. Interviews with municipal officials
- 2. Applicable reports and materials
- 3. Ask:
  - a. Other ongoing efforts?
  - b. Local hazards/experiences to highlight? previous flood events, issue areas

*W&S Action Item:* Review materials and incorporate into Workshop and Report(s) *Belmont Action Item:* Identify and provide any additional resources

### Workshop Participants

1. Respond to a list of workshop invitees

**W&S Action Item:** Draft invitation to stakeholders **Belmont Action Item:** Finalize list of invitees; send invitation and track RSVPs, assign participants to tables

Initial additions suggested for the draft list of invitees (additional feedback pending): Steve Pinkerton Adjacent towns: Watertown

### Wrap Up and Next Steps

1. Confirm draft schedule



5 minutes

10 minutes

3 minutes





# TOWN OF BELMONT

Community Resilience Building Workshop Wednesday, October 15, 2019

## WELCOME CORE TEAM

- Jon Marshall Jay Marcotte Mary Trudeau Steve Dorrance Wesley Chin
- Wayne Haley James MacIsaac Glenn Clancy Diana Ekman

# CORE TEAM


#### ROLE

- Confirm framework for process
- Provide data and local expertise
- Participate in the stakeholder workshop
- Finalize priority actions for the final report

#### TODAY'S OBJECTIVES

- Review Process
- Set GoalsPrepare for Stakeholder
- Meeting

#### MUNICIPAL VULNERABILITY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAM (MVP)




Weston (&) Sampson



# HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE

- Aligns with MVP Process
- Extended hazard profiles and vulnerability assessment
- Update to previous mitigation measures table

...




# EXTREME TEMPERATURES

....


WARMER ANNUAL AIR TEMPERATURES UP 0.5°F PER DECADE SINCE 1970, ON AVERAGE


WARMER WINTERS





(Source: UCSUSA "Confronting Climate Change in the U.S. Northeast")








....

MORE INTENSE & FREQUENT EXTREME RAIN EVENTS



CHANG

. . . .

CHANGES IN PRECIPITATION









Image credit: Northeast Climate Science Center, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science 2016 The most notable recent drought event was in




The occurrence of droughts lasting 1 to 3 months could go up by as much as 75% over existing conditions by the end of the century, under the high emissions scenario

Source: Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Atlains, Adaptation Advisory Committee. 2011. "Massachusetts Olimate Change Adaptation Report," 17. 22







# FLOODING



#### LOCALLY IDENTIFIED AREAS OF FLOODING<sub>2</sub>

Claypit Pond Trapelo Road @ Mill Rd. Acorn Park Road—addressed!

#### REPETITIVE FLOOD LOSS STRUCTURES

T repetitive loss structure Defined as an NFIP-insured structure that has had at least 2 paid food losses of more than \$1,000 each in any 10-year period since 1978.

# STORMWATER FLOODING



....

#### Areas with:

- Poor drainage
- High amounts of impervious surface
- Undersized culverts

# WINTER STORMS



- The blizzard of 2013 left nearly 400,000
   Massachusetts residents without power
- "Heavy blizzards are among the most costly and disruptive weather events for Massachusetts communities."

• The average annual snowfall for most of Belmont is 48-72 inches.2

1: Resilient MA Climate Change Clearinghouse for the Commonwealth. "Extreme Weather," 2017. 2: Metropolitan Area Planning Council (MAPC). 2011. "Town of Belmont Hazard Mitigation Plan," 15. 28

# WIND-RELATED HAZARDS



These hazards include hurricanes, tornadoes,

- and high winds during severe stormsFalling trees and downed power lines causing
- power outages are an issue The last tropical storm in Belmont was in 1861
- There have been no recorded tornadoes in Belmont.
- The Town's 100-year wind speed is 110 mph

Metropolitan Area Planning Council (MAPC). 2011. 'Town of Belmont Hazard Mitigation Plan," 14. 29



# GEOLOGIC HAZARDS

- These hazards include earthquakes, landslides, subsidence and unstable soils.
- Many structures pre-date the most recent building code, which includes seismic standards.
- · There have been no recorded earthquake epicenters in Belmont.
- The Town has a low risk for landslides.

#### .... **BRUSH FIRE**



12+ brush fires can occur in Belmont each year

....

- Brush fires are relatively frequent in the western part of Town.
- The areas with the highest incidences Mclean Open Space
   Beaver Brook Reservation
   Belmont Hill
   Rock Meadow




# As an FYI: Boston Sea Level Rise Projections (ft)


#### Increased coastal flooding


Permanently inundated low-lying coastal areas

#### Increased shoreline erosion

| Emission Scenario | 2030 | 2050 | 2070                     | 2100                       |
|-------------------|------|------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Intermediate      | 0.7  | 1.4  | 2.3                      | 4.0                        |
| Intermediate-High | 0.8  | 1.7  | 2.9                      | 5.0                        |
| High              | 1.2  | 2.4  | 4.2                      | 7.6                        |
| Extreme           | 1.4  | 3.1  | 5.4                      | 10.2                       |
|                   |      |      | (Courses Marthaust Cline | to Adaptica Falance Caster |













....

#### ....

Police Department



Roadways





**INFRASTRUCTURAL FEATURES** 

vater Treatment & Collection Waste



Water Supply



#### **INFRASTRUCTURAL FEATURES**

.

Critical Infrastructure includes: • Facilities important for disaster response and evacuation.

- evacuation.

  Emergency operations centers

  Fire stations

  Water pump stations

  Facilities where additional assistance might
  be needed during an emergency.

  Nursing homes

  Eiderly housing

  Day care centers

Community Centers

#### ....

....

#### **INFRASTRUCTURAL FEATURES:** CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE IN BELMONT

|    |  | eaship of Critical I |                   |                       |                                      |    | Table 5: Relati                                |                       |
|----|--|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|----|--|-----------------------|
| ID | NAME   | TYPE                 | Landslide<br>Rhik | FEMA<br>Flood<br>Zone | Locally-<br>Identified<br>Flood Area | ID | NAME   | TIPL                  |
| 1  | McLean Hospital                                  | Hospital             | No                | No                    | No                                   |    | Winn Brook PTA<br>After School<br>Child Care   |                       |
| 2  | Belmont Manor                                    | Nursing Home         | No                | No                    | No                                   | 56 | Programs                                       | Day Car               |
|    | Belmost Town<br>Hall                             | Municipal Office     | No                | No                    | No                                   | 17 | Large Family<br>Day Care                       | Day Car               |
| 4  | McLean Child<br>Care Center                      | Day Care             | No                | No                    | No.                                  |    | Chenery<br>Extended<br>Program                 |                       |
|    | Adventures<br>Preschool<br>Children's Center     | Day Care             | No                | No                    | No                                   | 18 | (CHENEX)<br>Beimont Fire<br>Department         | Day Car<br>Fire State |
|    | Cracren's Center                                 | Gart                 | 10                | 1960                  | NU.                                  |    | Belmont Fire                                   |                       |
| 6  | A Place to Grow                                  | Day Care             | No                | No                    | No                                   | 20 | Department                                     | Fire Stati            |
|    | Belmont<br>Cooperative<br>Numery School          | Day Care             | No                | No                    | No                                   | 21 | Belmont Police<br>Department                   | Polce Stat            |
|    | Christ Lutheran<br>Child Care &<br>Numery School | Day Care             | No                | No                    | No                                   | 22 | Belmont Town<br>Hall Annex<br>(Homer Building) | Municipal O           |
| ,  | The Kendall<br>School                            | Day Care             | No                | No                    | No                                   |    | Daniel Butler<br>Elementary                    |                       |
| 10 | Plymouth<br>Nursery School                       | Day Care             | No                | No                    | No                                   | 23 | School Winthrop L                              | School                |
|    | Kids on the Hill<br>Child Care                   |                      |                   | No                    |                                      | 24 | Chenery Middle<br>School                       | School                |
| 12 | Center<br>Payson Park<br>Nursery School          | Day Care<br>Day Care | No                | No                    | No                                   |    | Mary Lee<br>Burbank<br>Elementary              |                       |
|    | Wellington PTA<br>Child Care<br>Program          | Day Care             | No                |                       | No                                   | 25 | Roger E.<br>Wellington                         | School                |
|    | Burbank Before<br>& After School                 | Care .               | -                 | 140                   | ~                                    | 25 | Elementary<br>School                           | School                |
| 54 | Program  | Day Care             | No                | No                    | No                                   | 27 | CNS/Pathways<br>Academy                        | School                |
|    | Butler Extended<br>Day Program                   | Dey Care             | No                | No                    | No                                   | 28 | Belmont High<br>School                         | School                |

Table 5: R ship of Criti frastructure to Hazard Areas Landslide FEMA Locally-Riak Phood Meetified Zone Flood Area Afrastructure to Hazard Areas Landslife FEMA Locally-Risk Flood Identified Zone Flood Area 29 LABBB Collaborative Belmont Day 30 School School No No 
 No.
 No.

 No.
 No No No No No No Care No No No Lation No No No Lation No No No Station No No No Office No No No 00<sup>7</sup> No 0 No No No 0 No No No 0 No No No

....

#### SOCIETAL FEATURES





**ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES** 

- Belmont's Open Space • 2/3rd of open space is publicly-accessible
- The largest open space areas are:
  - Beaver Brook Reservation (313 acres)
  - Town Conservation land at McLean (140 acres) •
  - Habitat Education Center and Wildlife Sanctuary (88 acres)
- Rock Meadow Open Space (70 acres)

.... **Belmont's Land Use** 1.44% 0.35% 1.77%-Residential 2.95 Forest 3% 0.359 1.05% 6.95 Participatory recreation Urban open Commercial 7.209 3,050 total Cropland and pasture 62.39% acres Non-forested wetlands Industrial Transportation Water 12.07 Waste disposal Open land

#### DATA RESOURCES









9

Input from Municipal Officials



Town of Belmont Climate Action Plan, 2009





\*\*

A Working Vision for Belmont's Future: Priorities and Progress, 2015



**EXISTING HAZARD PROTECTION** 

- Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (CEMP) · Zoning regulations
- · Communications equipment
- · Emergency power generators Local Emergency Management Planning Committee (LEPC)
- Massachusetts State Building Code
- Participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)
- Street sweeping Catch basin cleaning


....

Weston (&) Sampson

- · Roadway treatments
- Drainage infrastructure maintenance

- Stormwater Program
- Tri-Community Group
- Trash trap on Wellington Brook
- · Tree-trimming and removal program
- · Snow removal and disposal
- Brushfire response equipment
- Outdoor burning
- Site plan review
- Middlesex Mosquito Control District

Weston & Sampson










# APPENDIX B

Additional Hazard Data













# Hazus: Hurricane Global Risk Report

Region Name: Belmont\_HMP

Hurricane Scenario: Probabilistic 100-year Return Period

**Print Date:** 

Tuesday, February 18, 2020

**Disclaimer:** 

This version of Hazus utilizes 2010 Census Data. Totals only reflect data for those census tracts/blocks included in the user's study region.

The estimates of social and economic impacts contained in this report were produced using Hazus loss estimation methodology software which is based on current scientific and engineering knowledge. There are uncertainties inherent in any loss estimation technique. Therefore, there may be significant differences between the modeled results contained in this report and the actual social and economic losses following a specific Hurricane. These results can be improved by using enhanced inventory data.





# **Table of Contents**

| Section   | Page # |
|---|--------|
| General Description of the Region                       | 3      |
| Building Inventory                                      | 4      |
| General Building Stock                                  |        |
| Essential Facility Inventory                            |        |
| Hurricane Scenario Parameters                           | 5      |
| Building Damage   | 6      |
| General Building Stock                                  |        |
| Essential Facilities Damage                             |        |
| Induced Hurricane Damage                                | 8      |
| Debris Generation                                       |        |
| Social Impact   | 8      |
| Shelter Requirements                                    |        |
| Economic Loss   | 9      |
| Building Losses   |        |
|   |        |
| Appendix A: County Listing for the Region               | 10     |
| Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data | 11     |





# **General Description of the Region**

Hazus is a regional multi-hazard loss estimation model that was developed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the National Institute of Building Sciences. The primary purpose of Hazus is to provide a methodology and software application to develop multi-hazard losses at a regional scale. These loss estimates would be used primarily by local, state and regional officials to plan and stimulate efforts to reduce risks from multi-hazards and to prepare for emergency response and recovery.

The hurricane loss estimates provided in this report are based on a region that includes 1 county(ies) from the following state(s):

- Massachusetts

Note:

Appendix A contains a complete listing of the counties contained in the region.

The geographical size of the region is 4.72 square miles and contains 8 census tracts. There are over 9 thousand households in the region and a total population of 24,729 people (2010 Census Bureau data). The distribution of population by State and County is provided in Appendix B.

There are an estimated 8 thousand buildings in the region with a total building replacement value (excluding contents) of 3,878 million dollars (2014 dollars). Approximately 90% of the buildings (and 78% of the building value) are associated with residential housing.






# **Building Inventory**

## **General Building Stock**

Hazus estimates that there are 8,088 buildings in the region which have an aggregate total replacement value of 3,878 million (2014 dollars). Table 1 presents the relative distribution of the value with respect to the general occupancies. Appendix B provides a general distribution of the building value by State and County.



# Building Exposure by Occupancy Type



| Occupancy Exposure (\$1000) |           | Percent of Tot |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Residential                 | 3,035,146 | 78.26 %        |
| Commercial                  | 569,384   | 14.68%         |
| Industrial                  | 61,115    | 1.58%          |
| Agricultural                | 6,320     | 0.16%          |
| Religious                   | 49,120    | 1.27%          |
| Government                  | 13,142    | 0.34%          |
| Education                   | 144,271   | 3.72%          |
| Total                       | 3,878,498 | 100.00%        |

### **Essential Facility Inventory**

For essential facilities, there are 1 hospitals in the region with a total bed capacity of 160 beds. There are 10 schools, 1 fire stations, 1 police stations and no emergency operation facilities.





# **Hurricane Scenario**

Hazus used the following set of information to define the hurricane parameters for the hurricane loss estimate provided in this report.

Scenario Name:

Probabilistic Probabilistic

Type:






## **Building Damage**

#### General Building Stock Damage

Hazus estimates that about 19 buildings will be at least moderately damaged. This is over 0% of the total number of buildings in the region. There are an estimated 0 buildings that will be completely destroyed. The definition of the 'damage states' is provided in the Hazus Hurricane technical manual. Table 2 below summarizes the expected damage by general occupancy for the buildings in the region. Table 3 summarizes the expected damage by general building type.



**Expected Building Damage by Occupancy** 

Table 2: Expected Building Damage by Occupancy : 100 - year Event

|             | Nor      | ne    | Mino   | or   | Mode  | ate  | Seve  | re   | Destruct | ion  |
|-------------|----------|-------|--------|------|-------|------|-------|------|----------|------|
| Occupancy   | Count    | (%)   | Count  | (%)  | Count | (%)  | Count | (%)  | Count    | (%)  |
| Agriculture | 18.39    | 96.77 | 0.52   | 2.73 | 0.07  | 0.37 | 0.02  | 0.12 | 0.00     | 0.00 |
| Commercial  | 571.82   | 97.41 | 13.75  | 2.34 | 1.36  | 0.23 | 0.06  | 0.01 | 0.00     | 0.00 |
| Education   | 32.25    | 97.73 | 0.73   | 2.20 | 0.02  | 0.07 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00     | 0.00 |
| Government  | 10.75    | 97.76 | 0.24   | 2.18 | 0.01  | 0.06 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00     | 0.00 |
| Industrial  | 123.88   | 97.54 | 2.95   | 2.32 | 0.15  | 0.12 | 0.03  | 0.02 | 0.00     | 0.00 |
| Religion    | 44.93    | 97.68 | 1.03   | 2.23 | 0.04  | 0.09 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00     | 0.00 |
| Residential | 7,033.15 | 96.81 | 214.78 | 2.96 | 15.99 | 0.22 | 1.08  | 0.01 | 0.00     | 0.00 |
| Total       | 7,835.17 | 7     | 233.99 |      | 17.64 |      | 1.19  |      | 0.00     |      |





# Table 3: Expected Building Damage by Building Type : 100 - year Event


| None  |                       | Minor  |  | Moderate   |  | Severe   |  | Destruction  |  |
|-------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Count | (%)                   | Count  | (%)  | Count  | (%)  | Count  | (%)  | Count  | (%)  |
| 85    | 97.41                 | 2  | 2.51   | 0  | 0.08   | 0  | 0.00   | 0  | 0.00   |
| 786   | 96.21                 | 25   | 3.08   | 5  | 0.65   | 0  | 0.06   | 0  | 0.00   |
| 0     | 0.00                  | 0  | 0.00   | 0  | 0.00   | 0  | 0.00   | 0  | 0.00   |
| 342   | 97.56                 | 8  | 2.26   | 1  | 0.17   | 0  | 0.01   | 0  | 0.00   |
| 6,234 | 97.01                 | 184  | 2.86   | 8  | 0.12   | 0  | 0.01   | 0  | 0.00   |
|       | 85<br>786<br>0<br>342 | 85 97.41<br>786 96.21<br>0 0.00<br>342 97.56 | 85         97.41         2           786         96.21         25           0         0.00         0           342         97.56         8 | 85         97.41         2         2.51           786         96.21         25         3.08           0         0.00         0         0.00           342         97.56         8         2.26 | 85         97.41         2         2.51         0           786         96.21         25         3.08         5           0         0.00         0         0.00         0           342         97.56         8         2.26         1 | 85         97.41         2         2.51         0         0.08           786         96.21         25         3.08         5         0.65           0         0.00         0         0.00         0         0.00           342         97.56         8         2.26         1         0.17 | 85         97.41         2         2.51         0         0.08         0           786         96.21         25         3.08         5         0.65         0           0         0.00         0         0.00         0         0.00         0           342         97.56         8         2.26         1         0.17         0 | 85         97.41         2         2.51         0         0.08         0         0.00           786         96.21         25         3.08         5         0.65         0         0.06           0         0.00         0         0.00         0         0.00         0         0.00           342         97.56         8         2.26         1         0.17         0         0.01 | 85         97.41         2         2.51         0         0.08         0         0.00         0           786         96.21         25         3.08         5         0.65         0         0.06         0           0         0.00         0         0.00         0         0.00         0         0.00         0           342         97.56         8         2.26         1         0.17         0         0.01         0 |





### **Essential Facility Damage**

Before the hurricane, the region had 160 hospital beds available for use. On the day of the hurricane, the model estimates that 160 hospital beds (only 100.00%) are available for use by patients already in the hospital and those injured by the hurricane. After one week, 100.00% of the beds will be in service. By 30 days, 100.00% will be operational.



#### Thematic Map of Essential Facilities with greater than 50% moderate

 Table 4: Expected Damage to Essential Facilities


|                      |    |   | # Facilities                               |                                   |  |  |  |
|----------------------|----|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Classification Total |    | Probability of at<br>Least Moderate<br>Damage > 50% | Probability of<br>Complete<br>Damage > 50% | Expected<br>Loss of Use<br><1 day |  |  |  |
| Fire Stations        | 1  | 0   | 0  | 1                                 |  |  |  |
| Hospitals            | 1  | 0   | 0  | 1                                 |  |  |  |
| Police Stations      | 1  | 0   | 0  | 1                                 |  |  |  |
| Schools              | 10 | 0   | 0  | 10                                |  |  |  |





# **Induced Hurricane Damage**

## **Debris Generation**



Hazus estimates the amount of debris that will be generated by the hurricane. The model breaks the debris into four general categories: a) Brick/Wood, b) Reinforced Concrete/Steel, c) Eligible Tree Debris, and d) Other Tree Debris. This distinction is made because of the different types of material handling equipment required to handle the debris.


The model estimates that a total of 2,820 tons of debris will be generated. Of the total amount, 363 tons (13%) is Other Tree Debris. Of the remaining 2,457 tons, Brick/Wood comprises 57% of the total, Reinforced Concrete/Steel comprises of 0% of the total, with the remainder being Eligible Tree Debris. If the building debris tonnage is converted to an estimated number of truckloads, it will require 56 truckloads (@25 tons/truck) to remove the building debris generated by the hurricane. The number of Eligible Tree Debris truckloads will depend on how the 1,052 tons of Eligible Tree Debris are collected and processed. The volume of tree debris generally ranges from about 4 cubic yards per ton for chipped or compacted tree debris to about 10 cubic yards per ton for bulkier, uncompacted debris.





# **Social Impact**

# Shelter Requirement



Hazus estimates the number of households that are expected to be displaced from their homes due to the hurricane and the number of displaced people that will require accommodations in temporary public shelters. The model estimates 0 households to be displaced due to the hurricane. Of these, 0 people (out of a total population of 24,729) will seek temporary shelter in public shelters.





## **Economic Loss**

The total economic loss estimated for the hurricane is 22.5 million dollars, which represents 0.58 % of the total replacement value of the region's buildings.


#### **Building-Related Losses**

The building related losses are broken into two categories: direct property damage losses and business interruption losses. The direct property damage losses are the estimated costs to repair or replace the damage caused to the building and its contents. The business interruption losses are the losses associated with inability to operate a business because of the damage sustained during the hurricane. Business interruption losses also include the temporary living expenses for those people displaced from their homes because of the hurricane.

The total property damage losses were 23 million dollars. 3% of the estimated losses were related to the business interruption of the region. By far, the largest loss was sustained by the residential occupancies which made up over 97% of the total loss. Table 5 below provides a summary of the losses associated with the building damage.












Table 5: Building-Related Economic Loss Estimates

(Thousands of dollars)

| Category    | Area            | Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Others | Total     |
|-------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| Property Da | amage           |             |            |            |        |           |
|             | Building        | 16,018.32   | 454.94     | 37.34      | 136.95 | 16,647.55 |
|             | Content         | 5,026.54    | 39.21      | 7.20       | 1.66   | 5,074.61  |
|             | Inventory       | 0.00        | 0.47       | 1.13       | 0.15   | 1.75      |
|             | Subtotal        | 21,044.86   | 494.62     | 45.67      | 138.76 | 21,723.91 |
| Business In | terruption Loss |             |            |            |        |           |
|             | Income          | 0.00        | 0.59       | 0.00       | 0.00   | 0.59      |
|             | Relocation      | 393.15      | 8.45       | 0.32       | 0.94   | 402.86    |
|             | Rental          | 382.59      | 0.27       | 0.00       | 0.00   | 382.86    |
|             | Wage            | 0.00        | 0.21       | 0.00       | 0.00   | 0.21      |
|             | Subtotal        | 775.75      | 9.52       | 0.32       | 0.94   | 786.52    |





| <u>Total</u> |       |           |        |       |        |           |
|--------------|-------|-----------|--------|-------|--------|-----------|
|              | Total | 21,820.61 | 504.13 | 45.98 | 139.70 | 22,510.43 |





# Appendix A: County Listing for the Region

Massachusetts - Middlesex





## Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data

|                    |            | Building Value (thousands of dollars) |                 |           |  |
|--------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--|
|                    | Population | Residential                           | Non-Residential | Total     |  |
| Massachusetts      |            |                                       |                 |           |  |
| Middlesex          | 24,729     | 3,035,146                             | 843,352         | 3,878,498 |  |
| Total              | 24,729     | 3,035,146                             | 843,352         | 3,878,498 |  |
| Study Region Total | 24,729     | 3,035,146                             | 843,352         | 3,878,498 |  |







# Hazus: Hurricane Global Risk Report

Region Name: Belmont\_HMP

Hurricane Scenario: Probabilistic 500-year Return Period

**Print Date:** 

Tuesday, February 18, 2020

**Disclaimer:** 

This version of Hazus utilizes 2010 Census Data. Totals only reflect data for those census tracts/blocks included in the user's study region.

The estimates of social and economic impacts contained in this report were produced using Hazus loss estimation methodology software which is based on current scientific and engineering knowledge. There are uncertainties inherent in any loss estimation technique. Therefore, there may be significant differences between the modeled results contained in this report and the actual social and economic losses following a specific Hurricane. These results can be improved by using enhanced inventory data.





# **Table of Contents**

| Section   | Page # |
|---|--------|
| General Description of the Region                       | 3      |
| Building Inventory                                      | 4      |
| General Building Stock                                  |        |
| Essential Facility Inventory                            |        |
| Hurricane Scenario Parameters                           | 5      |
| Building Damage   | 6      |
| General Building Stock                                  |        |
| Essential Facilities Damage                             |        |
| Induced Hurricane Damage                                | 8      |
| Debris Generation                                       |        |
| Social Impact   | 8      |
| Shelter Requirements                                    |        |
| Economic Loss   | 9      |
| Building Losses   |        |
|   |        |
| Appendix A: County Listing for the Region               | 10     |
| Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data | 11     |





# **General Description of the Region**

Hazus is a regional multi-hazard loss estimation model that was developed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the National Institute of Building Sciences. The primary purpose of Hazus is to provide a methodology and software application to develop multi-hazard losses at a regional scale. These loss estimates would be used primarily by local, state and regional officials to plan and stimulate efforts to reduce risks from multi-hazards and to prepare for emergency response and recovery.

The hurricane loss estimates provided in this report are based on a region that includes 1 county(ies) from the following state(s):

- Massachusetts

Note:

Appendix A contains a complete listing of the counties contained in the region.

The geographical size of the region is 4.72 square miles and contains 8 census tracts. There are over 9 thousand households in the region and a total population of 24,729 people (2010 Census Bureau data). The distribution of population by State and County is provided in Appendix B.

There are an estimated 8 thousand buildings in the region with a total building replacement value (excluding contents) of 3,878 million dollars (2014 dollars). Approximately 90% of the buildings (and 78% of the building value) are associated with residential housing.






# **Building Inventory**

## **General Building Stock**

Hazus estimates that there are 8,088 buildings in the region which have an aggregate total replacement value of 3,878 million (2014 dollars). Table 1 presents the relative distribution of the value with respect to the general occupancies. Appendix B provides a general distribution of the building value by State and County.



# Building Exposure by Occupancy Type



| Occupancy Exposure (\$1000) |           | Percent of Tot |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Residential                 | 3,035,146 | 78.26 %        |
| Commercial                  | 569,384   | 14.68%         |
| Industrial                  | 61,115    | 1.58%          |
| Agricultural                | 6,320     | 0.16%          |
| Religious                   | 49,120    | 1.27%          |
| Government                  | 13,142    | 0.34%          |
| Education                   | 144,271   | 3.72%          |
| Total                       | 3,878,498 | 100.00%        |

### **Essential Facility Inventory**

For essential facilities, there are 1 hospitals in the region with a total bed capacity of 160 beds. There are 10 schools, 1 fire stations, 1 police stations and no emergency operation facilities.





# **Hurricane Scenario**

Hazus used the following set of information to define the hurricane parameters for the hurricane loss estimate provided in this report.

Scenario Name:

Probabilistic Probabilistic

Type:






# **Building Damage**

#### General Building Stock Damage

Hazus estimates that about 242 buildings will be at least moderately damaged. This is over 3% of the total number of buildings in the region. There are an estimated 5 buildings that will be completely destroyed. The definition of the 'damage states' is provided in the Hazus Hurricane technical manual. Table 2 below summarizes the expected damage by general occupancy for the buildings in the region. Table 3 summarizes the expected damage by general building type.



**Expected Building Damage by Occupancy** 

Table 2: Expected Building Damage by Occupancy : 500 - year Event

|             | None     |       | Minor    |       | Moderate |      | Severe |      | Destruction |      |
|-------------|----------|-------|----------|-------|----------|------|--------|------|-------------|------|
| Occupancy   | Count    | (%)   | Count    | (%)   | Count    | (%)  | Count  | (%)  | Count       | (%)  |
| Agriculture | 14.98    | 78.83 | 2.84     | 14.93 | 0.78     | 4.09 | 0.37   | 1.94 | 0.04        | 0.22 |
| Commercial  | 489.71   | 83.43 | 75.01    | 12.78 | 19.87    | 3.38 | 2.39   | 0.41 | 0.01        | 0.00 |
| Education   | 28.03    | 84.95 | 4.04     | 12.24 | 0.87     | 2.65 | 0.06   | 0.17 | 0.00        | 0.00 |
| Government  | 9.37     | 85.21 | 1.32     | 12.01 | 0.29     | 2.63 | 0.02   | 0.15 | 0.00        | 0.00 |
| Industrial  | 106.66   | 83.99 | 15.55    | 12.25 | 4.09     | 3.22 | 0.65   | 0.51 | 0.04        | 0.03 |
| Religion    | 38.44    | 83.56 | 6.34     | 13.78 | 1.15     | 2.50 | 0.07   | 0.16 | 0.00        | 0.00 |
| Residential | 5,820.70 | 80.12 | 1,232.91 | 16.97 | 192.84   | 2.65 | 13.16  | 0.18 | 5.40        | 0.07 |
| Total       | 6,507.89 | )     | 1,338.02 | 2     | 219.89   |      | 16.72  |      | 5.49        |      |





## Table 3: Expected Building Damage by Building Type : 500 - year Event


| Count | (0/)            |   |   | Moderate   |  | Severe   |  | Destruction  |   |
|-------|-----------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| Jount | (%)             | Count   | (%)   | Count  | (%)  | Count  | (%)  | Count  | (%)   |
| 73    | 83.92           | 11  | 12.54   | 3  | 3.37   | 0  | 0.17   | 0  | 0.00  |
| 664   | 81.22           | 111   | 13.56   | 39   | 4.74   | 4  | 0.46   | 0  | 0.02  |
| 0     | 0.00            | 0   | 0.00  | 0  | 0.00   | 0  | 0.00   | 0  | 0.00  |
| 297   | 84.48           | 41  | 11.59   | 12   | 3.44   | 2  | 0.49   | 0  | 0.00  |
| 5,150 | 80.14           | 1,123   | 17.47   | 139  | 2.17   | 9  | 0.14   | 5  | 0.08  |
|       | 664<br>0<br>297 | 73         83.92           664         81.22           0         0.00           297         84.48 | 73         83.92         11           664         81.22         111           0         0.00         0           297         84.48         41 | 73       83.92       11       12.54         664       81.22       111       13.56         0       0.00       0       0.00         297       84.48       41       11.59 | 73       83.92       11       12.54       3         664       81.22       111       13.56       39         0       0.00       0       0.00       0         297       84.48       41       11.59       12 | 73       83.92       11       12.54       3       3.37         664       81.22       111       13.56       39       4.74         0       0.00       0       0.00       0       0.00         297       84.48       41       11.59       12       3.44 | 73       83.92       11       12.54       3       3.37       0         664       81.22       111       13.56       39       4.74       4         0       0.00       0       0.00       0       0.00       0         297       84.48       41       11.59       12       3.44       2 | 73       83.92       11       12.54       3       3.37       0       0.17         664       81.22       111       13.56       39       4.74       4       0.46         0       0.00       0       0.00       0       0.00       0       0.00         297       84.48       41       11.59       12       3.44       2       0.49 | 73       83.92       11       12.54       3       3.37       0       0.17       0         664       81.22       111       13.56       39       4.74       4       0.46       0         0       0.00       0       0.00       0       0.00       0       0       0         297       84.48       41       11.59       12       3.44       2       0.49       0 |





### **Essential Facility Damage**

Before the hurricane, the region had 160 hospital beds available for use. On the day of the hurricane, the model estimates that 160 hospital beds (only 100.00%) are available for use by patients already in the hospital and those injured by the hurricane. After one week, 100.00% of the beds will be in service. By 30 days, 100.00% will be operational.



#### Thematic Map of Essential Facilities with greater than 50% moderate

 Table 4: Expected Damage to Essential Facilities


|                 |       | # Facilities  |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------|-------|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Classification  | Total | Probability of at<br>Least Moderate<br>Damage > 50% | Probability of<br>Complete<br>Damage > 50% | Expected<br>Loss of Use<br><1 day |  |  |  |  |
| Fire Stations   | 1     | 0   | 0  | 1                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitals       | 1     | 0   | 0  | 1                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Police Stations | 1     | 0   | 0  | 1                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Schools         | 10    | 0   | 0  | 4                                 |  |  |  |  |





# **Induced Hurricane Damage**

## **Debris Generation**



Hazus estimates the amount of debris that will be generated by the hurricane. The model breaks the debris into four general categories: a) Brick/Wood, b) Reinforced Concrete/Steel, c) Eligible Tree Debris, and d) Other Tree Debris. This distinction is made because of the different types of material handling equipment required to handle the debris.


The model estimates that a total of 10,258 tons of debris will be generated. Of the total amount, 944 tons (9%) is Other Tree Debris. Of the remaining 9,314 tons, Brick/Wood comprises 70% of the total, Reinforced Concrete/Steel comprises of 0% of the total, with the remainder being Eligible Tree Debris. If the building debris tonnage is converted to an estimated number of truckloads, it will require 260 truckloads (@25 tons/truck) to remove the building debris generated by the hurricane. The number of Eligible Tree Debris truckloads will depend on how the 2,820 tons of Eligible Tree Debris are collected and processed. The volume of tree debris generally ranges from about 4 cubic yards per ton for chipped or compacted tree debris to about 10 cubic yards per ton for bulkier, uncompacted debris.





# **Social Impact**

# Shelter Requirement



Hazus estimates the number of households that are expected to be displaced from their homes due to the hurricane and the number of displaced people that will require accommodations in temporary public shelters. The model estimates 9 households to be displaced due to the hurricane. Of these, 3 people (out of a total population of 24,729) will seek temporary shelter in public shelters.





## **Economic Loss**

The total economic loss estimated for the hurricane is 89.4 million dollars, which represents 2.31 % of the total replacement value of the region's buildings.


#### **Building-Related Losses**

The building related losses are broken into two categories: direct property damage losses and business interruption losses. The direct property damage losses are the estimated costs to repair or replace the damage caused to the building and its contents. The business interruption losses are the losses associated with inability to operate a business because of the damage sustained during the hurricane. Business interruption losses also include the temporary living expenses for those people displaced from their homes because of the hurricane.


The total property damage losses were 89 million dollars. 7% of the estimated losses were related to the business interruption of the region. By far, the largest loss was sustained by the residential occupancies which made up over 91% of the total loss. Table 5 below provides a summary of the losses associated with the building damage.














(Thousands of dollars)

| Category    | Area            | Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Others   | Total     |
|-------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------|
| Property Da | image           |             |            |            |          |           |
|             | Building        | 58,926.74   | 3,159.44   | 379.73     | 990.35   | 63,456.25 |
|             | Content         | 18,306.23   | 826.99     | 203.93     | 214.70   | 19,551.86 |
|             | Inventory       | 0.00        | 14.43      | 28.15      | 2.91     | 45.49     |
|             | Subtotal        | 77,232.97   | 4,000.87   | 611.81     | 1,207.96 | 83,053.60 |
| Business In | terruption Loss |             |            |            |          |           |
|             | Income          | 0.00        | 419.04     | 4.69       | 113.80   | 537.53    |
|             | Relocation      | 2,115.55    | 524.25     | 25.40      | 156.14   | 2,821.34  |
|             | Rental          | 1,823.84    | 274.03     | 3.65       | 11.26    | 2,112.78  |
|             | Wage            | 0.00        | 459.44     | 8.02       | 408.57   | 876.03    |
|             | Subtotal        | 3,939.40    | 1,676.75   | 41.76      | 689.77   | 6,347.68  |





| <u>Total</u> |       |           |          |        |          |           |
|--------------|-------|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-----------|
|              | Total | 81,172.36 | 5,677.62 | 653.57 | 1,897.73 | 89,401.28 |





## Appendix A: County Listing for the Region

Massachusetts - Middlesex





## Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data

|                    |            | Building Value (thousands of dollars) |                 |           |  |
|--------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--|
|                    | Population | Residential                           | Non-Residential | Total     |  |
| Massachusetts      |            |                                       |                 |           |  |
| Middlesex          | 24,729     | 3,035,146                             | 843,352         | 3,878,498 |  |
| Total              | 24,729     | 3,035,146                             | 843,352         | 3,878,498 |  |
| Study Region Total | 24,729     | 3,035,146                             | 843,352         | 3,878,498 |  |







# Hazus: Earthquake Global Risk Report

| Region Name          | Belmont_HMP                    |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Earthquake Scenario: | Belmont Magnitude 5 Earthquake |
| Print Date:          | February 14, 2020              |

**Disclaimer:** This version of Hazus utilizes 2010 Census Data. Totals only reflect data for those census tracts/blocks included in the user's study region.

The estimates of social and economic impacts contained in this report were produced using Hazus loss estimation methodology software which is based on current scientific and engineering knowledge. There are uncertainties inherent in any loss estimation technique. Therefore, there may be significant differences between the modeled results contained in this report and the actual social and economic losses following a specific earthquake. These results can be improved by using enhanced inventory, geotechnical, and observed ground motion data.





# **Table of Contents**

| Sect | tion  | Page # |
|------|---|--------|
| Gen  | eral Description of the Region                | 3      |
| Buil | ding and Lifeline Inventory                   | 4      |
|      | Building Inventory                            |        |
|      | Critical Facility Inventory                   |        |
|      | Transportation and Utility Lifeline Inventory |        |
| Eart | hquake Scenario Parameters                    | 7      |
| Dire | ct Earthquake Damage                          | 8      |
|      | Buildings Damage                              |        |
|      | Essential Facilities Damage                   |        |
|      | Transportation and Utility Lifeline Damage    |        |
| Indu | uced Earthquake Damage                        | 14     |
|      | Fire Following Earthquake                     |        |
|      | Debris Generation                             |        |
| Soc  | ial Impact                                    | 15     |
|      | Shelter Requirements                          |        |
|      | Casualties                                    |        |
| Eco  | nomic Loss                                    | 17     |
|      | Building Related Losses                       |        |
|      | Transportation and Utility Lifeline Losses    |        |
|      |   |        |

Appendix A: County Listing for the Region Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data





# General Description of the Region

Hazus-MH is a regional earthquake loss estimation model that was developed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and the National Institute of Building Sciences. The primary purpose of Hazus is to provide a methodology and software application to develop multi-hazard losses at a regional scale. These loss estimates would be used primarily by local, state and regional officials to plan and stimulate efforts to reduce risks from multi-hazards and to prepare for emergency response and recovery.

The earthquake loss estimates provided in this report was based on a region that includes 1 county(ies) from the following state(s):

Massachusetts

Note:

Appendix A contains a complete listing of the counties contained in the region.

The geographical size of the region is 4.72 square miles and contains 8 census tracts. There are over 9 thousand households in the region which has a total population of 24,729 people (2010 Census Bureau data). The distribution of population by Total Region and County is provided in Appendix B.

There are an estimated 8 thousand buildings in the region with a total building replacement value (excluding contents) of 3,878 (millions of dollars). Approximately 90.00 % of the buildings (and 78.00% of the building value) are associated with residential housing.

The replacement value of the transportation and utility lifeline systems is estimated to be 899 and 9 (millions of dollars), respectively.





# **Building and Lifeline Inventory**

#### **Building Inventory**

Hazus estimates that there are 8 thousand buildings in the region which have an aggregate total replacement value of 3,878 (millions of dollars). Appendix B provides a general distribution of the building value by Total Region and County.

In terms of building construction types found in the region, wood frame construction makes up 80% of the building inventory. The remaining percentage is distributed between the other general building types.

## **Critical Facility Inventory**

Hazus breaks critical facilities into two (2) groups: essential facilities and high potential loss facilities (HPL). Essential facilities include hospitals, medical clinics, schools, fire stations, police stations and emergency operations facilities. High potential loss facilities include dams, levees, military installations, nuclear power plants and hazardous material sites.

For essential facilities, there are 1 hospitals in the region with a total bed capacity of 160 beds. There are 10 schools, 1 fire stations, 1 police stations and 0 emergency operation facilities. With respect to high potential loss facilities (HPL), there are no dams identified within the inventory. The inventory also includes 3 hazardous material sites, no military installations and no nuclear power plants.

## Transportation and Utility Lifeline Inventory

Within Hazus, the lifeline inventory is divided between transportation and utility lifeline systems. There are seven (7) transportation systems that include highways, railways, light rail, bus, ports, ferry and airports. There are six (6) utility systems that include potable water, wastewater, natural gas, crude & refined oil, electric power and communications. The lifeline inventory data are provided in Tables 1 and 2.

The total value of the lifeline inventory is over 908.00 (millions of dollars). This inventory includes over 66.49 miles of highways, 5 bridges, 290.18 miles of pipes.





|            | Table 1: Transportation System Lifeline Inventory |                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| System     | Component   | # Locations/<br># Segments | Replacement value<br>(millions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highway    | Bridges   | 5                          | 114.7751                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0          | Segments  | 91                         | 710.2160                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Tunnels   | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |   | Subtotal                   | 824.9911                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Railways   | Bridges   | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Facilities  | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Segments  | 20                         | 45.7351                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Tunnels   | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |   | Subtotal                   | 45.7351                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Light Rail | Bridges   | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Facilities  | 2                          | 5.3260                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Segments  | 8                          | 23.1443                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Tunnels   | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |   | Subtotal                   | 28.4703                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bus        | Facilities  | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |   | Subtotal                   | 0.0000                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ferry      | Facilities  | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| -          |   | Subtotal                   | 0.0000                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Port       | Facilities  | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |   | Subtotal                   | 0.0000                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Airport    | Facilities  | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| •          | Runways   | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |   | Subtotal                   | 0.0000                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |   | Total                      | 899.20                                     |  |  |  |  |  |





| System           | Component          | # Locations /<br>Segments | Replacement value<br>(millions of dollars) |  |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Potable Water    | Distribution Lines | NA                        | 4.6718                                     |  |
|                  | Facilities         | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |  |
|                  | Pipelines          | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |  |
|                  |                    | Subtotal                  | 4.6718                                     |  |
| Waste Water      | Distribution Lines | NA                        | 2.8031                                     |  |
|                  | Facilities         | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |  |
|                  | Pipelines          | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |  |
|                  |                    | Subtotal                  | 2.8031                                     |  |
| Natural Gas      | Distribution Lines | NA                        | 1.8687                                     |  |
|                  | Facilities         | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |  |
|                  | Pipelines          | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |  |
|                  |                    | Subtotal                  | 1.8687                                     |  |
| Oil Systems      | Facilities         | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |  |
|                  | Pipelines          | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |  |
|                  |                    | Subtotal                  | 0.0000                                     |  |
| Electrical Power | Facilities         | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |  |
|                  |                    | Subtotal                  | 0.0000                                     |  |
| Communication    | Facilities         | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |  |
|                  |                    | Subtotal                  | 0.0000                                     |  |
|                  |                    | Total                     | 9.30                                       |  |


#### Table 2: Utility System Lifeline Inventory





# Earthquake Scenario

Hazus uses the following set of information to define the earthquake parameters used for the earthquake loss estimate provided in this report.



| Scenario Name                 | Belmont Magnitude 5 Earthquake |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Type of Earthquake            | Arbitrary                      |
| Fault Name                    | NA                             |
| Historical Epicenter ID #     | NA                             |
| Probabilistic Return Period   | NA                             |
| Longitude of Epicenter        | -71.18                         |
| Latitude of Epicenter         | 42.40                          |
| Earthquake Magnitude          | 5.00                           |
| Depth (km)                    | 10.00                          |
| Rupture Length (Km)           | NA                             |
| Rupture Orientation (degrees) | NA                             |
| Attenuation Function          | Central & East US (CEUS 2008)  |





## **Direct Earthquake Damage**

#### **Building Damage**

Hazus estimates that about 1,917 buildings will be at least moderately damaged. This is over 24.00 % of the buildings in the region. There are an estimated 118 buildings that will be damaged beyond repair. The definition of the 'damage states' is provided in Volume 1: Chapter 5 of the Hazus technical manual. Table 3 below summarizes the expected damage by general occupancy for the buildings in the region. Table 4 below summarizes the expected damage by general building type.

#### 1,800 1,600 1,400 1,200 1,000 Complete 800 Extensive 600 Moderate Slight 400 200 0 Single Family Industrial Education Religion Agircultur Residential other Governm

## Damage Categories by General Occupancy Type

Table 3: Expected Building Damage by Occupancy

|                   | None    |       | Slight  |       | Moderate |       | Extensive |       | Complete |       |
|-------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
|                   | Count   | (%)   | Count   | (%)   | Count    | (%)   | Count     | (%)   | Count    | (%)   |
| Agriculture       | 4.08    | 0.11  | 4.59    | 0.20  | 6.37     | 0.47  | 2.96      | 0.68  | 1.01     | 0.85  |
| Commercial        | 128.76  | 3.35  | 122.19  | 5.25  | 191.82   | 14.04 | 107.30    | 24.80 | 36.93    | 31.18 |
| Education         | 7.65    | 0.20  | 6.67    | 0.29  | 10.78    | 0.79  | 5.91      | 1.37  | 1.98     | 1.67  |
| Government        | 2.29    | 0.06  | 2.03    | 0.09  | 3.67     | 0.27  | 2.24      | 0.52  | 0.77     | 0.65  |
| Industrial        | 26.28   | 0.68  | 23.50   | 1.01  | 42.17    | 3.09  | 26.06     | 6.02  | 9.00     | 7.60  |
| Other Residential | 816.67  | 21.26 | 504.57  | 21.67 | 370.20   | 27.09 | 156.48    | 36.17 | 46.08    | 38.91 |
| Religion          | 16.11   | 0.42  | 10.88   | 0.47  | 11.05    | 0.81  | 5.98      | 1.38  | 1.98     | 1.68  |
| Single Family     | 2840.23 | 73.92 | 1654.02 | 71.04 | 730.40   | 53.45 | 125.68    | 29.05 | 20.67    | 17.46 |
| Total             | 3,842   |       | 2,328   |       | 1,366    |       | 433       |       | 118      |       |





|          | None    |       | Slight  |       | Moderate |       | Extensive |       | Complete |       |
|----------|---------|-------|---------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
|          | Count   | (%)   | Count   | (%)   | Count    | (%)   | Count     | (%)   | Count    | (%)   |
| Wood     | 3457.63 | 89.99 | 2017.47 | 86.64 | 873.51   | 63.92 | 122.58    | 28.33 | 9.67     | 8.17  |
| Steel    | 77.44   | 2.02  | 65.63   | 2.82  | 142.45   | 10.42 | 90.69     | 20.96 | 32.43    | 27.39 |
| Concrete | 21.39   | 0.56  | 19.65   | 0.84  | 46.23    | 3.38  | 30.91     | 7.15  | 9.26     | 7.82  |
| Precast  | 4.14    | 0.11  | 3.10    | 0.13  | 8.05     | 0.59  | 8.22      | 1.90  | 2.63     | 2.22  |
| RM       | 73.08   | 1.90  | 34.13   | 1.47  | 69.38    | 5.08  | 54.26     | 12.54 | 9.59     | 8.10  |
| URM      | 207.36  | 5.40  | 187.04  | 8.03  | 223.88   | 16.38 | 123.90    | 28.64 | 54.31    | 45.87 |
| МН       | 1.03    | 0.03  | 1.44    | 0.06  | 2.96     | 0.22  | 2.05      | 0.47  | 0.52     | 0.44  |
| Total    | 3,842   |       | 2,328   |       | 1,366    |       | 433       |       | 118      |       |

#### Table 4: Expected Building Damage by Building Type (All Design Levels)

\*Note:

RM

URM

Reinforced Masonry Unreinforced Masonry Manufactured Housing MH





#### **Essential Facility Damage**

Before the earthquake, the region had 160 hospital beds available for use. On the day of the earthquake, the model estimates that only 36 hospital beds (23.00%) are available for use by patients already in the hospital and those injured by the earthquake. After one week, 45.00% of the beds will be back in service. By 30 days, 74.00% will be operational.


|                |       | # Facilities                      |                          |                                      |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|-------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Classification | Total | At Least Moderate<br>Damage > 50% | Complete<br>Damage > 50% | With Functionality<br>> 50% on day 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitals      | 1     | 1                                 | 0                        | 0                                    |  |  |  |  |
| Schools        | 10    | 10                                | 0                        | 0                                    |  |  |  |  |
| EOCs           | 0     | 0                                 | 0                        | 0                                    |  |  |  |  |
| PoliceStations | 1     | 0                                 | 0                        | 1                                    |  |  |  |  |
| FireStations   | 1     | 1                                 | 0                        | 0                                    |  |  |  |  |

#### **Table 5: Expected Damage to Essential Facilities**





## Transportation Lifeline Damage







| System     | Common and | Number of Locations_ |               |               |                           |             |  |  |  |  |
|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| System     | Component  | Locations/           | With at Least | With Complete | With Functionality > 50 % |             |  |  |  |  |
|            |            | Segments             | Mod. Damage   | Damage        | After Day 1               | After Day 7 |  |  |  |  |
| Highway    | Segments   | 91                   | 0             | 0             | 86                        | 86          |  |  |  |  |
|            | Bridges    | 5                    | 3             | 0             | 2                         | 5           |  |  |  |  |
|            | Tunnels    | 0                    | 0             | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |  |  |
| Railways   | Segments   | 20                   | 0             | 0             | 18                        | 18          |  |  |  |  |
|            | Bridges    | 0                    | 0             | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |  |  |
|            | Tunnels    | 0                    | 0             | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |  |  |
|            | Facilities | 0                    | 0             | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |  |  |
| Light Rail | Segments   | 8                    | 0             | 0             | 8                         | 8           |  |  |  |  |
|            | Bridges    | 0                    | 0             | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |  |  |
|            | Tunnels    | 0                    | 0             | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |  |  |
|            | Facilities | 2                    | 1             | 0             | 2                         | 2           |  |  |  |  |
| Bus        | Facilities | 0                    | 0             | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |  |  |
| Ferry      | Facilities | 0                    | 0             | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |  |  |
| Port       | Facilities | 0                    | 0             | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |  |  |
| Airport    | Facilities | 0                    | 0             | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |  |  |
|            | Runways    | 0                    | 0             | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |  |  |

#### Table 6: Expected Damage to the Transportation Systems

Table 6 provides damage estimates for the transportation system.

Note: Roadway segments, railroad tracks and light rail tracks are assumed to be damaged by ground failure only. If ground failure maps are not provided, damage estimates to these components will not be computed.

Tables 7-9 provide information on the damage to the utility lifeline systems. Table 7 provides damage to the utility system facilities. Table 8 provides estimates on the number of leaks and breaks by the pipelines of the utility systems. For electric power and potable water, Hazus performs a simplified system performance analysis. Table 9 provides a summary of the system performance information.





|                  | # of Locations                           |   |               |               |                           |  |  |
|------------------|--|---|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| System           | Total # With at Least<br>Moderate Damage |   | With Complete | with Functior | with Functionality > 50 % |  |  |
|                  |  |   | Damage        | After Day 1   | After Day 7               |  |  |
| Potable Water    | 0  | 0 | 0             | 0             | 0                         |  |  |
| Waste Water      | 0  | 0 | 0             | 0             | 0                         |  |  |
| Natural Gas      | 0  | 0 | 0             | 0             | 0                         |  |  |
| Oil Systems      | 0  | 0 | 0             | 0             | 0                         |  |  |
| Electrical Power | 0  | 0 | 0             | 0             | 0                         |  |  |
| Communication    | 0  | 0 | 0             | 0             | 0                         |  |  |

#### Table 7 : Expected Utility System Facility Damage

#### Table 8 : Expected Utility System Pipeline Damage (Site Specific)

| System        | Total Pipelines<br>Length (miles) | Number of<br>Leaks | Number of<br>Breaks |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Potable Water | 145                               | 38                 | 10                  |
| Waste Water   | 87                                | 19                 | 5                   |
| Natural Gas   | 58                                | 7                  | 2                   |
| Oil           | 0                                 | 0                  | 0                   |

#### Table 9: Expected Potable Water and Electric Power System Performance

|                | Total # of | Number of Households without Service |          |          |           |           |
|----------------|------------|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
|                | Households |                                      | At Day 3 | At Day 7 | At Day 30 | At Day 90 |
| Potable Water  | 9,651      | 0                                    | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0         |
| Electric Power |            | 8,146                                | 5,450    | 2,307    | 418       | 10        |





## Induced Earthquake Damage


#### **Fire Following Earthquake**

Fires often occur after an earthquake. Because of the number of fires and the lack of water to fight the fires, they can often burn out of control. Hazus uses a Monte Carlo simulation model to estimate the number of ignitions and the amount of burnt area. For this scenario, the model estimates that there will be 0 ignitions that will burn about 0.00 sq. mi 0.00 % of the region's total area.) The model also estimates that the fires will displace about 0 people and burn about 0 (millions of dollars) of building value.

## **Debris Generation**

Hazus estimates the amount of debris that will be generated by the earthquake. The model breaks the debris into two general categories: a) Brick/Wood and b) Reinforced Concrete/Steel. This distinction is made because of the different types of material handling equipment required to handle the debris.

The model estimates that a total of 100,000 tons of debris will be generated. Of the total amount, Brick/Wood comprises 41.00% of the total, with the remainder being Reinforced Concrete/Steel. If the debris tonnage is converted to an estimated number of truckloads, it will require 4,000 truckloads (@25 tons/truck) to remove the debris generated by the earthquake.








## **Social Impact**

#### **Shelter Requirement**

Hazus estimates the number of households that are expected to be displaced from their homes due to the earthquake and the number of displaced people that will require accommodations in temporary public shelters. The model estimates 574 households to be displaced due to the earthquake. Of these, 284 people (out of a total population of 24,729) will seek temporary shelter in public shelters.



#### **Casualties**

Hazus estimates the number of people that will be injured and killed by the earthquake. The casualties are broken down into four (4) severity levels that describe the extent of the injuries. The levels are described as follows;

- · Severity Level 1: Injuries will require medical attention but hospitalization is not needed.
- Severity Level 2: Injuries will require hospitalization but are not considered life-threatening
- Severity Level 3: Injuries will require hospitalization and can become life threatening if not promptly treated.
- · Severity Level 4: Victims are killed by the earthquake.

The casualty estimates are provided for three (3) times of day: 2:00 AM, 2:00 PM and 5:00 PM. These times represent the periods of the day that different sectors of the community are at their peak occupancy loads. The 2:00 AM estimate considers that the residential occupancy load is maximum, the 2:00 PM estimate considers that the educational, commercial and industrial sector loads are maximum and 5:00 PM represents peak commute time.

Table 10 provides a summary of the casualties estimated for this earthquake





## Table 10: Casualty Estimates

|      |                   | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
|------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 2 AM | Commercial        | 1.69    | 0.42    | 0.06    | 0.11    |
|      | Commuting         | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.02    | 0.00    |
|      | Educational       | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
|      | Hotels            | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
|      | Industrial        | 1.22    | 0.31    | 0.04    | 0.08    |
|      | Other-Residential | 47.94   | 11.72   | 1.70    | 3.34    |
|      | Single Family     | 18.33   | 3.16    | 0.33    | 0.64    |
|      | Total             | 69      | 16      | 2       | 4       |
| 2 PM | Commercial        | 96.13   | 23.89   | 3.34    | 6.50    |
|      | Commuting         | 0.09    | 0.11    | 0.20    | 0.04    |
|      | Educational       | 32.59   | 8.33    | 1.24    | 2.41    |
|      | Hotels            | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
|      | Industrial        | 9.03    | 2.27    | 0.32    | 0.62    |
|      | Other-Residential | 9.69    | 2.41    | 0.36    | 0.68    |
|      | Single Family     | 3.64    | 0.65    | 0.07    | 0.13    |
|      | Total             | 151     | 38      | 6       | 10      |
| 5 PM | Commercial        | 67.97   | 16.95   | 2.39    | 4.60    |
|      | Commuting         | 1.66    | 2.00    | 3.64    | 0.69    |
|      | Educational       | 2.65    | 0.68    | 0.10    | 0.20    |
|      | Hotels            | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
|      | Industrial        | 5.64    | 1.42    | 0.20    | 0.39    |
|      | Other-Residential | 19.03   | 4.73    | 0.70    | 1.33    |
|      | Single Family     | 7.21    | 1.29    | 0.14    | 0.26    |
|      | Total             | 104     | 27      | 7       | 7       |





## **Economic Loss**

The total economic loss estimated for the earthquake is 578.21 (millions of dollars), which includes building and lifeline related losses based on the region's available inventory. The following three sections provide more detailed information about these losses.






#### **Building-Related Losses**

The building losses are broken into two categories: direct building losses and business interruption losses. The direct building losses are the estimated costs to repair or replace the damage caused to the building and its contents. The business interruption losses are the losses associated with inability to operate a business because of the damage sustained during the earthquake. Business interruption losses also include the temporary living expenses for those people displaced from their homes because of the earthquake.

The total building-related losses were 555.75 (millions of dollars); 16 % of the estimated losses were related to the business interruption of the region. By far, the largest loss was sustained by the residential occupancies which made up over 57 % of the total loss. Table 11 below provides a summary of the losses associated with the building damage.



#### Table 11: Building-Related Economic Loss Estimates

(Millions of dollars)

| Category    | Area            | Single<br>Family | Other<br>Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Others  | Total    |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|---------|----------|
| Income Lo   | sses            |                  |                      |            |            |         |          |
|             | Wage            | 0.0000           | 3.8780               | 18.4598    | 0.2744     | 1.2064  | 23.8186  |
|             | Capital-Related | 0.0000           | 1.6508               | 13.7119    | 0.1581     | 0.3625  | 15.8833  |
|             | Rental          | 1.9230           | 8.8593               | 7.3526     | 0.0816     | 0.4271  | 18.6436  |
|             | Relocation      | 6.8897           | 5.0748               | 14.0621    | 0.5305     | 5.1075  | 31.6646  |
|             | Subtotal        | 8.8127           | 19.4629              | 53.5864    | 1.0446     | 7.1035  | 90.0101  |
| Capital Sto | ock Losses      |                  |                      |            |            |         |          |
|             | Structural      | 17.9086          | 12.1878              | 21.3592    | 2.0287     | 7.3880  | 60.8723  |
|             | Non_Structural  | 108.4014         | 74.0498              | 63.2493    | 6.9683     | 22.5846 | 275.2534 |
|             | Content         | 52.3847          | 23.0419              | 36.2853    | 4.5181     | 12.0158 | 128.2458 |
|             | Inventory       | 0.0000           | 0.0000               | 0.6045     | 0.7190     | 0.0421  | 1.3656   |
|             | Subtotal        | 178.6947         | 109.2795             | 121.4983   | 14.2341    | 42.0305 | 465.7371 |
|             | Total           | 187.51           | 128.74               | 175.08     | 15.28      | 49.13   | 555.75   |





## **Transportation and Utility Lifeline Losses**

For the transportation and utility lifeline systems, Hazus computes the direct repair cost for each component only. There are no losses computed by Hazus for business interruption due to lifeline outages. Tables 12 & 13 provide a detailed breakdown in the expected lifeline losses.

| System     | Component  | Inventory Value | Economic Loss | Loss Ratio (%) |
|------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Highway    | Segments   | 710.2160        | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Bridges    | 114.7751        | 20.8076       | 18.13          |
|            | Tunnels    | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Subtotal   | 824.9911        | 20.8076       |                |
| Railways   | Segments   | 45.7351         | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Bridges    | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Tunnels    | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Facilities | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Subtotal   | 45.7351         | 0.0000        |                |
| Light Rail | Segments   | 23.1443         | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Bridges    | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Tunnels    | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Facilities | 5.3260          | 1.3688        | 25.70          |
|            | Subtotal   | 28.4703         | 1.3688        |                |
| Bus        | Facilities | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Subtotal   | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                |
| Ferry      | Facilities | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Subtotal   | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                |
| Port       | Facilities | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Subtotal   | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                |
| Airport    | Facilities | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Runways    | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Subtotal   | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                |
|            | Total      | 899.20          | 22.18         |                |

# Table 12: Transportation System Economic Losses (Millions of dollars)





| System           | Component         | Inventory Value | Economic Loss | Loss Ratio (%) |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Potable Water    | Pipelines         | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Facilities        | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Distribution Line | 4.6718          | 0.1730        | 3.70           |
|                  | Subtotal          | 4.6718          | 0.1730        |                |
| Waste Water      | Pipelines         | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Facilities        | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Distribution Line | 2.8031          | 0.0869        | 3.10           |
|                  | Subtotal          | 2.8031          | 0.0869        |                |
| Natural Gas      | Pipelines         | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Facilities        | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Distribution Line | 1.8687          | 0.0298        | 1.59           |
|                  | Subtotal          | 1.8687          | 0.0298        |                |
| Oil Systems      | Pipelines         | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Facilities        | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Subtotal          | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                |
| Electrical Power | Facilities        | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Subtotal          | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                |
| Communication    | Facilities        | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Subtotal          | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                |
|                  | Total             | 9.34            | 0.29          |                |

### Table 13: Utility System Economic Losses (Millions of dollars)





## Appendix A: County Listing for the Region

Middlesex,MA





## Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data

|              |             |            | Building Value (millions of dollars) |                 |       |
|--------------|-------------|------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| State        | County Name | Population | Residential                          | Non-Residential | Total |
| Massachusett | 5           |            |                                      |                 |       |
|              | Middlesex   | 24,729     | 3,035                                | 843             | 3,878 |
| Total Region |             | 24,729     | 3,035                                | 843             | 3,878 |







# Hazus: Earthquake Global Risk Report

| Region Name          | Belmont_HMP                      |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Earthquake Scenario: | Belmont Magnitude 7.0 Earthquake |
| Print Date:          | February 14, 2020                |

**Disclaimer:** This version of Hazus utilizes 2010 Census Data. Totals only reflect data for those census tracts/blocks included in the user's study region.

The estimates of social and economic impacts contained in this report were produced using Hazus loss estimation methodology software which is based on current scientific and engineering knowledge. There are uncertainties inherent in any loss estimation technique. Therefore, there may be significant differences between the modeled results contained in this report and the actual social and economic losses following a specific earthquake. These results can be improved by using enhanced inventory, geotechnical, and observed ground motion data.





# **Table of Contents**

| Sect | tion  | Page # |
|------|---|--------|
| Gen  | eral Description of the Region                | 3      |
| Buil | ding and Lifeline Inventory                   | 4      |
|      | Building Inventory                            |        |
|      | Critical Facility Inventory                   |        |
|      | Transportation and Utility Lifeline Inventory |        |
| Eart | hquake Scenario Parameters                    | 7      |
| Dire | ct Earthquake Damage                          | 8      |
|      | Buildings Damage                              |        |
|      | Essential Facilities Damage                   |        |
|      | Transportation and Utility Lifeline Damage    |        |
| Indu | uced Earthquake Damage                        | 14     |
|      | Fire Following Earthquake                     |        |
|      | Debris Generation                             |        |
| Soc  | ial Impact                                    | 15     |
|      | Shelter Requirements                          |        |
|      | Casualties                                    |        |
| Eco  | nomic Loss                                    | 17     |
|      | Building Related Losses                       |        |
|      | Transportation and Utility Lifeline Losses    |        |
|      |   |        |

Appendix A: County Listing for the Region Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data





# General Description of the Region

Hazus-MH is a regional earthquake loss estimation model that was developed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and the National Institute of Building Sciences. The primary purpose of Hazus is to provide a methodology and software application to develop multi-hazard losses at a regional scale. These loss estimates would be used primarily by local, state and regional officials to plan and stimulate efforts to reduce risks from multi-hazards and to prepare for emergency response and recovery.

The earthquake loss estimates provided in this report was based on a region that includes 1 county(ies) from the following state(s):

Massachusetts

Note:

Appendix A contains a complete listing of the counties contained in the region.

The geographical size of the region is 4.72 square miles and contains 8 census tracts. There are over 9 thousand households in the region which has a total population of 24,729 people (2010 Census Bureau data). The distribution of population by Total Region and County is provided in Appendix B.

There are an estimated 8 thousand buildings in the region with a total building replacement value (excluding contents) of 3,878 (millions of dollars). Approximately 90.00 % of the buildings (and 78.00% of the building value) are associated with residential housing.

The replacement value of the transportation and utility lifeline systems is estimated to be 899 and 9 (millions of dollars), respectively.





# **Building and Lifeline Inventory**

#### **Building Inventory**

Hazus estimates that there are 8 thousand buildings in the region which have an aggregate total replacement value of 3,878 (millions of dollars). Appendix B provides a general distribution of the building value by Total Region and County.

In terms of building construction types found in the region, wood frame construction makes up 80% of the building inventory. The remaining percentage is distributed between the other general building types.

## **Critical Facility Inventory**

Hazus breaks critical facilities into two (2) groups: essential facilities and high potential loss facilities (HPL). Essential facilities include hospitals, medical clinics, schools, fire stations, police stations and emergency operations facilities. High potential loss facilities include dams, levees, military installations, nuclear power plants and hazardous material sites.

For essential facilities, there are 1 hospitals in the region with a total bed capacity of 160 beds. There are 10 schools, 1 fire stations, 1 police stations and 0 emergency operation facilities. With respect to high potential loss facilities (HPL), there are no dams identified within the inventory. The inventory also includes 3 hazardous material sites, no military installations and no nuclear power plants.

## Transportation and Utility Lifeline Inventory

Within Hazus, the lifeline inventory is divided between transportation and utility lifeline systems. There are seven (7) transportation systems that include highways, railways, light rail, bus, ports, ferry and airports. There are six (6) utility systems that include potable water, wastewater, natural gas, crude & refined oil, electric power and communications. The lifeline inventory data are provided in Tables 1 and 2.

The total value of the lifeline inventory is over 908.00 (millions of dollars). This inventory includes over 66.49 miles of highways, 5 bridges, 290.18 miles of pipes.





| Table 1: Transportation System Lifeline Inventory |            |                            |  |  |  |
|---|------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| System  | Component  | # Locations/<br># Segments | Replacement value<br>(millions of dollars) |  |  |
| Highway   | Bridges    | 5                          | 114.7751                                   |  |  |
|   | Segments   | 91                         | 710.2160                                   |  |  |
|   | Tunnels    | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |
|   |            | Subtotal                   | 824.9911                                   |  |  |
| Railways  | Bridges    | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |
|   | Facilities | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |
|   | Segments   | 20                         | 45.7351                                    |  |  |
|   | Tunnels    | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |
|   |            | Subtotal                   | 45.7351                                    |  |  |
| Light Rail  | Bridges    | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |
|   | Facilities | 2                          | 5.3260                                     |  |  |
|   | Segments   | 8                          | 23.1443                                    |  |  |
|   | Tunnels    | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |
|   |            | Subtotal                   | 28.4703                                    |  |  |
| Bus   | Facilities | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |
|   |            | Subtotal                   | 0.0000                                     |  |  |
| Ferry   | Facilities | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |
| -   |            | Subtotal                   | 0.0000                                     |  |  |
| Port  | Facilities | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |
|   |            | Subtotal                   | 0.0000                                     |  |  |
| Airport   | Facilities | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |
| •   | Runways    | 0                          | 0.0000                                     |  |  |
|   |            | Subtotal                   | 0.0000                                     |  |  |
|   |            | Total                      | 899.20                                     |  |  |





| System           | Component          | # Locations /<br>Segments | Replacement value<br>(millions of dollars) |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Potable Water    | Distribution Lines | NA                        | 4.6718                                     |
|                  | Facilities         | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |
|                  | Pipelines          | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |
|                  |                    | Subtotal                  | 4.6718                                     |
| Waste Water      | Distribution Lines | NA                        | 2.8031                                     |
|                  | Facilities         | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |
|                  | Pipelines          | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |
|                  |                    | Subtotal                  | 2.8031                                     |
| Natural Gas      | Distribution Lines | NA                        | 1.8687                                     |
|                  | Facilities         | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |
|                  | Pipelines          | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |
|                  |                    | Subtotal                  | 1.8687                                     |
| Oil Systems      | Facilities         | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |
|                  | Pipelines          | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |
|                  |                    | Subtotal                  | 0.0000                                     |
| Electrical Power | Facilities         | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |
|                  |                    | Subtotal                  | 0.0000                                     |
| Communication    | Facilities         | 0                         | 0.0000                                     |
|                  |                    | Subtotal                  | 0.0000                                     |
|                  |                    | Total                     | 9.30                                       |


#### Table 2: Utility System Lifeline Inventory





# Earthquake Scenario

Hazus uses the following set of information to define the earthquake parameters used for the earthquake loss estimate provided in this report.



| Scenario Name                 | Belmont Magnitude 7.0 Earthquake |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Type of Earthquake            | Arbitrary                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fault Name                    | NA                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Historical Epicenter ID #     | NA                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Probabilistic Return Period   | NA                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longitude of Epicenter        | -71.18                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latitude of Epicenter         | 42.40                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthquake Magnitude          | 7.00                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depth (km)                    | 12.00                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rupture Length (Km)           | NA                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rupture Orientation (degrees) | NA                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attenuation Function          | Central & East US (CEUS 2008)    |  |  |  |  |  |






## **Direct Earthquake Damage**

#### **Building Damage**

Hazus estimates that about 7,845 buildings will be at least moderately damaged. This is over 97.00 % of the buildings in the region. There are an estimated 4,332 buildings that will be damaged beyond repair. The definition of the 'damage states' is provided in Volume 1: Chapter 5 of the Hazus technical manual. Table 3 below summarizes the expected damage by general occupancy for the buildings in the region. Table 4 below summarizes the expected damage by general building type.



## Damage Categories by General Occupancy Type

#### Table 3: Expected Building Damage by Occupancy

|                   | None  |       | Slight |       | Moderate |       | Extensive |       | Complete |       |
|-------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
|                   | Count | (%)   | Count  | (%)   | Count    | (%)   | Count     | (%)   | Count    | (%)   |
| Agriculture       | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.01   | 0.00  | 0.10     | 0.01  | 1.02      | 0.05  | 17.87    | 0.41  |
| Commercial        | 0.03  | 0.14  | 0.16   | 0.07  | 2.38     | 0.17  | 21.21     | 1.02  | 563.22   | 13.00 |
| Education         | 0.00  | 0.01  | 0.01   | 0.00  | 0.12     | 0.01  | 1.05      | 0.05  | 31.82    | 0.73  |
| Government        | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00   | 0.00  | 0.03     | 0.00  | 0.26      | 0.01  | 10.71    | 0.25  |
| Industrial        | 0.01  | 0.03  | 0.02   | 0.01  | 0.35     | 0.02  | 3.40      | 0.16  | 123.21   | 2.84  |
| Other Residential | 5.07  | 25.86 | 51.29  | 23.00 | 288.49   | 20.23 | 394.21    | 18.89 | 1154.94  | 26.66 |
| Religion          | 0.04  | 0.21  | 0.52   | 0.23  | 3.66     | 0.26  | 5.98      | 0.29  | 35.79    | 0.83  |
| Single Family     | 14.46 | 73.74 | 170.95 | 76.67 | 1131.22  | 79.31 | 1659.88   | 79.53 | 2394.49  | 55.27 |
| Total             | 20    |       | 223    |       | 1,426    |       | 2,087     |       | 4,332    |       |





|          | None  |       | Slight |       | Moderate |       | Extensive |       | Complete |       |
|----------|-------|-------|--------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
|          | Count | (%)   | Count  | (%)   | Count    | (%)   | Count     | (%)   | Count    | (%)   |
| Wood     | 19.52 | 99.55 | 222.37 | 99.73 | 1419.35  | 99.51 | 2047.72   | 98.12 | 2771.89  | 63.99 |
| Steel    | 0.02  | 0.10  | 0.02   | 0.01  | 0.36     | 0.03  | 7.18      | 0.34  | 401.06   | 9.26  |
| Concrete | 0.00  | 0.01  | 0.01   | 0.01  | 0.15     | 0.01  | 1.59      | 0.08  | 125.68   | 2.90  |
| Precast  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.01   | 0.00  | 0.05     | 0.00  | 0.19      | 0.01  | 25.88    | 0.60  |
| RM       | 0.05  | 0.24  | 0.08   | 0.04  | 1.17     | 0.08  | 3.78      | 0.18  | 235.36   | 5.43  |
| URM      | 0.02  | 0.10  | 0.48   | 0.22  | 5.23     | 0.37  | 26.36     | 1.26  | 764.40   | 17.65 |
| МН       | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00   | 0.00  | 0.02     | 0.00  | 0.20      | 0.01  | 7.78     | 0.18  |
| Total    | 20    |       | 223    |       | 1,426    |       | 2,087     |       | 4,332    |       |

#### Table 4: Expected Building Damage by Building Type (All Design Levels)

\*Note:

RM

Reinforced Masonry Unreinforced Masonry Manufactured Housing URM

MH





## **Essential Facility Damage**

Before the earthquake, the region had 160 hospital beds available for use. On the day of the earthquake, the model estimates that only 0 hospital beds (0.00%) are available for use by patients already in the hospital and those injured by the earthquake. After one week, 0.00% of the beds will be back in service. By 30 days, 1.00% will be operational.


|                |       | # Facilities                      |                          |                                      |  |  |  |
|----------------|-------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Classification | Total | At Least Moderate<br>Damage > 50% | Complete<br>Damage > 50% | With Functionality<br>> 50% on day 1 |  |  |  |
| Hospitals      | 1     | 1                                 | 1                        | 0                                    |  |  |  |
| Schools        | 10    | 10                                | 10                       | 0                                    |  |  |  |
| EOCs           | 0     | 0                                 | 0                        | 0                                    |  |  |  |
| PoliceStations | 1     | 1                                 | 1                        | 0                                    |  |  |  |
| FireStations   | 1     | 1                                 | 1                        | 0                                    |  |  |  |

#### **Table 5: Expected Damage to Essential Facilities**





# Transportation Lifeline Damage







| Sustan     | Common and |            |               | Number of Locati | ons_                      | Number of Locations_ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------|------------|------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| System     | Component  | Locations/ | With at Least | With Complete    | With Functionality > 50 % |                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |            | Segments   | Mod. Damage   | Damage           | After Day 1               | After Day 7          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highway    | Segments   | 91         | 0             | 0                | 86                        | 86                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Bridges    | 5          | 5             | 5                | 0                         | 0                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Tunnels    | 0          | 0             | 0                | 0                         | 0                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Railways   | Segments   | 20         | 0             | 0                | 18                        | 18                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Bridges    | 0          | 0             | 0                | 0                         | 0                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Tunnels    | 0          | 0             | 0                | 0                         | 0                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Facilities | 0          | 0             | 0                | 0                         | 0                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Light Rail | Segments   | 8          | 0             | 0                | 8                         | 8                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Bridges    | 0          | 0             | 0                | 0                         | 0                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Tunnels    | 0          | 0             | 0                | 0                         | 0                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Facilities | 2          | 2             | 1                | 0                         | 1                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bus        | Facilities | 0          | 0             | 0                | 0                         | 0                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ferry      | Facilities | 0          | 0             | 0                | 0                         | 0                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Port       | Facilities | 0          | 0             | 0                | 0                         | 0                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Airport    | Facilities | 0          | 0             | 0                | 0                         | 0                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Runways    | 0          | 0             | 0                | 0                         | 0                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### Table 6: Expected Damage to the Transportation Systems

Table 6 provides damage estimates for the transportation system.

Note: Roadway segments, railroad tracks and light rail tracks are assumed to be damaged by ground failure only. If ground failure maps are not provided, damage estimates to these components will not be computed.

Tables 7-9 provide information on the damage to the utility lifeline systems. Table 7 provides damage to the utility system facilities. Table 8 provides estimates on the number of leaks and breaks by the pipelines of the utility systems. For electric power and potable water, Hazus performs a simplified system performance analysis. Table 9 provides a summary of the system performance information.





|                  | # of Locations      |                 |               |                           |             |  |  |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| System           | Total # With at Lea |                 | With Complete | with Functionality > 50 % |             |  |  |
|                  |                     | Moderate Damage | Damage        | After Day 1               | After Day 7 |  |  |
| Potable Water    | 0                   | 0               | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |
| Waste Water      | 0                   | 0               | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |
| Natural Gas      | 0                   | 0               | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |
| Oil Systems      | 0                   | 0               | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |
| Electrical Power | 0                   | 0               | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |
| Communication    | 0                   | 0               | 0             | 0                         | 0           |  |  |

## Table 7 : Expected Utility System Facility Damage

#### Table 8 : Expected Utility System Pipeline Damage (Site Specific)

| System        | Total Pipelines<br>Length (miles) | Number of<br>Leaks | Number of<br>Breaks |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Potable Water | 145                               | 1214               | 304                 |
| Waste Water   | 87                                | 610                | 152                 |
| Natural Gas   | 58                                | 209                | 52                  |
| Oil           | 0                                 | 0                  | 0                   |

#### Table 9: Expected Potable Water and Electric Power System Performance

|                | Total # of Number of Households without S |          |          |          |           |           |
|----------------|---|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
|                | Households                                | At Day 1 | At Day 3 | At Day 7 | At Day 30 | At Day 90 |
| Potable Water  | 9.651                                     | 9,633    | 9,615    | 9,434    | 0         | 0         |
| Electric Power | 9,051                                     | 9,298    | 8,768    | 7,224    | 3,053     | 10        |





# Induced Earthquake Damage


## **Fire Following Earthquake**

Fires often occur after an earthquake. Because of the number of fires and the lack of water to fight the fires, they can often burn out of control. Hazus uses a Monte Carlo simulation model to estimate the number of ignitions and the amount of burnt area. For this scenario, the model estimates that there will be 2 ignitions that will burn about 0.01 sq. mi 0.21 % of the region's total area.) The model also estimates that the fires will displace about 88 people and burn about 10 (millions of dollars) of building value.

# **Debris Generation**

Hazus estimates the amount of debris that will be generated by the earthquake. The model breaks the debris into two general categories: a) Brick/Wood and b) Reinforced Concrete/Steel. This distinction is made because of the different types of material handling equipment required to handle the debris.

The model estimates that a total of 747,000 tons of debris will be generated. Of the total amount, Brick/Wood comprises 41.00% of the total, with the remainder being Reinforced Concrete/Steel. If the debris tonnage is converted to an estimated number of truckloads, it will require 29,880 truckloads (@25 tons/truck) to remove the debris generated by the earthquake.








# **Social Impact**

## **Shelter Requirement**

Hazus estimates the number of households that are expected to be displaced from their homes due to the earthquake and the number of displaced people that will require accommodations in temporary public shelters. The model estimates 6,362 households to be displaced due to the earthquake. Of these, 3,171 people (out of a total population of 24,729) will seek temporary shelter in public shelters.



## **Casualties**

Hazus estimates the number of people that will be injured and killed by the earthquake. The casualties are broken down into four (4) severity levels that describe the extent of the injuries. The levels are described as follows;

- · Severity Level 1: Injuries will require medical attention but hospitalization is not needed.
- · Severity Level 2: Injuries will require hospitalization but are not considered life-threatening
- Severity Level 3: Injuries will require hospitalization and can become life threatening if not promptly treated.
- · Severity Level 4: Victims are killed by the earthquake.

The casualty estimates are provided for three (3) times of day: 2:00 AM, 2:00 PM and 5:00 PM. These times represent the periods of the day that different sectors of the community are at their peak occupancy loads. The 2:00 AM estimate considers that the residential occupancy load is maximum, the 2:00 PM estimate considers that the educational, commercial and industrial sector loads are maximum and 5:00 PM represents peak commute time.

Table 10 provides a summary of the casualties estimated for this earthquake





# Table 10: Casualty Estimates

|      |                   | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
|------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 2 AM | Commercial        | 16.86   | 5.39    | 0.86    | 1.69    |
|      | Commuting         | 0.07    | 0.11    | 0.16    | 0.03    |
|      | Educational       | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
|      | Hotels            | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
|      | Industrial        | 11.29   | 3.66    | 0.59    | 1.17    |
|      | Other-Residential | 609.30  | 188.49  | 28.14   | 54.87   |
|      | Single Family     | 492.66  | 124.80  | 10.24   | 17.96   |
|      | Total             | 1,130   | 322     | 40      | 76      |
| 2 PM | Commercial        | 961.59  | 307.50  | 49.09   | 96.11   |
|      | Commuting         | 0.63    | 0.95    | 1.48    | 0.29    |
|      | Educational       | 330.74  | 108.26  | 18.12   | 35.31   |
|      | Hotels            | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
|      | Industrial        | 83.82   | 27.16   | 4.43    | 8.64    |
|      | Other-Residential | 123.08  | 38.37   | 5.97    | 11.00   |
|      | Single Family     | 99.62   | 25.34   | 2.44    | 3.67    |
|      | Total             | 1,599   | 508     | 82      | 155     |
| 5 PM | Commercial        | 683.25  | 218.65  | 35.25   | 67.83   |
|      | Commuting         | 11.51   | 17.22   | 26.81   | 5.31    |
|      | Educational       | 26.79   | 8.77    | 1.47    | 2.86    |
|      | Hotels            | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
|      | Industrial        | 52.39   | 16.97   | 2.77    | 5.40    |
|      | Other-Residential | 243.86  | 75.92   | 11.78   | 21.69   |
|      | Single Family     | 198.79  | 50.57   | 4.86    | 7.31    |
|      | Total             | 1,217   | 388     | 83      | 110     |





# **Economic Loss**

The total economic loss estimated for the earthquake is 4,070.43 (millions of dollars), which includes building and lifeline related losses based on the region's available inventory. The following three sections provide more detailed information about these losses.






### **Building-Related Losses**

The building losses are broken into two categories: direct building losses and business interruption losses. The direct building losses are the estimated costs to repair or replace the damage caused to the building and its contents. The business interruption losses are the losses associated with inability to operate a business because of the damage sustained during the earthquake. Business interruption losses also include the temporary living expenses for those people displaced from their homes because of the earthquake.

The total building-related losses were 3,964.41 (millions of dollars); 12 % of the estimated losses were related to the business interruption of the region. By far, the largest loss was sustained by the residential occupancies which made up over 67 % of the total loss. Table 11 below provides a summary of the losses associated with the building damage.



#### Table 11: Building-Related Economic Loss Estimates

(Millions of dollars)

| Category    | Area            | Single<br>Family | Other<br>Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Others   | Total      |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|----------|------------|
| Income Lo   | sses            |                  |                      |            |            |          |            |
|             | Wage            | 0.0000           | 19.8464              | 74.7390    | 1.2389     | 5.1080   | 100.9323   |
|             | Capital-Related | 0.0000           | 8.4468               | 59.3916    | 0.7066     | 1.6701   | 70.2151    |
|             | Rental          | 30.3024          | 60.8333              | 26.8087    | 0.2891     | 1.8614   | 120.0949   |
|             | Relocation      | 99.3108          | 32.4010              | 47.7704    | 1.5039     | 21.0082  | 201.9943   |
|             | Subtotal        | 129.6132         | 121.5275             | 208.7097   | 3.7385     | 29.6477  | 493.2366   |
| Capital Sto | ock Losses      |                  |                      |            |            |          |            |
|             | Structural      | 314.3637         | 91.0436              | 107.8933   | 9.4420     | 40.0885  | 562.8311   |
|             | Non_Structural  | 1082.1553        | 540.7071             | 413.4648   | 44.8928    | 153.1685 | 2,234.3885 |
|             | Content         | 244.0748         | 115.1246             | 211.0309   | 25.9841    | 69.7981  | 666.0125   |
|             | Inventory       | 0.0000           | 0.0000               | 3.5322     | 4.1516     | 0.2553   | 7.9391     |
|             | Subtotal        | 1640.5938        | 746.8753             | 735.9212   | 84.4705    | 263.3104 | 3471.1712  |
|             | Total           | 1770.21          | 868.40               | 944.63     | 88.21      | 292.96   | 3964.41    |





# **Transportation and Utility Lifeline Losses**

For the transportation and utility lifeline systems, Hazus computes the direct repair cost for each component only. There are no losses computed by Hazus for business interruption due to lifeline outages. Tables 12 & 13 provide a detailed breakdown in the expected lifeline losses.

| System     | Component  | Inventory Value | Economic Loss | Loss Ratio (%) |
|------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Highway    | Segments   | 710.2160        | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Bridges    | 114.7751        | 93.0732       | 81.09          |
|            | Tunnels    | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Subtotal   | 824.9911        | 93.0732       |                |
| Railways   | Segments   | 45.7351         | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Bridges    | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Tunnels    | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Facilities | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Subtotal   | 45.7351         | 0.0000        |                |
| Light Rail | Segments   | 23.1443         | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Bridges    | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Tunnels    | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Facilities | 5.3260          | 3.8031        | 71.41          |
|            | Subtotal   | 28.4703         | 3.8031        |                |
| Bus        | Facilities | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Subtotal   | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                |
| Ferry      | Facilities | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Subtotal   | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                |
| Port       | Facilities | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Subtotal   | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                |
| Airport    | Facilities | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Runways    | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|            | Subtotal   | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                |
|            | Total      | 899.20          | 96.88         |                |

# Table 12: Transportation System Economic Losses (Millions of dollars)





| System           | Component         | Inventory Value | Economic Loss | Loss Ratio (%) |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Potable Water    | Pipelines         | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Facilities        | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Distribution Line | 4.6718          | 5.4635        | 116.95         |
|                  | Subtotal          | 4.6718          | 5.4635        |                |
| Waste Water      | Pipelines         | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Facilities        | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Distribution Line | 2.8031          | 2.7444        | 97.91          |
|                  | Subtotal          | 2.8031          | 2.7444        |                |
| Natural Gas      | Pipelines         | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Facilities        | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Distribution Line | 1.8687          | 0.9402        | 50.31          |
|                  | Subtotal          | 1.8687          | 0.9402        |                |
| Oil Systems      | Pipelines         | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Facilities        | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Subtotal          | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                |
| Electrical Power | Facilities        | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Subtotal          | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                |
| Communication    | Facilities        | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.00           |
|                  | Subtotal          | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                |
|                  | Total             | 9.34            | 9.15          |                |

# Table 13: Utility System Economic Losses (Millions of dollars)





# Appendix A: County Listing for the Region

Middlesex,MA





# Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data

| State County Name |            |             | ng Value (millions of dollars) |       |       |
|-------------------|------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|
|                   | Population | Residential | Non-Residential                | Total |       |
| Massachusett      | 5          |             |                                |       |       |
|                   | Middlesex  | 24,729      | 3,035                          | 843   | 3,878 |
| Total Region      |            | 24,729      | 3,035                          | 843   | 3,878 |

APPENDIX C

CRB Workshop







Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning Grant and Hazard Mitigation Planning Grant Update Community Resilience Building Workshop

19 Moore St, Gallery Room in the Homer Building Monday, January 27<sup>th</sup>, 2020, 11:30AM – 7:30PM

| 11:30 am – 11:45 am | Registration - Grab Lunch                                 |
|---------------------|---|
| 11:45 am – 12:00 pm | Welcome and Introductions                                 |
| 12:00 pm – 12:15 pm | MVP Workshop Purpose                                      |
| 12:15 pm – 1:00 pm  | Overview Climate Science<br>Risk Matrix                   |
| 1:00 pm – 1:15 pm   | Large Group Exercise #1 – Identify Climate Hazards        |
| 1:15 pm – 2:00 pm   | Small Group Exercise #1- Identify Infrastructure Features |
| 2:00 pm – 2:45 pm   | Small Group Exercise #2 - Identify Societal Features      |
| 2:45 pm – 3:30 pm   | Small Group Exercise #3 - Identify Environmental Features |
| 3:30 pm – 3:45 pm   | BREAK   |
| 3:45 pm – 4:00 pm   | MVP Community Actions                                     |
| 4:00 pm – 4:45 pm   | Small Group Exercise #4- Identify Infrastructure Actions  |
| 4:45 pm – 5:30 pm   | Small Group Exercise #5- Identify Societal Actions        |
| 5:30 pm – 6:00 pm   | Small Group Exercise #6- Identify Environmental Actions   |
| 6:00 pm – 6:15 pm   | BREAK   |
| 6:15 pm – 7:15 pm   | Large Group Exercise #2- Prioritization                   |
| 7:15 pm – 7:30 pm   | Wrap-up and Closing Remarks                               |



Town of Belmont Monday, January 27<sup>th</sup>, 2020 Community Resilience Building Workshop

Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning Grant Project

Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning Grant Project

Weston & Sampson

| Michael Santoro | Michael Macrae | Michael Bourgeois | Maryann Scali | Mary Trudeau | Mark Mancuso | Kate Bowen | Juliet Jenkins | Julie Wormser | Jon Marshail | Jason Marcotte | James Maclsaac | Glenn Clancy | Fred Paulsen | Emily Sullivan | Name      |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|
|                 | N              | N                 | N             | 4            | ω            | ω          | N              | 4             |              | N              | ω              | ω            | 4            | ω              | Table     |
|                 |                |                   |               |              |              | (1263)     | J.             |               |              |                |                | ref Cuj      |              | in rin         | Signature |

Town of Belmont Monday, January 27<sup>th</sup>, 2020 Community Resilience Building Workshop Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning Grant Project

Weston & Sampson

|                |       | Wike Warner        |
|----------------|-------|--------------------|
|                |       | Justin Gould       |
|                |       | Stare Amkerton     |
| (              |       | Patrice Garvin     |
| Willin Male    | w     | Hillary Monahan    |
| Carely musculy |       | (arolyn Meklenburg |
| -              | ω     | Wesley Chin        |
|                | 23    | Wayne Haley        |
| L'Elona        | 4     | Steve Dorrance     |
| 2              | 4     | Sarah White        |
| 7              | ω     | Sam Osmancevic     |
|                | N     | Roger Wrubel       |
| 5              | ω     | Roger Fussa        |
|                | 4     | Phil Thayer        |
|                | ω     | Nicholas lannuzzi  |
|                | 4     | Nava Niv-Vogel     |
| Signature      | Table | Name               |

Town of Belmont Monday, January 27th, 2020 Community Resilience Building Workshop

Town of Belmont Monday, January 27th, 2020 Community Resilience Building Workshop

Weston & Sampson

Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning Grant Project

Town of Belmont Monday, January 27<sup>th</sup>, 2020 Community Resilience Building Workshop

ŝ

| Elizabeth Lipson | Diana Ekman | David Pinsonneault | Dave Rogers | Charlie Smart | Catherine Cagle | Ben Thivierge | 🔥<br>Ara Yogurtian | Anne-Marie Lambert | Anne Marie Mahoney | Andy Healy | Adam Dash | Name      |
|------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| -                | -1          |                    | 4           | 4             | ω               |               | N                  | _                  | 2                  | _          | ω         | Table     |
|                  |             | S                  |             |               |                 |               |                    |                    | Ann him S. Mahan   |            | S         | Signature |

Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning Grant Project

Weston & Sampson

Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning Grant Project
Weston & Sampson

| Michael Santoro | Michael Macrae | Michael Bourgeois | Maryann Scali | Mary Trudeau | Mark Mancuso | Kate Bowen | Juliet Jenkins | Julie Wormser | Jon Marshall | Jason Marcotte | James Maclsaac | Glenn Clancy | Fred Paulsen | Emily Sullivan | Name      |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|
| 1               | N              | N                 | N             | 4            | ω            | ω          | N              | 4             | -            | N              | ω              | ω            | 4            | ω              | Table     |
|                 | MXM            | L'UN S            | Manjaun Deale | Man Swelens  | 1 A 1        |            |                | July h        |              |                |                |              | Alchoulser   |                | Signature |

Town of Belmont Monday, January 27<sup>th</sup>, 2020 Community Resilience Building Workshop Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning Grant Project

Weston & Sampson

Jeff NTEPAN LINGEREN Sam Osmancevic USSIN (JEVUN) Nicholas lannuzzi atrile barn Steve Dorrance Nava Niv-Vogel Roger Wrubel Wayne Haley Wesley Chin Sarah White Roger Fussa Phil Thayer Name Table 4 6 M ω  $|_{\mathbb{N}}$ 4 4 ω ω ω 4 4 Wann rous Signature JAL Sel ζ

19

Town of Belmont Monday, January 27<sup>th</sup>, 2020 Community Resilience Building Workshop Weston & Sampson

Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning Grant Project

une lui il

Town of Belmont Monday, January 27th, 2020 Community Resilience Building Workshop



# TOWN OF BELMONT

Community Resilience Building Workshop Monday, January 27, 2019

#### OME

Amanda Kohn Lydia Kifner Deanna Lambert Alex Gaspar Justin Gould Mike Warner



ston (&) Sampson

# WELCOME PARTICIPANTS

Your name Organization/Relationship to Belmont Favorite thing about Belmont

#### WORKSHOP OUTLINE

#### - LUNCH

Weston Sampson Photo

- Overview of Science & Data
   Characterization of Hazards



Jon Marshall

Jay Marcotte

Mary Trudeau

Steve Dorrance

Wesley Chin

Wayne Haley

James Maclsaac

Glenn Clancy

Diana Ekman





# Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Program



**Carolyn Meklenburg** MVP Regional Coordinator, Greater Boston MA Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs

## Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan (SHMCAP) – September 2018



Acknowledges that climate change is already worsening natural hazards, integrating information and planning elements for 14 natural hazards that affect the Commonwealth


Uses best scientific data and projections to assess risk and vulnerability

Evaluates the Commonwealth's existing capabilities to implement agency-specific and statewide activities to reduce risk and increase resilience ••••

# GREENHOUSE GASES (GHG)

- Naturally occurring
- Act as a blanket
  Examples: carbon dioxide and methane

Climate mitigation ensures there is less to adapt to and is a key component of our community's resilience




Smithsonian Environmental Research Center. "Too Much of a Go http://forces.si.edu/atmosphere/02\_04\_07.html

# MASSACHUSETTS GHG GOALS

- Established by the Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA) of 2008
- 25% reduction in GHG emissions by 2020
- 80% reduction in GHG emissions by 2050
- 1990 is the baseline year



 • • • • FIGURE 3 | TRENDS OF GROWTH IN GSP, VMT, AND POPULATION WHILE GHG EMISSIONS ARE DECREASING AND ENERGY USE HAS BEEN STABLE




# Bill S.10:

ston (&) Sampson

An Act for Climate Change Adaptation Infrastructure Investments in the Commonwealth

- Proposed new source of revenue for loans, grants, and technical assistance to municipalities and regional partnerships for priority adaptation projects
  - Proposed deeds excise increase → est. \$137M annually (\$1B in ten years)
  - Recurring, long-term revenue stream for multi-year project feasibility





MA 2050 Decarbonization Plan

The results of that research will be published in a **2050 Roadmap report** and will inform the setting of a **2030 GHG emissions limit** and the development of the **Clean Energy and Climate Plan for 2030**.

More information and opportunities to get involved:

www.mass.gov/2050Roadmap



# MVP is a *community-led*, *accessible* process that:

#### RESILIENCE

The ability of a system and its component parts to anticipate, absorb, accommodate, or recover from the effects of a hazardous event in a timely and efficient manner.

#### = <u>MITIGATION</u>

aims to reduce the causes of climate change

### ADAPTATION


involves modifying our decisions, activities and ways of thinking to adjust to a changing climate

- Employs local knowledge and buy-in
- Utilizes partnerships and leverages existing efforts

•

- Reaches and responds to risks faced by EJ communities and vulnerable populations
- Is based in best available climate projections and data
- Incorporates principles of naturebased solutions
- Demonstrates pilot potential and is proactive





# MVP Planning Grant




## **Three Years of MVP**

MVP Designations 71% of the Commonwealth 249 communities Action Grant Projects

FY 18: 37 FY 19: 36

Total Awards \$17M+ in planning and action grants to date



# **MVP Action Grants: Project Types**

- Vulnerability and Risk Assessment
- Community Outreach and Education
- Local Bylaws, Ordinances, Plans, and Other Management Measures
- **Redesigns and Retrofits**
- Nature-Based Flood Protection, Drought Mitigation, Water Quality, and Water Infiltration Techniques
- Nature-Based, Infrastructure and Technology Solutions to Reduce Vulnerability to Extreme Heat and Poor Air Quality
- Nature-Based Solutions to Reduce Vulnerability to other Climate Change Impacts
- Ecological Restoration and Habitat Management to Increase Resiliency
- Energy ResilienceChemical Safety
- Land Acquisition for Resilience
- Subsidized Low-Income Housing
- Resilience Strategies
- Mosquito Control Districts



Example Action Grant Projects

#### Millbury



Utilizing green infrastructure like stormwater planters, bioretention bump outs, rain gardens, and other measures like porous pavers and pervious pavement to reduce heat island effects and stormwater runoff into the Blackstone River.

tion, Water Quality, and Water Infiltration Techniques



#### Example Action Grant Projects Local Bylaws, Ordinances, Plans, and Other Manageme Redesigns and Retrofits

Boston

Developing its first ever resilient building code so that development in the future floodplain is prepared for at least three feet of sea level rise, the likely scenario by late century. Retrofitting a major waterfront park into a legacy park that uses naturebased solutions to address climate vulnerabilities while providing important access to recreation for residents.






#### Example Action Grant Projects





Conducted a detailed demographic analysis of individuals who arrived in Holyoke from Puerto Rico as a result of Hurricane Maria and develop recommendations for planning for future climate change migrants in Holyoke











# **EXTREME TEMPERATURES**




....

WARMER ANNUAL AIR TEMPERATURES UP 0.5°F PER DECADE SINCE 1970, ON AVERAGE


WARMER WINTERS





With Heat Wave Coming, Belmont Light Asks Customers To Cut Energy Use

How To Beat This Heat Wave Belmont Studio Cinema and the public library are opened and airconditioned. A frame Takes from Start





....

....

....

MORE INTENSE & FREQUENT EXTREME RAIN EVENTS

PRECIPITATION DURING HEAVY EVENTS IN THE N O R T H E A S T INCREASED BY MORE THAN 703/5 BETWEEN 1958-2010



....

# CHANGES IN PRECIPITATION



# **EXTREME PRECIPITATION**

8% Increase in extreme precipitation events by midcentury 13% Increase in extreme precipitation events

by 2100

# FLOODING

| ZONE  | ANNUAL CHANCE  | FLOODPLAIN          |
|---|--|---------------------|
| A, AE, A1-A30   | 1% ANNUAL CHANCE   | 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN |
| Х   | 0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE   | 500-YEAR FLOODPLAIN |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                   | 2050, Boston could experie<br>t 100- year riverine flood ev<br>three years on average" | ery two to          |
|   |  |                     |
| arce: Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affa | irs, Adaptation Advisory Committee, 2011, "Massachusetts Climate Change Adaptation Rep | ort * 19 36         |

# **RIVERINE FLOODING**



1. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2019. "Definitions." Accessed August 29, 2019. Fe 2. Metropolitan Area Planning Council (MAPC). 2011. "Town of Belmont Hazard Milgation Plan," 14. LOCALLY IDENTIFIED AREAS OF FLOODING2

Trapelo Road @ Mill Rd. Claypit Pond Acorn Park Road—addressed!

REPETITIVE FLOOD LOSS STRUCTURES

T repetitive loss structure Defined as an NFIP-insured structure that has had at least 2 paid flood losses of more than \$1,000 each in any 10-year period since 1978.

# STORMWATER FLOODING



....

....

# Areas with:

- Poor drainage
- · High amounts of impervious surface
- Undersized culverts

#### .... **EROSION**

- · Caused by riverine flow & stormwater,
- · Increased precipitation, including winter rains, could increase erosion,
- Drier soils will reduce resistance to erosion
- The 2018 Rock Meadow Conservation Master Plan identified three actions to reduce erosion:2
  - Redesign main parking lot
  - Support trails with a crushed gravel overlay • Allow "invasive" species to grow where appropriate

2016 The most notable recent

drought event was in

The occurrence of droughts lasting 1 to 3 months could go up by as much as 75% over existing conditions by the end of the century, under the high emissions scenario

## .... **BRUSH FIRE**




12+ brush fires can occur in Belmont each year

Brush fires are relatively frequent in the western part of Town.

The areas with the highest incidences

- include:
- Mclean Open Space
   Beaver Brook Reservation
- Belmont Hill
- Rock Meadow




# HURRICANES AND EXTREME WIND

HURRICANE Sandy was the most recent hurricane

Weston Sampson Source: Climate S Article: https://bell Upward trend in North Atlantic **hurricane** activity since 1970

Nor'easters along the Atlantic coast are increasing in frequency and intensity


MEMA WEATHER ADVSORY: Fourth March nor'easter expected to bring heavy snow, high winds and more coastal flooding





# BOSTON SEA LEVEL RISE PROJECTIONS (ft)

Increased coastal flooding Permanently inundated low-lying coastal areas Increased shoreline erosion

| Emission Scenario | 2030 | 2050 | 2070 | 2100 |
|-------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Intermediate      | 0.7  | 1.4  | 2.3  | 4.0  |
| Intermediate-High | 0.8  | 1.7  | 2.9  | 5.0  |
| High              | 1.2  | 2.4  | 4.2  | 7.6  |
| Extreme           | 1.4  | 3.1  | 5.4  | 10.2 |
|                   |      |      |      |      |









## **RISK MATRIX**

....

| Community Resilience Building                     | Risk Matri: | < P4      | <b>8</b> 6 | )                    |                           | www.Commun              | ityResilienceBu         | ilding.co    | om                   |
|---|-------------|-----------|------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| -M-L priority for action over the Short or Long ( |             |           |            | Top Priority Hazards | (ternado, ficods, wildfin | e, hurricanes, earthqua | ike, drought, sea level | rise, heat w | ave, etc.)           |
| = Valserability § = Strength                      |             | -         |            |                      |                           |                         |                         | H-M-L        | Short Los<br>Orgoing |
| Features  | Location    | Ownership | V or S     |                      |                           |                         |                         |              | Weitend              |
| Infrastructural                                   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              | -                    |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
| Societal  |             |           | <u> </u>   |                      |                           |                         |                         |              | -                    |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
| Environmental                                     |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |
|   |             |           |            |                      |                           |                         |                         |              |                      |



## **RISK MATRIX: HAZARDS**

| Community Resilience Buil  | ding Risk Matrix        | - 84      | <b>n</b> () | )                           |                           | www.Commun              | ityResilienceBu         | ilding.co     | m                    |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------------|
|  |                         |           | -           | <b>Top Priority Hazards</b> | (tornado, floods, wildfin | e, hurricanes, earthqua | ike, drought, sea level | rise, heat wa | ive, etc.)           |
| M.L priority for action over the Short e<br>= Valnerability S = Strength | r Long term (and Qagoin | 63        | _           |                             |                           |                         |                         | Priority      |                      |
| eatures  | Location                | Ownership | 1           |                             |                           |                         |                         | H · M · L     | Short Lon<br>Orgoing |
| Infrastructural  | Location                | Ownersmip | V OF        |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           | _           |                             |                           |                         |                         |               | -                    |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           | _           |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
| Societal   |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
| Societal   |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               | _                    |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
| Environmental  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |
|  |                         |           |             |                             |                           |                         |                         |               |                      |



# •••• RISK MATRIX: FEATURES

| V = Valserability S = Strength |          |           |      |      |  |       | Short Lon |
|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|------|------|--|-------|-----------|
| Features                       | Location | Ownership | VorS |      |  | H-M-L | Queein    |
| Infrastructural                |          |           |      |      |  |       | -         |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       | -         |
| Societal                       |          |           |      |      |  |       | _         |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       | -         |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
| Environmental                  |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  | -     | -         |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      | <br> |  |       | -         |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       | -         |
|                                |          |           |      |      |  |       |           |

#### ....

# **RISK MATRIX: FEATURES**

| Features        | Location Ownership V |
|-----------------|----------------------|
| Infrastructural |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
| 1               |                      |
|                 |                      |
| Societal        |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
| Environmental   |                      |
|                 |                      |

....

#### **RISK MATRIX: FEATURES**

| FEATURES        | LOCATION                           | OWNERSHIP | VULNERABILITY OR<br>STRENGTH |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|
| Infrastructural | Town wide                          | State     | Vulnerability                |
| Societal        | Multi- vs. Single-<br>neighborhood | Town      | Strength                     |
| Environmental   | Specific location                  | Private   | Both                         |
|                 |                                    | Shared    |                              |



## **INFRASTRUCTURAL FEATURES**



....

- Critical Infrastructure includes: Facilities important for disaster response and evacuation.
- evacuation.

  Emergency operations centers

  Fire stations

  Water pump stations

  Facilities where additional assistance might
  be needed during an emergency.

  Nursing homes

  Eiderly housing

  Day care centers

#### .... SOCIETAL FEATURES

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2019

| Belmont   | Massachusetts   |
|-----------|---|
| 24,330    | 6,547,790   |
| 26,330    | 6,902,149   |
|           |   |
| 25%       | 20%   |
| 17%       | 17%   |
|           |   |
| \$118,370 | \$74,167  |
| 6%        | 10%   |
| 4%        | 8%  |
| 29%       | 23%   |
|           | 24,330<br>26,330<br>25%<br>17%<br>\$118,370<br>6%<br>4% |

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES**


1. Belmont Planning Bo Sustainable, Euture 1 25



....

#### Belmont's Open Space

- 2/3rd of open space is publicly-accessible
- The largest open space areas are:
- Beaver Brook Reservation (313 acres) .
- Town Conservation land at McLean (140 acres) Habitat Education Center and Wildlife Sanctuary (88 acres)
- .
- Rock Meadow Open Space (70 acres)






....

# COMMUNITY ACTIONS

....



# WET FLOODPROOFING




# RAISED BUILDINGS

FLOOD WALLS


....

....






# DEPLOYABLE FLOOD BARRIER



# PREVENTING SEWER BACKFLOW



# VEGETATED BERM



# MULTI-PURPOSE FLOOD STORAGE



# CLOUDBURST STREETS



# LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT (LID)



# POROUS ASPHALT & PERMEABLE PAVERS



# STREET TREES & TREE BOX FILTERS



# STREET TREES & TREE BOX FILTERS



# STORMWATER DETENTION & RETENTION

•



 $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$ 

CULVERT WIDENING TO IMPROVE HABITAT & FLOW




# REDUCE IMPERVIOUS AREAS

....

....

••

COOL ROOFS




# GREEN ROOFS



# COOLING CENTERS



## RENEWABLE MICRO-GRIDS



LANDSCAPE DESIGN TO ACCOMMODATE WATER



### LANDSCAPE DESIGN TO ACCOMMODATE WATER


.

.



# RAISED ROADWAYS

. .



## RETROFITTED FLOODPROOF DOORWAYS




### **RE-EVALUATE LOCAL REGULATIONS & POLICIES**

• •











# Stakeholders Invited to Attend Belmont 's Community Resilience Building Workshop

| Attend<br>?  | Name               | Title   | Affiliation  |
|--------------|--------------------|---|--|
| f<br>V       | Diana Ekman        | Assistant Director of Health  | Health Board   |
|              |                    | Department  |  |
|              | Glenn Clancy       | Community and Economic  | Community and Economic   |
|              | Jason Marcotte     | Development Director<br>DPW Director                                | Development<br>DPW   |
| v<br>√       | Jon Marshall       | Assistant Town Administrator,                                       | Parks and Recreation   |
| , ,          |                    | Parks and Recreation<br>Department                                  |  |
|              | Mary Trudeau       | Conservation Commission   | Conservation Commission  |
|              | Patrice Garvin     | Town Administrator  | Town of Belmont  |
|              | Steve Dorrance     | Facilities Director   | Facilities   |
|              | Wesley Chin        | Health Department Director  | Health Board   |
|              | Wayne Haley        | Director of Emergency<br>Management Agency,<br>Assistant Fire Chief | Fire Department, Local Emergency<br>Management Committee Chair |
|              | James Maclsaac     | Assistant Police Chief  | Police Department  |
|              | Adam Dash          | Vice Chair/Chair  | Select Board/Municipal Light Board                             |
|              | Anne-Marie Lambert | Co-Chair  | Belmont Stormwater Working<br>Group                            |
| $\checkmark$ | Ara Yogurtian      | Building Division, Assistant<br>Director                            | Building Division  |
|              | Ben Thivierge      |   | Belmont Light  |
|              | Charlie Smart      |   | Information Technology Advisory                                |
| $\checkmark$ | Fred Paulsen       | Co-Chair  | Belmont Stormwater Working<br>Group                            |
|              | Maryann Scali      | Chair   | Council on Aging   |
|              | Juliet Jenkins     | Chair   | Cultural Council   |
|              | Nicholas lannuzzi  | Chair   | Zoning Board of Appeals  |
|              | Nava Niv-Vogel     | Director  | Council on Aging   |
| $\checkmark$ | Rogelio Fussa      | Vice Chair  | Vision 21 Implementation<br>Committee                          |
|              | Anne Marie Mahoney | Chair   | Capital Budget Committee                                       |
|              | Michael Bourgeois  |   | Belmont Light Department                                       |
|              | Micahel Macrae     |   | Light Board Advisory Committee                                 |
|              | Kate Bowen         |   | School Committee   |
|              | Phil Thayer        |   | Resident   |
|              | Sam Osmancevic     |   | Belmont Light Department                                       |
|              | Hillary Monahan    |   | Massachusetts Water Resource<br>Authority                      |
|              | Anthony Ferrante   | Vice Chair  | Recreation Commission  |
|              | Andy Healy         | Director of Facilities  | McClean Hospital   |

# Stakeholders Invited to Attend Belmont 's Community Resilience Building Workshop

|             | es invitee also attended th |                           | Affiliation  |
|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Attend<br>? | Name                        | Title                     | Anniauon   |
| •           | John Phelan                 | Superintendent of Schools | Belmont Schools                                    |
|             | Belmont Food Pantry         |                           | Belmont Food Pantry                                |
|             | Elizabeth Lipson            | Co-Chair                  | Housing Trust                                      |
|             | Carl Brauer                 | Chair                     | Human Rights Commission                            |
|             | Charles Laverty, III        | Vice Chair                | Housing Authority                                  |
|             | Christopher Roy             | Manager                   | Belmont Light                                      |
|             | Dana Miller                 | Chair                     | Transportation Advisory Committee                  |
|             | David Kane                  | Chair                     | Recreation Commission                              |
|             | Donna David                 | Vice Chair                | Board of Health                                    |
|             | David Frizzell              | Fire Chief                | Fire Department                                    |
|             | Kevin Dorn                  | Chair                     | Vision 21 Implementation<br>Committee              |
|             | Frank French                | Chair                     | Water Advisory Board                               |
|             | Charles Hamann              | Chair                     | Bylaw Review Committee                             |
|             | Rachel Heller               | Co-Chair                  | Housing Trust                                      |
|             | Janet Amdur                 | Coordinator               | Youth and Family Services                          |
|             | Janet MacDonald             | Chair                     | Disability Access Commission                       |
|             | James Berets                | Vice Chair                | Information Technology Advisory                    |
|             | Demetrios Zarkadas          | Vice Chair                | Zoning Board of Appeals                            |
|             | Julie Lemay                 | Chair                     | Board of Health                                    |
|             | Jaclyn Martin               | Executive Director        | Housing Authority                                  |
|             | James Roth                  | Chair                     | Conservation Commission                            |
|             | Jeffrey Wheeler             | Senior Planner            | Housing Trust                                      |
|             | Steve Klionsky              | Chair                     | Light Board Advisory Committee                     |
|             | Laurence Macdonald          | Vice Chair                | Transportation Advisory Committee                  |
|             | Lauren Meier                | Co-Chair                  | Historic District Commission                       |
|             | Lisa Harrington             | Co-Chair                  | Historic District Commission                       |
|             | Lucia Gates                 | Chair                     | Shade Tree Committee                               |
|             | Marty Bitner                | Co-Chair                  | Energy Committee                                   |
|             | Michael Cahalane            | Vice Chair                | Council on Aging                                   |
|             | Matt Ellenberger            | Worship Leader            | St. Lukes/St. Joes New Roads<br>Catholic Community |
|             | Mark Mancuso                | Manager                   | Water Division                                     |
|             | Mark Mancuso                | Manager                   | Water Division                                     |
|             | Michael Santoro             | Highway Division Manager  | Highway Division                                   |
|             | Michael Santoro             | Manager                   | Highway Division                                   |
|             | Paul Roberts                | Chair                     | Information Technology Advisory                    |
|             | Steve Pinkerton             | Chair                     | Planning Board                                     |
|             | Peter Struzziero            | Library Director          | Belmont Public Library                             |

# Stakeholders Invited to Attend Belmont 's Community Resilience Building Workshop

|             | es invitee also attended the<br>Name     | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                | Affiliation                                  |
|-------------|--|--|--|
| Attend<br>? | Name                                     | Title  | Affiliation                                  |
| -           | Richard Nohl                             | Assistant Director   | Emergency Management Agency                  |
|             | Roger Colton                             | Co-Chair   | Energy Committee                             |
|             | Robert Upton                             | Veteran's Services Officer   | Veteran's Services                           |
|             | Susan Burgess-Cox                        | School Committee, Chair  | School Committee                             |
|             | Margaret Velie                           | Chair  | Community Preservation<br>Committee          |
|             | Thomas Caputo                            | Chair  | Select Board                                 |
|             | David Alper                              | Chair  | Youth Commission                             |
|             | Tom Walsh                                | Town Staff   | Shade Tree Committee                         |
|             | William Lovallo                          | Chair  | Belmont High School Building<br>Committee    |
|             | Donna Brescia                            | Chair  | Housing Authority                            |
|             | Fran Yuan                                | Vice Chair   | Human Rights Commission                      |
|             | Carolyn Meklenburg                       | MVP Regional Coordinator   | MVP Program                                  |
|             | Dave Rogers                              | State Representative, 24th<br>Middlesex District                     | Massachusetts House of<br>Representatives    |
|             | Julie Wormser                            | Climate Director   | Mystic River Watershed                       |
|             | Roger Wrubel                             | Director   | Mass Audubon Habitat Education<br>Center     |
|             | Eric Worrall                             | Northeast Regional Director  | MA Department of Environmental<br>Protection |
|             | Jim Gammill                              | Chairperson  | Minuteman District School<br>Committee       |
|             | Marilyn Petitto Devaney                  | Governor's Councilor, 3rd<br>Councilor District, Middlesex<br>County | MA Governor's Council                        |
|             |  | Martin Pillsbury   | Environmental Planning Director              |
|             | Patrick Herron                           | Director   | Mystic River Watershed                       |
|             | Priscilla Geigis or Dan<br>Driscoll      | Deputy Commissioner for<br>Conservation and Resource<br>Stewardship  | DCR  |
|             | Sarah White                              | Hazard Mitigation Unit<br>Supervisor                                 | MEMA   |
|             | Stephen Estes-<br>Smargiassi             | Director of Planning and Sustainability                              | MWRA   |
|             | William Brownsberger                     | State Senator, 2nd Suffolk & Middlesex District                      | Massachusetts Senate                         |
|             | Katherine Clark                          | Congresswoman, 5th<br>Congressional District                         | US House of Representatives                  |
|             | Watertown-Belmont<br>Chamber of Commerce |  | Watertown - Belmont Chamber of Commerce      |
|             | National Grid                            |  | Utilities - National Grid                    |
|             | David J Pinsonneault                     | Town of Lexington  | Director of Public Works                     |
|             | Emily Sullivan                           | Town of Arlington  | Conservation Agent                           |

# Stakeholders Invited to Attend Belmont 's Community Resilience Building Workshop $\sqrt{}$ indicates invitee also attended the Workshop

| Attend<br>? | Name               | Title             | Affiliation          |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|             | Adam Chapdelaine   | Town of Arlington | Town Manager         |
|             | Catherine Cagle    | City of Waltham   | Director of Planning |
|             | Louis A DePasquale | City of Cambridge | City Manager         |
|             | James J. Malloy    | Town of Lexington | Town Manager         |
|             | City of Cambridge  | other             | City of Cambridge    |
|             | City of Waltham    | other             | City of Waltham      |
|             | Town of Lexington  | other             | Town of Lexington    |

| Community Resilience Building R   | isk Matri  | (                   | 4 Q    | )  |  | www.Community   | yResilienceBuildir   | 1g.org                |                        |
|---|--|---------------------|--------|--|--|---|--|-----------------------|------------------------|
|   |  |                     |        | Top Priority Hazards (   | tornado, floods, wildfire,   | hurricanes, earthquake, dr  | ought, sea level rise, heat  |                       |                        |
| H- <b>M-L</b> priority for action over the <u>S</u> hort or <u>L</u> ong to<br><u>V</u> = Vulnerability <u>S</u> = Strength | erm (and <u>O</u> ngo  | ing)                |        | Extreme Temperatures   | Wind   | Extreme Precipitation<br>and Snow   | Drought  | Priority<br>H · M · L | Time<br>Short Lon      |
| Features  | Location   | Ownership           | V or S |  |  |   |  |                       | <u><b>Q</b></u> ngoing |
| Infrastructural   |  |                     |        |  |  |   |  |                       |                        |
| Electric infrastructure   | Town   | Eversource/<br>Town | v      | Evaluate communication<br>strategies for usage<br>reductions.  | Selective removal of street<br>trees. Put lines underground<br>with road projects. | study impacts or long-term<br>outages. Explore alternative<br>supplies/redundancies with<br>Eversource. Microgrid<br>solutions. Coordinate with<br>Ems for medically sensitive                |  | м                     | 0/S                    |
| Stormwater infrastructure and drainage  | Town   | Town                | v      | Encourage private parties to<br>adopt green solutions for<br>power and stormwater.   |  | Study and model stormwater<br>in Clay Pit area. Upgrade sizes.<br>Feasibility study of Little<br>River restoration. Strengthen<br>stormwater bylaw. Create<br>swales/storage areas.           |  | н                     | S/L                    |
| Roads (All)   | Trapelo,<br>Concord, Rte 2,<br>Pleasant,<br>Leanard,<br>Prospect | Town/State          | v/s    |  | Trimming trees.  | Capacity analysis for culverts<br>at Spy Pond, Clay Pit to Blair,<br>and Tripelo Rd. More storage<br>areas in clay-lined areas like<br>Wellington by Pequosette<br>Park and Winns by Clafton. |  | L                     | L                      |
| Crit Facilities   | Beech St, Elem<br>school, Chenery<br>school                      | Town                | v/s    | Partner with Star Market<br>during emergencies.  |  | More generators and<br>microgrids. Public survey for<br>what resources would be<br>wanted and when. Public<br>engagement/outreach for<br>emergency services. Backup                           |  | н                     | 0/L                    |
| Multiple large private buildings  | Mclane, royal<br>belmont,<br>belmont hill,<br>churches           | Private             | s      |  | ystems for new development. C<br>age-specific cooling ar                           | oordinate for cooling/heating ce<br>eas like sprinkler parks.   | enters. Partner and educate for  | м                     | 0/S                    |
| Commuter rail/buses/bike path   | Town   | MBTA/private        | s      | Upgrade 1 stations to be ADA<br>accessible. Make more<br>accessible to bikers. Partner<br>with MBTA to create<br>commuting tourism base in<br>town. Evaluate bus<br>shelters/stops for emergency |  | Partner with MBTA to create<br>commuting tourism base in<br>town. Alexander Ave<br>pedestrian path - make sure<br>properly designed for climate<br>change.                                    |  | M/H                   | 0/L                    |
| Societal  |  |                     |        | and also   |  |   |  |                       |                        |
| Neighborhood/worship communities  | Town   | Private             | s      | Educate groups and town on<br>resources and needs of both<br>groups and town.  |  | Partnerships with town and<br>groups for engagment and<br>outlreach for emergencies,<br>supplemental shelters (Ex.<br>Saint [oseph's]   |  | L                     | 0                      |
| Well-connected residents  | Town   | Private             | s      |  |  |   |  | L                     | 0                      |
| Housing authority   | Town   | Town                | V/S    | communication needs.   |  | Recognize clean-up after<br>events and identify solutions.  |  | м                     | 0/S                    |
| Elderly population  | Town   |                     | v      |  | ng-in, home health care. Reverse   | 911, educate population on opt  | -in. for cell phones.  | н                     | 0                      |
| Socially isolated populations (disabled, language barriers,<br>isolated, homeless, climate refugees)                        | Town   |                     | v      | Fund shelters with<br>showers/clothing swap for<br>homeless/low income.<br>Cooperate with<br>churches/private<br>centers/Underwood Pool,<br>Hockey Rink. Database for                            |  | Regional partnership with<br>Cambridge for water storage.   |  | H/M                   | s                      |
| Regional partnerships (surrounding towns, Mystic River<br>Watershed Assoc.)   |  |                     | S/V    |  |  | Feasibility study for pumping<br>clay pit pond, partner with<br>Cambridge   |  | н                     | L                      |
| Environmental   |  |                     |        |  |  |   |  |                       |                        |
| Environmental   | Town   | Town                | v      |  |  | Depave large parking lots (Ex.<br>Saint Joseph's), increase<br>number of swales, LID. Repair<br>seage pipes. Inventory septic<br>systems. Incentives for<br>private partnerships.             |  | M/H                   | 0/S                    |
| Green space (including Belmont acres)/street trees/dense<br>areas with no parks   |  | Town/private        | s/v    | Plant trees along travel lanes,<br>dense areas, and streams.   | Replant with more resilient<br>plantings.  | particular  | Purchase off-road equipment<br>for FD for fire fighting,   | н                     | L                      |
| Streams (flashy, erosion)   | Beaver Brook,<br>Wellington,<br>Winns Brook                      |                     | v      | Plant trees along streams.   |  | Daylight streams to expand<br>capacity.   | Pass wetlands bylaw.   | м                     | L                      |
| Wildlife  |  |                     | V/S    | Wildlife-favored tree<br>plantings, river side. Become<br>Natural Wildlife Habitat<br>Certified and Audobon<br>Certified as a town.  |  |   | Partnerships with town and<br>regional vets for sick wildlife.<br>Identify wildlife<br>rehabilitators. Plantings<br>which provide food for | м                     | S/L                    |
| Little industrial activity  | Purecoat North   | Private             | V/S    |  |  | Keep out of flood zones, make<br>easily accessible.   |  | м                     | 0                      |
| Pests (invasives, rats, mosquitos, ticks)   |  |                     |        | Public engagement/education  |  |   |  | н                     | 0                      |

| Community Resilience Building I  | Risk Matrix                     |                      |        | )   |  | www.CommunityResili   | enceBuilding.org  |           |   |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------|---|--|---|---|-----------|---|
| H-M-L priority for action over the <u>S</u> hort or Long to                  | erm (and <u>O</u> ngoir         | ng)                  |        | Top Priority Hazards (tornado, fl   | loods, wildfire, hurricanes, earthquake  | e, drought, sea level rise, heat wave   | , etc.)   | Priority  | Time  |
| $\underline{\mathbf{V}}$ = Vulnerability $\underline{\mathbf{S}}$ = Strength |                                 | -                    |        | Extreme Temps   | Wind (all-encompassing)  | Extreme Precipitation and Snow<br>Storms  | Drought   | H - M - L | <u>S</u> hort <u>L</u> ong<br><u>O</u> ngoing |
| Features   | Location                        | Ownership            | V or S |   |  |   |   |           | _ 0* 0  |
| Infrastructural  |                                 |                      |        | Build sidewalks in Town and maintain  |  |   |   |           |   |
| Public Transportation and Roads  | Town-wide                       | State, Town,<br>MBTA | v      | them. Require residents to clean them.<br>Use permeable pavement in sidewalks<br>to increase infiltration and decrease ice<br>on road.  | Increase hazard tree maintenance and funding for tree maintenance contractor   | Educate public on staying home, not<br>driving, not salting sidewalks in<br>snowstorms                    | Build sidewalks in Town and maintain<br>them. Require residents to clean them.<br>Use permeable pavement in sidewalks<br>to increase infiltration | Н         | 0   |
| Electric Infrastructure  | Town-wide                       | Town                 | В      | Educate public on time of electric use<br>during heat waved. Investigate<br>automated timers to prevent brown-<br>outs  | Create new regulations requiring new<br>developments to have underground<br>utlities   | Continue Heatsmart Program and<br>rebates for heat pumps and efficient<br>appliances                      |   | Н         | 0   |
| Stormwater/Sewer Infrastucture   | Town-wide                       | Town                 | v      |   |  | Funding for sewer lining program,<br>increase catch basin cleaning and<br>street sweeping to twice a year | Money for maintence and upkeep of system  | Н         | 0   |
| Drinking Water System Infrastructure   | Town-wide                       | Town                 | В      |   |  |   | Consider implementing Town<br>mandated water ban  | L         | 0   |
| Emergency Shelters/Senior Center 🛛 🔵   | Middle School,<br>Senior Center | Town                 | S      | Install battery storage system for<br>library power. Energy redundancy  | Analysis of feasibility of solar backup on<br>senior center. Energy redundancy   | station for redundancy  |   | Н         | S/0   |
| Town-wide Emergency Communication  | Town-wide                       | Town, various        | В      | More education on   | reverse 911 system, have people sign up a  | at any public office.   |   | Н         | S/0   |
| Incinerator Site   | Specific                        | Town                 | v      |   |  | Put cap on incinerator site to prevent<br>water from getting into the<br>contaminated soil                |   | Н         | 0   |
|  |                                 |                      |        | L   | I  | I   | L L   |           |   |
| Seniors  | Town-wide                       | N/A                  | v      | Information on hazards distribute   | d to seniors. Wellness checks implemente   | d during hazards. Emergency transport   | ation provided. Outreach on illness   | Н         | 0   |
| Youth 0-5yrs old   | Town-wide                       | N/A                  | v      | Outreach on illness   | Information sessions on emergency<br>Department, and Police at the library<br>brochures to hand out. Target places in                  | , recreation department, pool. Make   |   | Н         | 0   |
| Non-English Speakers   | Town-wide                       | N/A                  | v      | Outreach on illness   | Information sessions on emergency<br>Department, and Police at the library, r<br>American organization. Make brochures<br>this communi | ecreation department, pool, Chinese-<br>to hand out. Target places in Town that                           |   | Н         | 0   |
| High Education Level in Town   | Town-wide                       | N/A                  | В      | Create a database of people in Town<br>and their expertise so the Town can<br>use their knowledge   | Education about volunteering   | Citizens Emergency Response Team-<br>recruit new people from various<br>backgrounds                       |   | М         | 0   |
| Disabled Population  | Town-wide                       | N/A                  | v      | Outreach on illness   | Information sessions on emergency<br>Department, and Police at the library<br>brochures to hand out. Target places in                  | , recreation department, pool. Make   |   | Н         | 0   |
| Multiple Business Centers  | Town-wide<br>(3+)               | N/A                  | s      |   | Become public information centers. Beco  | me walkable resources during a hazard   |   | М         | 0   |
|  |                                 |                      |        |   |  |   |   |           |   |
| Streams, Ponds, Wetlands   | Town-wide                       | Town                 | В      | Implement a Belmont Wetlands Bylaw  | Conduct study to see how these features and rec  |   | gardens in Town to increase infiltration  | М         | 0   |
| Open Space   | Town-wide                       | Town/Private         | В      |   |  |   | Purchase Side-by-Side UTV with water tank to fight brush fires  | М         | S/0   |
| Trees  | Town-wide                       | Town/Private         | В      |   | Increased f  | unding for hazard tree removal and tree   | e trimming  | Н         | 0   |
| Air Quality  | Town-wide                       | N/A                  | v      | Information dissipation to the public<br>about air quality and earth. Increase<br>public transportation to decrease the<br>amount of cars on the roads. Complete<br>the bike path through Belmont |  |   |   | Н         | 0   |
| Pests (rodents, coyotes, ticks, geese, mosquitos)                            | Town-wide                       | N/A                  | v      | Town use BT to treat mosquitos in<br>ponds. Install signage in recreation<br>areas about ticks and mosquitos  |  |   |   | Н         | 0   |
| Recreation Space   | Town-wide                       | Town                 | S      |   |  |   | Maintain well irrigation system for<br>fields   | М         | 0   |

| Community Resilience Building R   | isk Matrix  | K T                       |  | •)  |   | www.Communit  | yResilienceBuildir                                   | ng.org                         |                                     |
|---|---|---------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|   |   |                           |  | Top Priority Hazards (                      | tornado, floods, wildfire, hu   | urricanes, earthquake, drou   | ught, sea level rise, heat wa                        | ve, etc.)                      |                                     |
| <u>H-M-L</u> priority for action over the <u>Short or L</u> ong ter<br>V = Vulnerability S = Strength | m (and <u>O</u> ngoir                                   | ig)                       |  |   |   | EXTREME   |  | Priority                       | Time                                |
|   | r   | -                         | 1  | WIND  | EXTREME TEMPS   | PRECIPITATION (EP)  | DROUGHT  | <u>H</u> - <u>M</u> - <u>L</u> | <u>Short</u> Long<br><u>Ongoing</u> |
| Features  | Location  | Ownership                 | V or S                                     |   |   |   |  |                                | <u>o</u> gog                        |
| Infrastructural   |   |                           | Î  |   |   | Elevate mechanical systems  | All implement building                               |                                | 1                                   |
| municipal buildings 🥚 😑 😑   | Townwide  | Town                      | В  | fortify roofing tiles and HVAC<br>systems   | Window weatherization   | and evaluate Butler for   | plan with climate resilience<br>measures Library and | М                              | 0                                   |
| electric utilities 🥚 😑 😑  | Belmont Center<br>is underground,<br>otherwise          | Belmont Light             | В  |   |   | anned, which experiences flooding (in year 3 of 4); 2/3 of wire is underground and<br>ing where possible; tree trimming and maintenance |  | Н                              | 0                                   |
| water utilities   | Townwide/Qua<br>bbin                                    | Town/MWRA                 | infrastru<br>cture is<br>fairly<br>Redunda |   |   |   |  |                                |                                     |
| transportation (roadways, MBTA, walking, biking)  | Townwide  | Town,<br>MassDOT,<br>MRTA | Redunda<br>ncy and<br>capacity -           |   | ortunities exist on municipal proper<br>d Hickory (private), Belmont Street                   |   | onsite and on roadways; upgrade                      | Н                              | 0                                   |
| stormwater (beaver brook culvert)   | Townwide  | Town                      | v  | ^^  |   |   |  | Н                              | S/0                                 |
| sewer (1/l impacts and 3 pump stations)   | Townwide  | Town                      | v  | Disconnect sump pumps and                   | l other illicit connections that  | pollute during EP. Evaluatior   | n system of the impact of EP.                        | Н                              | 0                                   |
| Societal  |   |                           |  |   |   |   |  |                                |                                     |
| Senior, including Belmont Manor   | Townwide  | -                         | v  |   |   | (vans) for getting people to sh<br>hergency Response Plan that o<br>abase of people   |  | М                              | 0/L                                 |
| Housing Authority and Housing Trust Properties  | Multiple<br>locations                                   | Quasi-<br>governmental    | В  | <ul> <li>Develop a comprehensive</li> </ul> | Upgrade and address public<br>temps and mold  | health threats like extreme   |  | М                              | 0/L                                 |
| Limited English Speakers  | Townwide/resi<br>dential<br>neighborhoods               | -                         | Network-<br>S;                             | outreach strategy to                        | Need additional funds for<br>translation  |   |  | М                              | 0/L                                 |
| Youth (schools, pre-k, focus on the population)   | Townwide  | Town/private              | New<br>school- S;                          |   | More cooling in schools   | Reexamine shelter capacity<br>as part of the update to the<br>Emergency Response Plan   |  | М                              | 0/L                                 |
| People who are disabled   | Townwide  | -                         | v  |   | Develop partnerships to<br>ensure essential services  |   |  | М                              | 0/L                                 |
| Low-income households   | Townwide  | -                         | v  |   | are prepared for hazards  |   |  | М                              | 0/L                                 |
| Environmental   |   |                           |  |   |   |   |  |                                |                                     |
| tree (facing an aging tree population)  | Townwide  | public and<br>private     | В  |   | planting and management pla<br>s and conflict with overhead u<br>ns.                          |   |  | Н                              | 0                                   |
| stormwater quality  | Townwide  | Town                      | v  |   | icide/insecticide control, educ   | cation on impact on stormwa   | ter; incentivize/require best                        | Н                              | 0                                   |
| air quality   | Townwide  | -                         | v  | Continue heat pump program                  | n, install EV charging stations   | ,   |  | М                              | 0                                   |
| access to open space  | Some areas of<br>town have better<br>access than others | public and<br>private     | S-<br>mainly,                              | Develop a transportation/o                  |   | ccess; Look at developing a co<br>ve Park   | mplete street with bike lanes                        | L                              | 0                                   |
| vector born diseases (mosquitos and rats)   | Townwide  | -                         | v  |   | Increase work of Mosquito<br>Control Collaborative (education,<br>treatment, bat/bird houses) |   |  | Н                              | 0                                   |
| gas lines   | Townwide  | National Grid             | v  |   |   | Study on feasibility of<br>reducing gas lines and the   |  | L                              | L                                   |

| Community Resilience Building R   | ick Matrix              | ,              |        | 3  |   | www.Community  | ResilienceBuildi             | ng.org                  |  |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|--------|--|---|--|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| community resilience dulluing R   | isk matrix              |                |        | · · · · · · · · · ·  |   |  |                              | 0 0                     |  |
| II M I and a the formation around a Chart of I and the  |                         | -)             |        | Top Priority Hazards (torna  | ado, floods, wildfire, hurri  | canes, earthquake, drought   | :, sea level rise, heat wave | -                       | -  |
| <u>H</u> - <u>M</u> - <u>L</u> priority for action over the <u>S</u> hort or <u>L</u> ong ter<br><u>V</u> = Vulnerability <u>S</u> = Strength | m (and <u>O</u> ngoin   | gj             |        | <b>D</b> . <b>m</b> .  | Wind (all   | Extreme Precipitation  | <b>D</b>                     | Priority                | Time   |
| Features  | Location                | Ownership      | V or S | Extreme Temperatures   | encompassing)   | (including snow)   | Drought                      | <u>н</u> - <u>н</u> - г | <u>Short</u> <u>L</u> ong<br><u>O</u> ngoing |
| Infrastructural   |                         | P              |        |  |   |  |                              | 1                       | 1  |
| Roadways  | Town-Wide               | Town/State     | v      | Study t  | o identify vulnerable roadways  | and stormwater flooding solutio  | ns                           | Н                       | 0  |
| Data Centers  | Library, Homer          | Town           | V/S    |  | Install HVAC and re<br>-Increase dat  |  |                              | Н                       | s  |
| Little Pond/Clay Pond/Mill Pond   |                         | DCR            | v      | pollution reduction program<br>accelerating the I&I program in<br>town   |   | Overflow Protection  |                              | Н                       | 0  |
| Impervious Areas  | Town-Wide               | Private/Public | v      |  | stormwater utility fee o  | r building permit fee  |                              | L                       | s  |
| Stormwater Management System  | Town-Wide               | Town/State     | V/S    |  | **See roadways and imper  | vious areas solutions**  |                              | Н                       | 0  |
| Emergency Shelters  | Chenery                 | Town           | V/S    |  | assessment of town wide ne  | henery-including emergency g<br>red for emergency shelter<br>f Belmont Manor Nursing Hon |                              | М                       | L  |
| Societal  |                         |                |        |  |   |  |                              | 1                       | 1  |
| Disabled/Elderly  | Town-Wide               |                | V/S    | increase cooling centers-outreach to homebound disabled/elderly<br>transportation to cooling centers<br>update elderly emergency preparedness plan |   | ly   | М                            | S                       |  |
| Non-English Speaking  | Town-Wide               |                | v      |  | translator in p<br>emergency notification   |  |                              | L                       | s  |
| Low Income/Homeless   | Waverly, Town<br>Meadow |                | v      |  | police patrols to alert of inc<br>need for soci                                       |  |                              | L                       | 0  |
| Pets  | Town-Wide               |                | v      |  | database of location<br>allocation of pet   |  |                              | L/M                     | S  |
| Youth/Teens   | Town-Wide               |                | V/S    | making the   | em aware of volunteer oppor<br>training for emerger                                   | tunities/creating new opportu<br>ncy preparedness  | inities                      | М                       | 0  |
|   |                         |                |        |  |   |  |                              |                         |  |
| Environmental   |                         |                |        |  |   |  |                              |                         |  |
| Town/Private Trees  | Town-Wide               | Town, Private  | V/S    | ident  | tify/evaluate town tree planti<br>general maii<br>identify prun<br>educate private pr | ing needs  | S                            | Н                       | S/0  |
| Rats/Ticks  | Town-Wide               | Town, Private  | v      |  | trash pi<br>compost regulations/<br>increase public awa                               | collection program   |                              | L                       | 0  |
| Wetlands  | Town-Wide               | Town           | V/S    |  | increase/prese<br>stream day  | rve wetlands<br>rlighting  |                              | М                       | L  |
| Birds/Insects   | Town-Wide               | Town           | V/S    |  | encourage be<br>increase b<br>public edu  | nabitat  |                              | Н                       | 0  |
| Solar Energy  | Town-Wide               | Residential    | V/S    |  | study to determine what are   | as of town are best suited   |                              | М                       | L  |
| Vector-Borne Diseases   | Town-Wide               |                | v      |  | mosquito cont<br>maintain relationships   |  |                              | М                       | 0  |

# Moderate Priorities from the Community Resilience Building Workshop

The following actions were identified during the CRB workshop but were not included in the 2020 implementation road map (Chapter 7) that identifies the department with the primary responsibility for implementing the action, projected cost, and potential funding sources. However, they are still important actions that the Town may want to pursue and are captured here for future reference. Some actions may have been considered moderate because they are under the jurisdiction of a state agency, cost, feasibility, overall benefit to the broad community, or a variety of other factors.

- Strengthen the stormwater bylaw
- Develop regulations on pesticide and insecticide control, and educate the public on their impacts to the stormwater system
- Evaluate the effect extreme precipitation events have on the sewer system and disconnect sump pumps and other illicit connections that pollute during these events
- Improve the resiliency of the Town's water bodies by investigating pollution reduction
- Implementing a public survey to prioritize the critical facilities that should receive backup power resources.
- Install a battery storage system for the public library's power system to increase energy redundancy
- Evaluate communication strategies for usage reductions
- Investigate automated timers to prevent brown outs
- Study the impacts of long-term power outages on Belmont
- Continue with the de-commissioning of Station 1, which is subject to flooding
- Educate vulnerable populations on extreme heat related illness and available cooling centers
- Secure additional funding to provide translation to non-English speakers during emergencies
- Develop partnerships with private organizations to ensure essential services such as grocery stores and hospitals are prepared for hazards
- Distribute information about air quality and health to the general public
- Upgrade the MBTA stations to be ADA accessible
- Evaluate alternative transportation's resiliency to natural hazards and climate change
- Partner with MBTA to create commuting tourism base in Town
- Install electric vehicle charging stations in Belmont
- Evaluate upgrades needed to large private buildings so they can be used as cooling and heating centers, including age specific cooling areas like sprinkler parks
- Study the Housing Authority's preparedness for hazard events and identify resources needed
- Become Natural Wildlife Certified and Audubon Certified community. Increase wildlife friendly vegetation plantings and partner with regional veterinarians to rehabilitate sick wildlife. Encourage habitat protection and public education on beneficial wildlife for green spaces, such as birds, insects, and bees.
- The Town's multiple business centers should become public information centers and walkable resources in the event of a natural hazard

- Utilize the high education level in Town by encouraging volunteering and recruiting new Citizens Emergency Response Team members. Create a database of different fields of expertise so the knowledge can be used.
- Engage youth and teenagers in training for emergency preparedness and involve them in volunteer organizations
- Keep industrial activity out of flood zones
- Maintain well irrigation system for recreation fields
- Perform a study on which locations in Town are best suited to house solar energy
- Study the feasibility of reducing gas demand and gas infrastructure in Town
- Encouraging private parties to adopt green solutions for power and stormwater
- Develop an open space and transportation plan that examines access to open space in Belmont
- Increase data storage of data centers
- Implement using police patrols to alert certain areas of incoming extreme weather
- Create a database of pet-friendly emergency spaces
- Implement compost regulations and a curbside compost pick up program. Increase public awareness of trash pick up guidelines to reduce pests
- Investigate a regional partnership with Cambridge for water storage
- Consider implementing Town-mandated outdoor water use restrictions during times of drought

## APPENDIX D

Public Engagement





# WHAT DOES RESILIENCE LOOK LIKE IN BELMONT?

Bring your ideas and passion for Belmont and join us online at: tinyurl.com/MVPBelmont

# Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Planning



## HOW TO JOIN

Go to tinyurl.com/MVPBelmont to join the Zoom Meeting! If you haven't used Zoom previously, we recommend logging on early to download the web application. If you have barriers to joining the event, questions, or concerns - please let us know. We will join the meeting fifteen minutes early to help troubleshoot technical issues. A video recording will be available following the event.

Glenn Clancy, PE (617) 993-2650, gclancy@belmont-ma.gov ONLINE PRESENTATION + DISCUSSION

Wednesday, APR 22

6:30-8:00PM





Hazard Mitigation and Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Plan Listening Session

April 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2020 6:30-8:00

In keeping with Governor Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020; "Order Suspending Certain Provisions of the Open Meeting Law" – All Participation for Town Residents will be through an online meeting platform. The meeting will be recorded and posted online for those unable to attend the meeting virtually. We are encouraging all residents to join the meeting through Zoom rather than through the phone. By joining online, you will be able to view the presentation and provide input. We apologize in advance for any technology issues. We will join the meeting fifteen minutes early to try to help resolve any issues. Please email or call Jon Marshall, <u>jmarshall@belmont-ma.gov</u>, if you have other barriers to participation.

To join via computer or smartphone:

- Go to tinyurl.com/BelmontMVP
- Follow on-screen instructions
- Enter your full name under participant

Questions and comments will be accepted through the chat feature. If you would like to verbally ask a question, please message the moderator who will then call on you by name.

### Agenda

| Introductions   | 5 minutes  |
|---|------------|
| Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) Program Overview | 10 minutes |
| Climate Change in Belmont                                   | 15 minutes |
| Strengths in Belmont  | 15 minutes |
| Vulnerabilities in Belmont                                  | 15 minutes |
| Summary of Existing Mitigation Measures in Belmont          | 10 minutes |
| Priority Action Items in Belmont                            | 15 minutes |
| Wrap-up   | 5 minutes  |



Attendance – at least 27 people, plus viewers on Belmont Media

Questions:

- What have you learned re: COVID that might apply to climate preparedness?
  - We are still learning and hope to document our lessons learned.
- Are the reports you referenced easily available on the same url?
  - The report will be available in mid-June. The Town's previous report is available online.
- Are urban heat islands included?
  - Although not a major focus of the plan, heat was discussed.
- What kinds of green parks are done with the MVP program?
  - Increasing tree canopy, creating urban heat island refuges (splash pads), and incorporating low impact development stormwater controls.
- While we did raise the ground floor of the new Middle and High School project, the potential for Clay Pit Pond to flood continues to exist. Within what was listed in the presentation, are mitigation measures for Clay Pit Pond flooding being studied?
  - Yes, the cost-benefit analysis for areas that may experience flooding priority action item.
- Can you say more about the cost benefit analysis?
  - Several places in Town have been identified as areas with potential flooding. This analysis would examine the solutions for each of these areas and determine what solution is best for each location.
- What about islandable street lights? and traffic lights?
  - Solar energy resilience is fundable though the MVP program.
- Just a comment--it seems like Belmont is starting from a place of a lot of strengths, both in terms of what the town has done already, and its geographical location.
- You mention as an infrastructure strength the bike path? Is that the proposed community path yet to be built or an existing bike path?
  - The priority action item relates to expanding the bike path to Cambridge. The Infrastructure strength would be the existing paths in Belmont.
- Can you relay how the state review the priorities of the applicants? I.e., are there certain areas they are more interested in funding than others?
  - Nature-based solutions, regional projects, project that provide multiple co-benefits and projections, and have a robust community engagement approach.
- What would be a solution for transit to emergency shelters?
  - Creating a plan to use vehicles that would no longer be in use, such as town-owned vans or school buses.
- Do you anticipate that the state will still be able to fund the program beyond this cycle? Is there any talk of more money going in or out?
  - Yes, this is a priority of the current administration.
- Is funding available for weatherizing buildings in order to be more appropriately used in heat events?
  - Project like this would possibly be funded through the MVP program, but with the current scoring criteria nature-based climate adaptation solutions are prioritized. The Green Communities program may be a better fit for this type of work.

Weston(&)Sampson

- Any stand-out communities?
  - Millbury is an example of a community that leveraged federal grant funding and in-kind match to secure \$1 million in funding for green infrastructure projects in their downtown.
  - Here is the press release that lists the towns, amount, and project titles that were most recently awarded earlier this year: https://www.mass.gov/news/baker-politoadministration-awards-116-million-in-climate-change-funding-to-cities-and-towns
- What is the total \$ amt of grant \$ available to Belmont ?
  - \$2 million dollars per grant cycle and \$5 million for a regional grant.
- How would a shared project like the Trapelo Culvert, shared with Waltham be divided financially, is it considered regional?
  - Regional projects financial match is determined by the communities. There would be one "champion" community, but the match funding could come from either community or both. Private foundations have also funded regional projects in the past.
- Will the State be more flexible in grant timing because of the pandemic (e.g., does this year's grant need to be completed by June 30th?
  - The project will need to be completed by June 30<sup>th</sup>. There is discussion about potential extensions, but Belmont is in a good place to finish on time.
- Could you review the next steps again?
  - The report will be available in May for a public comment review. Please take the follow up survey at: <u>https://tinyurl.com/BelmontMVPSurvey</u>. The project will be finished by June 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020.
- Where is that recording?
  - o <u>https://www.belmontmedia.org/watch/mvp-listening-session-04222020</u>





# TOWN OF BELMONT

Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Planning April 2020

# WEBINAR LOGISTICS





RECORDING



SURVEY

## AGENDA

on & S

# PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION:

- Overview of Climate
   Change
- Strengths and Vulnerabilities
- Priority Action Items
- Next Steps



# **MVP PROVIDES OPPORTUNITIES**

- Improved resilience and preparedness of natural and climate-driven hazards
- Collaboration with stakeholders about climate change, natural hazards and impacts
- Increased education
- Planning, and implementation of priority actions
- Funding for resilience actions as well as efforts that are not exclusively resilience based (i.e. improved parks, infrastructure)

leston (&) Sampsor

# MVP COMPONENTS

#### PHASE 1 - PLANNING

- Receive Planning Grant
- Prioritize Action Items
- Complete MVP Program
- Submit Final Report for Approval
- Become Certified

eston (&) Sampson

#### **PHASE 2 - ACTION**

- Select an Action Item from Planning
   Phase
- Apply for Action Grant Funding
- Up to \$2 million for planning, design, permitting, construction
- 25% grant match in cash or in-kind
  \$10-15 million available

# MVP ACTION GRANTS PROJECT TYPES

# Vulnerability and Risk Assessment


- Community Outreach and Education
   Local Bylaws, Ordinances, Plans, and Other Management Measures
- Mosquito Control Districts


#### Redesigns and Retrofits

- Stormwater and Infrastructure Upgrades
   Subsidized Low-Income Housing
   Resilience Strategies
- Chemical Safety
- Energy Resilience

#### Nature-Based Solutions

- Land Acquisition for Resilience
   Ecological Postoration and Habit
- Ecological Restoration and Habitat Management to Increase Resiliency
   Flood Protection, Drought Mitigation, Water Quality, and Water Infiltration Techniques
- Infrastructure and Technology to Extreme Head and Poor Air Quality
- Reduce Vulnerability to other Climate





# TODAY'S SUMMARY

#### Focus on 4 Hazards

Identify:

- Vulnerabilities
- StrengthsPriority Action Items

Across 3 Categories

- Infrastructure
- Societal


....

Environmental



## **TOP HAZARDS IN BELMONT**

....




# EXTREME TEMPERATURES



WARMER WINTERS









....

MORE INTENSE & FREQUENT EXTREME RAIN EVENTS



# EXTREME PRECIPITATION




# FLOODING




New walkway and drainage improvements near the Belmond Center Commuter Rail on Concord Ave. Photo credit: Town of Belmont, 2018, via Twitter.



A portion of the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) for Belmont





#### Increased coastal flooding Permanently inundated low-lying coastal areas Increased shoreline erosion

| Emission Scenario | 2030 | 2050 | 2070                      | 2100                       |
|-------------------|------|------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Intermediate      | 0.7  | 1.4  | 2.3                       | 4.0                        |
| Intermediate-High | 0.8  | 1.7  | 2.9                       | 5.0                        |
| High              | 1.2  | 2.4  | 4.2                       | 7.6                        |
| Extreme           | 1.4  | 3.1  | 5.4                       | 10.2                       |
|                   |      |      | (Courses Marth and Clause | a Adaption Folgers Control |

# WINTER STORMS

....




- The blizzard of 2013 left nearly 400,000 Massachusetts residents without power1
- "Heavy blizzards are among the most costly and disruptive weather events for Massachusetts communities."
- The average annual snowfall for most of Belmont is **48-72 inches**.<sup>2</sup>

1: Resilient MA Climate Change Clearinghouse for the Commonwealth. "Extreme Weather," 2017. 2: Metropolitan Area Planning Council (MAPC). 2011. "Town of Belmont Hazard Mitigation Plan," 15.





Take the online survey to tell us what hazard most concerns you



#### $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$

## **INFRASTRUCTURE STRENGTHS**

- · Mobility options commuter rail, buses, and bike path
- · Critical facilities
- Data centers
- Emergency communication
- Drinking water infrastructure is all new
- MWRA has adequate water supply
- Locally managed electric infrastructure
- Municipal buildings
- Roadway access
- · Opportunities for nature-based stormwater solutions
- Multiple large, private buildings and entities that could be great resources



#### •••

### INFRASTRUCTURE VULNERABILITIES

- Sanitary sewer system and pollutant loading
- Reliance on critical services and facilities and need for redundancies
- · Occasional brownouts during high temperature events
- Aging and undersized stormwater infrastructure (Beaver Brook Culvert, Clifton and Hickory, Belmont St and Lexington St, Trapelo Rd)
- High maintenance demand to upkeep roadways and sidewalks
- Aging municipal building stock
- Flooding of substation 1 (decommissioning)
- Need additional data storage



Photo: Houtine pole and transformer replacement on Street. Photo by Belmont Light, 2018, via Twitter.

# SOCIETAL STRENGTHS

- Multiple business centers
- Well connected and informed residents
- Neighborhood and worship communities
- Diverse perspectives and experiences
- across ages, abilities, and cultures • Regional partnerships – Mystic River
- Watershed AssociationCurrent Housing Authority and Housing
- Trust properties

  Emergency shelters
- Emergency sne
- Senior Center



### SOCIETAL VULNERABILITIES

- Households with limited English-speaking abilities if communication is not translated
- At-risk of isolation or need of additional support (possibly youth, seniors, people with disabilities)
- Barriers to building personal resilience (income or homelessness)
- Need for more affordable and safe housing and to upgrade current facilities
- Need for shelter capacity checks
- · Heat-related illnesses

# **ENVIRONMENTAL STRENGTHS**

- Overall access to open space
   and recreation
- Little industrial activity
   compared to other communities
- Solar energy installments and opportunities
- Tree canopy and street trees
- Wetlands provide flood storage



Photo credit: Pranklin Tucker, Patch Staff

## ENVIRONMENTAL VULNERABILITIES

- · Few dense areas with less open space
- Air quality on hot days
- Aging gas lines
- · Water quality (inflow and infiltration pollution, illicit connections, stormwater runoff)
- Vector borne diseases (from mosquitos and ticks)
- Invasive species and pests (rats, coyotes, geese)
- Native species in hotter temperatures and drought
- Flooding of waterbodies and flash flooding of streams
- Erosion near Beaver Brook, Wellington, and Winn Brook
- Loss or deterioration of wetlands
- Hazardous waste sites (historic incinerator and transfer site)
  Lots of impervious surface
- Trees are aging and need to plant more

# EXISTING HAZARD PROTECTION

#### HIGHLIGHTS

- · Emergency power generators
- Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (CEMP)
- Drainage infrastructure maintenance
- Tree-trimming, removal, and planting program
- No outdoor burning
- Middlesex Mosquito Control District participation
- Site plan review
- Floodplain district in zoning
- · Public education on stormwater management

## HIGH PRIORITY

....

....

- \*Replace the Trapelo Road Culvert
- · Perform a culvert right-sizing study to upgrade other culverts
- · Cost-benefit analysis of flood management projects
- Model existing drainage system utilizing updated rainfall data to evaluate flooding conditions under projected climate change conditions
- Place cap on incinerator site and implement Select Board approved post closure uses
- Improve electrical resiliency of the Town and critical facilities through new generators or renewable microgrids
- Develop an Emergency Response Plan, include a wellness check for elderly populations
- Increase education on Reverse 911 system

#### •••

### MEDIUM PRIORITY

- Study and assess the costs and benefits of **flood reduction strategies**, investigate overflow protection, and \*enlarging pond outlets
- \*Implement flood mitigation measures in Tri-Community Working Group 2004 report
- Identify low impact development stormwater management opportunities and reduce impervious surfaces
- · Implement a stormwater utility fee or a building permit fee
- · Create more transit options to get people to shelters in an emergency
- \*Document emergency flood preparation, emergency response capacity, and ensure shelters are properly stocked with essentials.
- Develop a comprehensive tree management plan and \*increase tree maintenance efforts and funding.
- · Improve preparedness outreach and education for vulnerable populations

## **MEDIUM PRIORITY**

- · Increase participation in the HeatSmart Program
- \* \*Develop a brush fire prevention maintenance program for Town owned conservation properties
- Develop FireWise Program to help educate residents on fire prevention and hazardous materials
- Install low impact development and green infrastructure in parks
- Adopt a wetlands bylaw
- · Restore wetlands impacted by poor water quality and development
- \*Acquire priority parcels for many uses including flood storage, stormwater infiltration, and conservation
- Increase alternative transportation routes by building more sidewalks and completing the bike path



Take the online survey to tell us which of these items should be a **top priority for MVP Action Grant funding** 

## SHARE YOUR FEEDBACK!



 Comment on the webinar by taking our survey! The survey will be available online from April 22- May 6, 2020

#### https://tinyurl.com/BelmontMVPSurvey

• Review the draft HMP-MVP report online and provide comments

Coming May 2020

38



# THANK YOU

Weston & Sampson



55 Walkers Brook Drive, Suite 100, Reading, MA 01867 Tel: 978.532.1900

# Belmont MVP Survey

## Summary of Survey Results


### Introduction

The Town of Belmont was awarded a Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) Planning Grant to improve the Town's resilience to climate change and to mitigate natural hazards. The MVP Program aims to provide technical and financial support for cities and towns across the Commonwealth to plan for, and mitigate the impacts from, climate change. As part of the virtual Public Listening Session, the project team shared a survey to collect public feedback related to climate hazards, strengths, vulnerabilities, and priority adaptation action items. Key information related to the results of this survey are summarized below:

- The survey was accessible on the Microsoft Forms website from April 22-May 6, 2020.
- A link to the online survey was shared on April 22<sup>nd</sup> in the MVP Listening Session and was also displayed on Belmont Media Center webpage under "Gov Access Coverage" (<u>https://www.belmontmedia.org/</u>). The Town also posted the link in its Website and Social Media page. Some local groups also shared the link in their pages.
- The project team received 97 online responses.

The following summary provides an overview of the survey responses, along with key findings and recommendations for using this information. A spreadsheet of short-answer responses from survey participants, along with a copy of the original survey, are included as attachments to this document.

### Survey Results




### What hazard most concerns you?


• Survey results suggest that extreme temperature, extreme precipitation, and snow are hazards of most concern.

• Wind, drought, and others are hazards that are of relatively less concern among the residents


# What would you consider Belmont's greatest vulnerability?




# What is Belmont greatest strength considering climate resilience?




# What steps have you already taken to prepare for extreme events?



# How should Belmont prioritize climate adaptation measures?



### Rank the following priorities from highest priority to lowest priority.



- Cost-benefit analysis of flood management projects
- Place cap on incinerator site and implement Select Board approved post closure uses
- Education on Reverse 911 system
- Develop an Emergency Response Plan, include a wellness check for elderly populations
- Perform a culvert right-sizing study and upgrade culvert sizes to accommodate more stormwater runoff
- Replace the Trapelo Road Culvert
- Model existing drainage system using updated rainfall data to evaluate flooding under projected climate change conditions
- Improve electrical resiliency of the Town and critical facilities through new generators or renewable microgrids



Based on responses on prioritizing actions, cost-benefit analysis of flood management projects, and placing cap on incinerator site and implementing Select Board approved post closure uses appear to be the top two priorities for town people. Whereas modeling existing drainage system to evaluate future flooding and improving electrical resiliency in the Town are of lowest priorities.

Summary of short-answer responses:

How have any of the hazards above impacted you personally or the broader community?

 Hazards having the most impact both personally and the broader community in Belmont is flooding (53 out of 89 responses). Flooding causes property damage both residential and commercial and according to some poor drainage system enhances the issue. Extreme temperature (both heat and cold) is also another major concern among residents. Some residents mentioned that they have noticed more hot days in the recent past and that have caused health issues. Downed trees and associate power cut due to high wind is also mentioned by a lot of participants (31 out of 89).

#### What resources do you need to feel more prepared?

 Most of the responses are centered around a better town-level organization on climate adaptation and more constant communication from the Town via social media, mail and email. The participants mentioned that more efficient emergency communication (such as shelter location, food sources, contents of emergency kit, transit option to shelters, reverser 911) system is needed in the Town. They suggested advanced warning, information on available Town resources, public education of hazard mitigation preparedness may help with coordinated community response to emergencies. Few residents propose battery backup of the electricity system, use of solar panels in more places, flood mitigation actions as part of the preparedness.

# What other climate adaptation or hazard mitigation measures should be taken in Belmont in the next five years?

- The primary measures according to the participants should include the following:
  - Increasing tree canopies to reduce effect of extreme heat is the most popular one. This was followed by other measures such as,
  - Reducing carbon footprints. Adding solar panels in schools and other buildings (getting tax incentives for doing so), increasing the number of electrical car charging stations throughout the Town, changing building code to require more energy efficiency in the new buildings, completing unfinished sidewalks or restoring old sidewalks to encourage walking rather than driving are some of the examples.
  - Stormwater and sewer management to mitigate flooding. Replacing culverts, controlling development footprints, planting more native trees, and controlling flow in and out of Claypit Pond to increase water storage are some of the measures that the residents have suggested.
  - 5 of the participants also mentioned that the Town needs a more efficient emergency management program that involves a better communication system to reach the



residents in times of emergencies. Most of the residents are not aware where the local emergency shelters are.

Do you have any other questions or comments? Did you answer "Other" on any of the questions above? If so, please describe below.

Additional comments are the summary of most of the points that have been mentioned in the
previous replies. Participants emphasized the vulnerabilities in Town (over developments but not
enough infrastructure to support it, lack of emergency communication, significant flooding
issues, not having enough green infrastructure etc.). Belmont's educated and well-aware
population is the strength of the Town. Two participants mentioned that transportation, and sea
level rise should be included in the hazard mitigation proposals.

### Key Findings & Next Steps

As the pie charts and bar graphs indicate, heavy precipitation and flooding, along with extreme heat are the main concerns for residents. The responses suggest a need for better drainage infrastructure and stormwater management, along with a more efficient emergency communication system. Zero net emission in the new developments (new high school for example), eventually in the entire Town along with more tree canopies throughout the Town are also suggested.

The project team should use the findings of this survey to:

- Pursue funding for climate adaptation projects related to heavy precipitation and flooding, including addressing culverts, drainage infrastructure, and stormwater management.
- Share more information with the public related to areas and infrastructure in Town vulnerable to climate hazards, as well as more information on evacuation routes and shelters.
- Share more information online, including through the Town's website and social media platforms.
- Use the email addresses collected to start a climate resilience listserv. Additionally, the next public meeting should be advertised via email to respondents who shared their contact information.

### Attachments

- Attachment A: Short Answer Responses Spreadsheet
- Attachment B: Belmont Community Feedback Survey



# Belmont MVP Survey

The Town of Belmont was a awarded a grant from the Commonwealth's Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) Program to create a list of priority action items to advance the community's resilience to projected climate change impacts and to update the Town's Hazard Mitigation Plan. In January, a group of stakeholders met to identify strengths, vulnerabilities, and actions to further build the Town's resilience. In April, we hosted an online presentation to receive feedback from the community.

A recording of the summary presentation is available here: <u>https://www.belmontmedia.org/watch/gov-access-coverage</u>

We recommend watching the video, if you haven't already, and then we'd like to hear your thoughts for the report through the survey below.

- 1. What hazard most concerns you?
- Extreme Temperatures
- C Extreme Precipitation and Snow
- Wind
- Drought
- Other
- 2. How have any of the hazards above impacted you personally or the broader community?

Short answer response:

- 3. What would you consider Belmont's greatest vulnerability?
- Occasional brownouts of electric grid during hot days
- <sup>©</sup> Barriers to building personal resilience (such as income and homelessness)
- Hazardous sites
- C Sanitary sewer overflows
- Other
- 4. What is Belmont's greatest strength considering climate resilience?
- Access to open space and recreation
- <sup>O</sup> Diverse perspectives and experiences (ages, abilities, and cultures)
- Emergency communication

- C Locally managed electric infrastructure
- Other
- 5. What steps have you already taken to prepare for extreme events?

I have a kit in case of emergencies (which may include food, water, flashlights, batteries, and other supplies)

- I receive news, updates, and information about emergency preparedness in Belmont
- <sup>C</sup> I know where the nearest local shelter is
- <sup>C</sup> I have signed up for Reverse 911 (<u>https://belmontmaconnect.bbcportal.com/</u>)
- All of the above
- None of the above
- 6. What resources do you need to feel more prepared?

Short answer response:

- 7. How should Belmont prioritize climate adaptation measures?
- Based on funding
- C Time frame
- Asset type (i.e., infrastructure, buildings, or natural systems)
- C Impact on public safety
- Other
- 8. Rank the following priorities from highest priority to lowest priority.
  - Replace the Trapelo Road Culvert
  - Perform a culvert right-sizing study and upgrade culvert sizes to accommodate more stormwater runoff
  - Develop an Emergency Response Plan, include a wellness check for elderly populations
  - Education on Reverse 911 system
  - Cost-benefit analysis of flood management projects
  - Place cap on incinerator site and implement Select Board approved post closure uses
  - Improve electrical resiliency of the Town and critical facilities through new generators or renewable microgrids
  - Model existing drainage system utilizing updated rainfall data to evaluate flooding conditions under projected climate change conditions

9. What other climate adaptation or hazard mitigation measures should be taken in Belmont in the next five years?

Short answer response:

10. Do you have any other questions or comments? Did you answer "Other" on any of the questions above? If so, please describe below.

Short answer response:

11. First Name

Short answer response:

12. Last Name

Short answer response:

13. Email (in you'd like to receive updates)

Short answer response:

| _  | I for the second of the former dealers in the second of th |  | HORT ANSWER RESPONSES FROM THE SURVEY  |  | The A Marian |            | En all de mardel                               |
|----|--|--|--|--|--------------|------------|--|
| D  | How have any of the hazards above impacted you<br>personally or the broader community?   | What resources do you need to feel more<br>prepared?   |  | Do you have any other questions or<br>comments? Did you answer "Other" on any of<br>the questions above? If so, please describe<br>below.  | First Name   | Last Name  | Email (in you'd<br>like to receive<br>updates) |
| 1  | Personal property damage due to wind.  |  |  | Encouraging more walking and use of public transportation is environmentally friendly.   | Anne Marie   | Mahoney    |  |
| 2  | High electric costs in summer! And my kids are so hot<br>in school that they can't concentrate during the school<br>day.   | The daily updates from the governor on the radio<br>has been the most reliable guidance currently. I<br>don't look at Belmont for guidance.  |  | Emergency management communications is the<br>biggest vulnerability in addition to the ones<br>mentioned, and quality of low-income and<br>affordable housing. Belmont should prioritize<br>climate adaptation measures in the combination<br>of ways listed, but also with regard to what will the<br>cost be to residents if a project is delayed or<br>unable to operate. |              |            |  |
| 3  | flooding of High School  |  | About 75% of the Town's stormwater runoff flows to Clay Pit<br>Pond. Presently there is no means to control the flow into or<br>out of the Pond. Frequency and magnitude of extreme<br>precipitation events are expected to increase. The budget for<br>the new Middle and High School is \$295M. To protect this<br>investment and the adjacent neighborhoods, flow into and out<br>of the Pond should be controlled. For example, if controls<br>were in place, the Pond could be lowered in advance of a<br>precipitation event to provide more storage capacity. | related to #3, see response to #9  | Joel         | Mooney     | joelmooney57@g<br>mail.com                     |
| 4  | High water table and reliance on sump pumps to keep house dry.   |  | Traffic diversion away from concord and brighton ave   |  | Trish        | Kapur      | vaccapa@gmail.<br>om                           |
| 5  | Wind damage to trees and fences  |  |  |  |              |            | om   |
| 6  | When it's too hot I am just miserable and unproductive.  | basic information as to what an emergency kit<br>would contain and where our nearest shelter is  | Let's reduce our carbon footprint as much as possible by<br>increasing solar panels on municipal buildings and improving<br>insulation, adding heat pumps. Providing incentives to<br>residents to install heat pumps, plant green roofs or install a<br>lightly colored roof, install solar panels, plant vegetable<br>gardens, buy electric vehicles and ovens and ranges.<br>Address flooding for hazard mitigation.  | I'm so glad the town has undertaken this project.<br>The meeting last night for the overview of the<br>program was helpful.  | Christine    | O'Neill    |  |
|    | Wind seems to create lots of downed limbs and leads to power loss.   | Town mitigation plan for a forest fire   | Maintenance to the power grid and upgrading/replacing<br>culverts  |  | Fred         | Treseler   | 682concord@gn<br>ail.com                       |
| 8  | extreme temperatures, wind, extreme precipitation  |  |  |  | E            | Malliris   | emalliris@yahoo<br>om                          |
| 9  | all, to some degree  | Need own-wide fire drill   |  |  | Tom          | Neel       | nathorne@msn.c                                 |
| 10 | Not yet, but I feel loss of potable water is the most severe risk we face.   | Alternate water supply   | Create one or more alternatives to existing RR<br>crossings/bridge   | Our greatest vulnerability is a long period loss of<br>water supply. Almost everything else is survivable.   | Paul         | Santos     | paulsantos@usa<br>net                          |
|    |  | By the time the town is responding to global<br>warming crises, I am worried it will be too late. I<br>don't think Belmont can think about what it<br>needs to doby itselfin a crisis of global<br>proportions. Anything we do to protect our<br>citizens will be a temporary stop gap, to be<br>followed by more extreme self-protections. We<br>need to address this, at a minimum, at the state<br>level, to put pressure on the federal government<br>to take steps to reduce warming and to rejoin<br>coalitions with other like minded countries to<br>change behavior world wide. |  | #4. I think our greatest strength is that we have<br>an affluent and educated citizenry, although I<br>doubt we are all like minded about how to spend<br>money (indeed, when the time comes, even<br>whether to spend money) on mitigating<br>behaviors. Still, we are in a position to do the<br>right thing because we have the resources to.                             | Harvey       | Kaufman    | hkaufman@fishb<br>wl-consulting.con            |
|    | Very poor drainage, all sump pumps on my street<br>constantly running during storms, roads flooded   | <b>F</b>   |  |  | Jackie       | Pulvirenti |  |
|    | Trees falling on houses<br>Unable to commute to work   | Emergency shelter<br>A better understanding of vulnerabilities from<br>extreme heat, flooding and precipitation and<br>infrastructure for our community. Where are there<br>undersized culverts?   | Increasing community cohesion by neighborhoods makes<br>communities more resilient to bounce back  | Is there information available about the current state of Belmont's preparedness?  | Jeri         | Weiss      | Weiss.jeri@gmail<br>com                        |

| 15 | A11  |  | Update the Town CEMP plan to include continuity of              |   | Rick              | Nohl             | read (Chalmont                 |
|----|--|--|---|---|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| 10 | All  |  | operations for all town departments and town boards             |   | RICK              | NONI             | rnohl@belmont-<br>ma.gov       |
| 16 | It's more about climate change and how it will effect all  | I am not a senior citizenbut I worry about their       | Limit the number of large construction projects per year to     |   |                   |                  | ma.gov                         |
|    | of us  | ability to stay cool beyond the 4pm hour when          | reduce the town's expose to huge diesel construction trucks.    |   |                   |                  |                                |
|    |  | the town closes. I don't think there are enough        | ALSO LIMIT diesel trucks on roads with schools to reduce        |   |                   |                  |                                |
|    |  | shelters in case of an emergency                       | exposing children to their pollution noise and excess speed.    |   |                   |                  |                                |
|    |  |  |   |   |                   |                  |                                |
| 17 | falling trees  | help with tree trimming and planning                   | update the sewer system including pipes to/from houses          | electrical / internet / phone wires everywhere      |                   |                  |                                |
| 40 |  |  |   | overhead  | Frank             | Frank            | franksr@fefrenct               |
|    | The last ten years or so has produced some serious<br>swings in weather events. In our business we must be |  |   |   | Frank             | French           | com                            |
|    | prepared for the worst but it becomes a financial  |  |   |   |                   |                  | COITI                          |
|    | struggle to do so when the opposite occurs.  |  |   |   |                   |                  |                                |
|    | struggie to do so when the opposite occurs.  |  |   |   |                   |                  |                                |
| 19 | Yes  |  |   |   | Rahul             | Ramakrishna      |                                |
| 20 | Floods & covid19   | Covid19  | Covid19 needs   | Covid19 needs are priority, then public safety      | Amy               | n<br>Kirsch      | amycoh@gmail.                  |
| 20 | 1 10003 & COMUTA   | 000019   |   | including electric & floods & roads                 | - i i ly          | 14(561)          | om                             |
| 21 |  | More secure supply of key utilities, like electricity. |   | My answer of "Based on Funding": I feel we          | Travis            | Franck           | travis.belmont@t               |
|    |  | Would like to the town to consider batteries for       |   | shouldn't build a list of Belmont's priorities, but |                   |                  | avler.net                      |
|    |  | town, homes or both.                                   |   | always win grant monies when there are              |                   |                  |                                |
|    |  |  |   | opportunities. In particular the State seems have   |                   |                  |                                |
|    |  |  |   | prioritized meaningful and impactful grants         |                   |                  |                                |
|    |  |  |   | Belmont should take advantage of.                   |                   |                  |                                |
|    | Wind   | Know what emergency kits I should have                 |   |   |                   |                  |                                |
|    | All of these concern me. Extreme temperatures usually  | Do not need more personal resources. Mitigate          | Robust effort to improve air quality; ban outdoor fire pits,    | What has become of the CERT? (I was a               | Nancy             | Davis            | nhdavis3@gmai                  |
|    | cause a strain on our power grid, and may coincide   | flooding. Belmont should be requiring any new          | require catalytic converters on woodstoves. Massive tree-       | member, thought it was a good program.)             |                   |                  | com                            |
|    | with poor air quality. Impacts my lung function.   | ground coverage to be permeable.                       | planting.   |   |                   |                  |                                |
|    |  |  |   |   |                   |                  |                                |
| 24 | I've definitely see impact of flooding and wind.   | More communication on these issues.                    |   |   | Veera             | Mylapore         | veera.mylapore@                |
| 05 | I am 75 years old and very sensitive to high   | I live in Belmont, my business (Smart Software         | I installed Solar Panels on my house in 2006, we need to make   |   | Nelson            | Hartunian        | gmail.com<br>nelsonh@smartc    |
|    | temperatures.  | on Brighton Street) is in Belmont, but we have a       | this town-wide.   |   | Nelson            | Hartunian        | rp.com                         |
|    | temperatures.  | foster child on the cape and spend significant         | this town-wide.   |   |                   |                  | ip.com                         |
|    |  | time there, traveling regularly is an issue for me     |   |   |                   |                  |                                |
|    |  | because I can't drive (seeing/hearing issues)          |   |   |                   |                  |                                |
|    |  | because i carri unve (seeing/nearing issues)           |   |   |                   |                  |                                |
| 26 | Town loss of trees from microbursts. Heat stroke risk if   | Multiple answers for 3.4.5. Flooding now, expect       | Need to know more. Seems Trapelo Rd culvert already picked,     | Check up on all previously approved plans.          | V                 | Jordan           |                                |
|    | too hot in summer. Snowed in if too heavy precipitation  | more in future. Need more tree cover, for              | so culvert study is for the rest of town. Solar panels for      | because a court can hold the town to them.          |                   |                  |                                |
|    | in winter.   | cooling. Keep town open space.                         | electrical resiliency. More public transit crosstown to reduce  |   |                   |                  |                                |
|    |  | 5 1 1 1  | traffic.  |   |                   |                  |                                |
|    | Tree crashed into our house a few years ago.   |  |   |   |                   |                  |                                |
|    | Snow damage to roof.<br>Tough to get through very hot summers.   | Information distributed periodically and on a          | Incentive for buying electric cars, encourage and promote       |   | John p<br>Adriana | Goodman<br>Poole |                                |
| 29 | lough to get through very not summers.   |  | lawn replacement with environmentally sensible grass such as    |   | Adriana           | Poole            | apoole@poolwe                  |
|    |  | recurring schedule, an updated website.                | Pearl's Premium.  |   |                   |                  | com                            |
| 30 | Road damage or damage to property  |  |   |   | Linda             | Frias            |                                |
|    | Concerned about the impact of protracted weather   | Would love to have solar and a generator               | Invest in the DPW and PD/FD. They are the first responders in   | Other for first question:Deeply concerned about     |                   |                  |                                |
|    | incidents on DPW/Belmont Public Light resources and  | attached to it, but it's cost prohibitive              | all protracted weather events and all are understaffed and      | the impact a hacker type attack could have on       |                   |                  |                                |
|    | budget as well as strain on the staff.   |  | overstrained with insufficient resources and share of the town  | weak areas such as power grids.                     |                   |                  |                                |
|    |  |  | budget.   |   |                   |                  |                                |
| 32 |  |  | Change building codes to require more energy reduction in       |   | Martin            | Plass            | Mplass@yahoo.                  |
|    |  |  | new buildings. Close Belmont center to fossil fuel cars.        |   |                   |                  | om                             |
|    |  |  | Implement traffic calming measures and obstacles to reduce      |   |                   |                  |                                |
|    |  | 1  | thru traffic. Add public transportation options to all parts of |   |                   |                  |                                |
|    |  |  |   |   |                   |                  |                                |
|    |  |  | town and for thru traffic.                                      |   |                   |                  |                                |
| 20 |  |  |   |   | Debara            | Lloffmon         | deborory the - ff              |
| 33 |  |  |   |   | Debora            | Hoffman          | deboraruthhoffm<br>n@gmail.com |

|          | Our house was damaged by the heavy snowfall a few<br>years ago. Extreme temperatures have made us have<br>to invest in air-cooling. Costs are significant and<br>increase the already expensive cost of living in Belmont.<br>Climate change affects us all beyond these immediate<br>hazards, and in much more profound ways, and I think<br>it is a mistake to focus only on immediate hazards.   |   | Prioritization should be conducted on the basis of considering,<br>for each action: 1) how much will taking this action move us<br>toward our goal? how impactful is it? (we need to have a<br>clearly defined goal, which reflects our vision for the future); 2)<br>the likelihood of success of the action (is it easy or hard to<br>do?) and 3) the urgency of the action of the we have the<br>opportunity to take the action now that will disappear in the<br>future? Ie, how time-limited is it. Priority should be the product<br>of these three things - we should first do the things that are<br>unimpactful, leasy, and urgent and last do the things that are<br>unimpactful, hard, and not urgent - and then everything else<br>should happen in an order in between those two things. There<br>is a science of prioritization that has been proven to give the<br>best outcomes if used. | are not very cohesive as a town, and so our ability<br>to take and support the collective actions<br>necessary to respond to climate change is<br>impared. So doing things to bring us together as<br>a community around these issues is crucial - A<br>more prominent climate action team at a town<br>level, better and more frequent communication<br>from them and with them via the town newspaper,<br>email, and the town website, Better and more<br>inclusive ways for everyone to participate in it and  | Kate    | Rodriguez-<br>Clark | kmrodriguezclark<br>@gmail.com |
|----------|---|---|--|---|---------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| 35       | NO  |   |  |   | Hannah  | Liberty             | hannerlib@gmail.<br>com        |
| 36       | Flooded playgrounds and playing fields - potential for<br>private property destruction.   | Backup generator or battery storage   | Broader energy resiliency  | General flooding , flooding at critical infrastructure  | Dave    | Beavers             | Dzbeavers@gmail<br>.com        |
| 37       | flooding  | Town emergency response effort? Stock pile of<br>basic supplies for residents in case of<br>shortages. (bottled water, etc)   | increase tree canopy, maintain green space, improve public transport   | question 1, Belmont has a high water table.<br>Flooding is a concern  | Emily   | Peterson            |                                |
| 38       | flooding  | directions (link to website) on info to all the steps<br>to #5 above; clarification on the<br>belmontmaconnect website for reverse 911. I am<br>signed up for non-emergency info, but nowhere<br>is there a place to sign up for reverse 911. Is that<br>automatic? | flood related works  |   | Sarah   | Wang                | eggplantiris@gm<br>ail.com     |
| 39       | flooding of basement during heaviest storms   | Battery backup of the electric system town-wide and in each home.   | Phased under-grounding of all utility wires now on poles   |   | Roger   | Wrubel              | roger_wrubel@ms<br>n.com       |
|          | It is getting hotter, yet some of the densest<br>neighborhoods in our town are asphalted over without<br>any trees being replanted or replaced. Many receive no<br>care, yet other parts of town are regularly kept up?! It is<br>very concerning policy especially due to steady<br>temperature rise and hotter longer summers. Despite<br>very good soundbites many town areas are left to their<br>own devices as taxes paid don't serve population<br>equally well. Special interests and influences rule much<br>of town politics. | straight. Special interests shouldn't drive this town.  | Sewer should be no brainier. So the storm water management<br>and drainage including low hanging fruits like more trees and<br>less asphalt, stricter fines for dog poop polluting our<br>waterways and general pollution/littering.   | We need uniform and clear town policies across<br>all departments and whole town. It is very<br>infuriating that we have made policy change on<br>out of control asphalting over grass buffers not<br>just for for pedestrian safety and separation of<br>road and sidewalks but to preserve trees and<br>curb appeal only to see our own DPW still<br>asphalting over buffers including recently cut trees<br>spots and doesn't really abide by stated policy<br>and town goals. If we cant manage this little<br>across the town what give us assurance that even<br>more pressing issues will be resolved in an<br>efficient, uniform and equitable fashion?<br>Overbuilding without infrastructure to support<br>influx will be very hard for Belmont to manage as<br>well. There cant be two Belmonts one for well off<br>and other for everyone else. |         | Nelson              | azrabart@yahoo.<br>com         |
| 41       |   | more information from local leadership  |  |   | Kelly   | Chiu                | kelachiu@gmail.c<br>om         |
| 42<br>43 | Lack of water will affect every one of us   |   | Water supply security<br>need a wetlands bylaw   |   | Mark    | Davis               |                                |
|          | I can only choose 1 concern? Drought, extreme weather and temperatures  |   |  |   |         | 241.0               |                                |
| 45       | Extreme temperatures can kill people with asthma  | Solar panels plus batteries   | Promotion of solar energy and wind in town, plus storage for<br>key services (e.g. police)   |   | Claus   | Becker              |                                |
| 46       | Abnormal winters, wildly fluctuating temperatures and<br>the now we have bomb-cyclones that take out trees.   | information about the nearest shelter;<br>preparedness guidelines   | Study of electrical grid vulnerabilities and gas line<br>vulnerabilities   |   | Barbara | Bushey              | Barbara.bushey@<br>gmail.com   |
| 47       | Yes   | Batteries to support critical loads in our home,<br>such as refrigerator/freezer and a few lights.  | Battery and renewable microgrid  |   | Jacob   | Knowles             | jacobwknowles@<br>gmail.com    |
| 48       | higher electric costs   | water, cash   | flood control  |   | Phil    | Thayer              | pkt123@gmail.co                |

| 49       |   |  |   |  | Michael    | Mullet          | Momulle@yahoo.<br>com            |
|----------|---|--|---|--|------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| 50<br>51 | My parents house had our tree come down due to<br>severe weather  | More constant communication from the Town for<br>each resident in Belmont via social media, mail<br>and email  | Working to build a town wide emergency plan, and<br>synchronize it with the state's plan; increase emergency safe<br>guards and update and build more emergency building(s) |  | Michael    | McNamara        | McNamara.micha<br>el10@gmail.com |
| 52       | I am very worried about the long terms effects of climate<br>change. Right now, right here, it is manageable, barely.   | knowledge  | Make sure new school is carbon neutral, or as much as<br>possible.  |  | Anne       | Quirk           | aequirk@aol.com                  |
| 53       | Increase in storm intensity   | Continuing information on what measures we<br>can take to reduce carbon emissions  | Continue measures to reduce carbon emission   |  | Suzanne H  | Robotham        | suzanne.robotha<br>m@gmail.com   |
| 54       | Damage roof, snow days  | A new president  | Something like our own fema with our own PpE, food , water<br>for town  |  | VERA L     | ISKANDARIA<br>N | viskandarian@hot<br>mail.com     |
| 55       | Flooded basement.   | Working on storm water problem   | Eliminate carcinogens on public property  | We're not doing enough in any of above areas.  | Michael    | Chesson         | chesson65@gma<br>I.com           |
| 56       | Rain and snow storms have caused house flooding<br>and difficulties walking around outside/dangers of<br>slipping which I experienced last winter.  | Evacuation plan, town clearing of sidewalks, and<br>more communication   | More solar on town buildings, and businesses.   |  |            |                 |                                  |
| 57       | We felt it necessary to install a French drain around the<br>full perimeter of the basement due to the increasing<br>frequency of high intensity precipitation events.  | Backup electrical power  | Consider air conditioning in the schools  | Belmont's residents in general have huge<br>knowledge and skills on many topics, which may<br>be able to be brought to bear.   | Alexandra  | van Geel        | avangeel@alum.<br>mit.edu        |
| 58       | Live in an all electric home without AC and if there are<br>power outages in winter, there is no heat or ability to<br>cook.  | The Town's website seems to indicate that only<br>in an emergency will shelter locations be<br>broadcast. Why not in advance and listed on the<br>website. | set and meet ZNE goals  | Question 5 is problematicI could say yes to all<br>but the Belmont lacks comprehensive, permanent<br>(briefly a staff member during McLean land reuse<br>time; earlier someone dismissed by BoS),<br>planning professional(s)a problem that has<br>existed for decades. This surveys #5 question is<br>problematic. Does any resident know his/her<br>nearest emergency shelter? Have lived here a<br>long time and am not aware of mine | anonymous  | Doe             |                                  |
| 59       | There is a huge pill of eager that fluids the street next to<br>my house when it rains hard   |  | Support zone in new high school building, apply for hazard grant money  |  | Kerri      | Klugman         |                                  |
| 60       | Damages on the house  | ZNE home   | Get rid of natural gas, increase sustainable energy   | Some questions are difficult to answer as I don't know all the whereabouts.  | Frederique | Rigoulot        | fredrig@gmail.co<br>m            |
| 61       | Sea level rise already a major risk factor in the<br>Seaport district   | I am considering adding a battery-tied inverter to<br>my solar power setup   |   | Yes. I found this questionnaire difficult to answer,<br>because it doesn't seem to focus on major<br>climate change issues that Belmont and the<br>Boston area face, such as sea surface rise, but it<br>does mention issues like temperature rise that,<br>while important globally, are not affecting the NE<br>U.S.   | Eric       | Jones           |                                  |
| 62       | Increased use of air conditioning, which has its own red<br>flags; rolling brownouts due to energy consumption<br>and the fear it puts in people dependent on powered<br>health aids; elderly who do not have or cannot afford air<br>conditioning. | websites; stronger sense of commitment to<br>climate change from town leaders; knowledge   | Make it clear to everyone what the financial risk is to local<br>homes and businesses if a plan is not put in place.  |  | Terry      | Murphy          | TLMurphy01@gn<br>ail.com         |

|    | Floodingvulnerability below: floodingnote the   | for the Town to commit finances and  |  | Survey design: Other: should have an immediate  | Carolyn | Bishop     | cbishopma@iclo          |
|----|---|--|--|---|---------|------------|-------------------------|
|    | number of residences that require sump pumps!   |  | mitigate flooding  | option for "specify", (re, #5. "steps" but cannot<br>choose more than one step), through actions of<br>the past, many properties are now impacted with<br>flooding, largely due to overdevelopment<br>downstream. In the past 40 years, flooding has<br>become a serious problem for many home<br>owners. In addition, huge municipal projects<br>ignore environmentally sound options and wise<br>advice, choosing frillswe are VERY lucky to<br>have Mr. Dorrance and yet his wise advice is<br>often ignored when decisions are made, re.per<br>ex. BMHS, Wellington etc. While the Town has its<br>millionaires, about 1/3 of Town is multifamily<br>homes, not mansions. Incidentally, an option for<br>anonymous responses might bring out more valid<br>concernsentries can be keyed to avoid dupes.<br>thank you! |         |            | d.com                   |
|    | The risks of serious, regular fooding are all around us, and Belmont is not immune                              | Regular information from the tow on climate resilience   |  |   | Dave    | Deese      | deese@bc.edu            |
|    | I'm concerned about the potential for flooding, which<br>has not affected me yet.                               | More attention to our aging infrastructure for<br>managing drainage during extreme precipitation | construction and significant rehabs of existing properties.  | We need to install solar panels on the Chenery<br>School and the new middle and high school<br>project.   | Michael | Crowley    | mcrowley@gmail.<br>com  |
|    | 100+ year old downed tree in storm.   |  |  |   |         |            |                         |
| 67 | Limitations on outdoor activities to promote health   | constrained in cases of natural emergencies<br>(e.g. pandemic, weather events, drought, etc)     | <ol> <li>Incentivize solar power, mandate Belmont Light to have net<br/>metering, (2) increase number of electric car charging stations,<br/>(3) Allow houses with solar panels to generate energy during a<br/>power outage, (4) provide a tax incentive on local taxes for<br/>houses installing environmentally friendly utilities (e.g. solar<br/>panels, flash heat systems, heat pumps)</li> </ol> |   | Matthew | Henn       | matt.henn@gmail<br>com  |
| 68 | The entire spread of climate chance events threaten my<br>family's future                                       |  |  |   | Randy   | Bak        |                         |
|    | Extreme temperatures have caused increased use of<br>energy at peak times.                                      | response to emergencies.   | We should do what is necessary to protect our investments in<br>new infrastructure, such as the high school. For instance, we<br>should protect from possible flooding.  |   | Maria   | Bollettino |                         |
| 70 | flooding and wind   |  | ensure new high school is zero net emissions (solar and other<br>clean energy), same comment for other new buildings in town   |   | William | Filler     |                         |
| i  | Downed trees interrupting electrical during storms,<br>increased heating and cooling costs                      |  | NA   | NA  | Steven  | Muson      |                         |
| 72 | I just don't like hot weather, and we're getting a lot more of it.  |  | l don't know.  | I apologize but this survey assumes that I have<br>more knowledge than I in fact possess.   | Wesley  | Kelman     | wkelman@gmail.c<br>om   |
|    | This hazard will continue to get worse and will impact<br>elderly and those more vulnerable disproportionately. | information about town resources   |  |   |         |            | claushenn@yaho<br>o.com |
| 74 | Trees down, flooding in basement  |  | Make all Belmont town buildings zero net energy, including<br>solar panels on high school and junior high school buildings   |   |         |            |                         |

| 75       | Increase in damage to property as changing<br>temperatures set in motion more frequent weather<br>events that include damaging windstorms and and<br>increase in precipitation and flooding | A list of local shelters might be helpful.<br>Communications from Town officials (public<br>safety most often but not exclusively) have<br>improved lately. This should continue so that<br>citizens understand and are helpful as the<br>community faces challenges going forward. | Adopt climate adaptation measures for new construction and<br>renovation that require use of renewable energy and decrease<br>permeable surfaces / improve rainwater infiltration |  | Martha                         | Moore                  | marmoor@aol.co<br>m              |
|----------|---|---|---|--|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 76       | rising global temperatures threaten water levels, and food security   |   | increase solar  |  | William                        | Kimberly               |                                  |
| 77       | Yes, hotter summers have made this house<br>uncomfortable for more weeks during the summer for<br>starters.   | microgrid connectivity, battery storage, vehicle to grid tech, local food sources   | all buildings should be NZE; homes need resiliency retrofitting   |  | lan                            | Todreas                | ian@todreas.com                  |
| 78       |   |   | Solar Panels on all town-owned buildings (schools, municipal<br>buildings)  |  | Katherine                      | Oates                  | katherineoates@g<br>mail.com     |
|          | <ol> <li>We have underground brooks on our property. We<br/>have experienced increased dampness in our<br/>basement due to this. 2) In 2015, impacted by extreme<br/>snowfall.</li> </ol>   | More information on what the immediate threats to Belmont are.  |   |  | Miriam                         | Baker                  |                                  |
| 80       | Excessive rain creating water in the basement more<br>common; last year's heatwave in July was extremely<br>uncomfortable as we don't have AC   |   | Plant more trees; create cooling shelters for people to go to in<br>extreme heat  |  |                                |                        |                                  |
| 81       | Flooding, water pollution   | Knowing where flood zones are in the town   | solar panels for the new school, rain barrels free, town wide<br>compost service paid for by taxes, ban on plastic bags   |  | Eliza                          | Filler                 |                                  |
| 82       | have not  | advanced warnings   | town buildings in flood plain   |  | Brian                          | Saper                  | briansaper@yaho<br>o.com         |
| 83       | Can't afford or too old to clear walks  | Flood abatement near middle School  | Flooding is outrageous. Control development footprints and<br>encourage groundwater return via driveways  | Replacement of granite curbing did not improve<br>water coming from Middle school footprint or<br>springs. It made it very dangerous to walk though<br>(hello 6 months in a wheelchair!) | Claire                         | DeVore                 | darwinsom@gmai<br>I.com          |
| 84       | Several trees have blown down in and around our<br>neighborhood   | More general communication about resources<br>and town planning   | Preparation for large storms. Better handling of flooding,<br>power outages, wind damage.   |  | Ken                            | Lind                   | ken.lind@gmail.c<br>om           |
| 85       | No  | Continued good communication and quick fixes<br>when there is an issue  |   |  | Lori                           | Koehn                  |                                  |
|          | Flooding which makes recreational sites (fields and open space) unusable  | Education of major risks, such as flooding<br>during major storms, and how the community as<br>a whole can prepare.   | Clear steps and goals for reducing carbon footprint   |  | Julie                          | Crockett               | juliejill77@gmail.c<br>om        |
| 87<br>88 | Temperature extremes have begun to effect me  | Doing above   | Not sure  |  | Sarah                          | Fujiwara               | Sarahpfujiwara@<br>gmail.com     |
| 89       | yes   |   |   |  | Marcia                         | Sugrue                 | gmail.com                        |
| 90       | For whatever reasons, our vegetable garden has seen decreasing yields.  | Advance indications of food shortages   | Use public resources to minimize consumer waste. Help<br>residents to grow their own food and connect to local growers.   | wastewater concerns are couched as climate concerns.   | Geoff                          | Dutton                 |                                  |
|          | Ability to maintain native species repopulation   | Better access to affordable, energy efficient   |   | Encourage more walking by completing<br>unfinished or restoring worn sidewalks   | Katherine                      | Lonergan               | katherine.lonerga<br>n@gmail.com |
|          | programs decreases with drought.  | housing   |   |  |                                |                        |                                  |
| 92       |   | Food resources in an emergency  | Flood and clean water management in wetlands areas  | Look to the series, "Sinking Cities" especially<br>episode 3, to generate ideas on flood<br>management.  | Priscilla                      | Hunt                   | Priscilla.hunt@gm<br>ail.com     |
| 92<br>93 | programs decreases with drought.<br>Flooding of wetlands area die to die off of trees and   |   | Flood and clean water management in wetlands areas<br>Storm drainage assessment and associated projects;  | episode 3, to generate ideas on flood  | Priscilla<br>Susan<br>Michelle | Hunt<br>Marsh<br>Oishi |                                  |

| ecosystems that support all life on Earth. This loss and   | & canned food, toilet paper, several face marks,<br>couple bottles of wine and good books | climate change. Roots absorb excess rainwater and prevent<br>stormwater runoff (and also filter water before entering the<br>aquifer). Roots sequester carbon. Plants and trees give us the<br>air we breathe and cool our backyards so we need less A.C.<br>Last but not least, native plants provide food for native insects<br>& pollinators (bees and butterflies), which in turn are food for | and people are encouraged to think and plan<br>outside the box. Don't use this money to compile<br>a list of basic deferred maintenance items that<br>Belmont (and Waltham) should have already<br>addressed. Think hard about the future - make             | Jean   |       | jeanm.devine@ve<br>rizon.net       |
|--|---|--|--|--------|-------|------------------------------------|
| I have lived in the same Belmont house for 40+ years.<br>This year, I feel it necessary to invest in an A/C system<br>because there are so many hot days in a row, ad the<br>window A/C units we have can no longer keep up with<br>the extreme heat | transit options to shelters   | flood/rainwater management   | no   | Marsha | Knoll |                                    |
| Exhaustion working yardwork, gardening. Concern for<br>crops and global community if crops can't be adapted  |   | building codes (after Portland, Oregon)  | It's not just about us. Every bit of carbon footprint<br>we contribute to harming any country on earth<br>adds to the probability of more pandemics. Local<br>resiliency with global conscience is the only<br>direction we can go. thanks for your service! | Joshua |       | Inspiringtutorbost<br>on@gmail.com |

# APPENDIX E

Town Approval









APPENDIX F

FEMA Approval